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PAPERS READ DURING THE YEARS 1949-50
For some of us who grew up as children in Cambridge there were two familiar jingles which seemed to sum up all the history we needed to know. One gave us the date of the discovery of America:

In fourteen-hundred-and-ninety-two

Columbus sailed the ocean blue.

The other couplet gave what seemed to us equally important — the date of the founding of Harvard College:

In sixteen-hundred-and-thirty-six

Harvard College was built of bricks.

As far as I know, our first image — that of the blue ocean in 1492 — still remains substantially correct; but unfortunately our second image — that of red brick buildings at Harvard in 1636 — needs a little modifying. It now appears that the first building was erected in Harvard not in 1636 but in 1638 and it was not of brick but of wood. So were all the other buildings then standing inside the area which we now think of as the Harvard Yard. It was only gradually that brick buildings began to appear among the wooden ones. Little by little, however, the rising tide of brick factory-like buildings, such as Holworthy Hall, came to dominate the Yard. A hundred years ago James Russell Lowell, in his "Indian Summer Reverie," noted this and wrote:

There, in red brick, which softening time defies,

Stand square and stiff the Muses' factories.

Yet at that time part of the charm of the Harvard Yard was the lingering presence there of old yellow-painted wooden houses together with the red factories of the Muses.

In the century that has passed since then, however, all the wooden houses except Wadsworth House have now been removed from the Yard and their places taken by larger brick buildings crowding the whole south side of the Yard.
If the Emperor Augustus could boast that he had found Rome built of brick and left it of marble, Harvard College may yet be able to boast that it found Cambridge built of wood and is leaving it built of brick.

When James Russell Lowell was in college, the whole south side of the Yard consisted of a dignified row of wooden buildings well separated from each other by gardens and orchards. At the western end, on the corner of Harvard Square or "The Village," as it was then called, stood the old wooden Meeting House. Next came Wadsworth House which had served as Washington's Headquarters during his first two weeks in Cambridge in 1775. Then, beyond the entrance to the "Ox-Pasture," came the dilapidated old Wigglesworth House with its steep-pitched wooden roof. Next to that, approached by a curved entrance from the street, stood the Stephen Sewall House. Then, beyond the "Fellows' Orchard," was the Old Parsonage with its picturesque old-fashioned well-sweep.

Finally, in the south-east corner of the Harvard Yard, was the sloping hillock surmounted with the house which is our chief concern here. This little knoll in the corner of the Yard had originally been part of the garden of Edmund Goffe who had come to Cambridge in 1635. From him this strip of land descended through a series of Goffes to his great-great-granddaughter, Lydia Trowbridge Dana, and to her son, Chief Justice Francis Dana.

During the American Revolution, this little hill was surmounted by breast-works and used as a part of the fortification of Washington's Camp. A fosse on the further side of this fort marked the outer edge of the Harvard Yard and was the site of what later became Quincy Street.

What had been the fort marked the western edge of the large estate of Judge Francis Dana, which extended from here to the still higher hill farther to the east, on the top of which he built his famous mansion in 1785. From there the estate extended through a large part of what is today Cambridgeport.
After Judge Dana’s death in 1811 the site of the old fort, and the surrounding land, passed to his brother, the Reverend Edmund Dana who was then living in England.

Two of the daughters of Judge Dana, Elizabeth Ellery Dana and Sarah Ann Dana, became engaged to two brothers, George Foster and James Foster, the nephews of Andrew Craigie. Just before the day set for their double wedding, in the autumn of 1817, the two Foster brothers died tragically of the fatal epidemic that swept through Cambridge. One of the Dana sisters, Sarah, and her brother, Richard Henry Dana, in trying to minister to the afflicted, caught the same dread disease, which left them partial invalids for the rest of their lives.

On May 7, 1822, an older Foster brother, Dr. Thomas Foster, bought this corner lot for $1807.50 from the Reverend Edmund Dana and proceeded to carry out the wishes of his two brothers by building a house there for the bereaved Dana Sisters. Wearing mourning, as though they were actually the widows of the two Fosters, Miss Betsey Dana and Miss Sarah Dana lived on as old maids, and when they died were buried in the old burying ground at Harvard Square, in the Dana Tomb, where their lovers lay buried.

"THE IDLE MAN"

When the two Miss Danas moved into this new house, they took with them a third sister, Martha Remington Dana, and their brother the essayist and poet, Richard Henry Dana 1st (1787-1879), the first of five generations in succession to bear that name. During the previous year, while he was occupying the rickety old Wigglesworth House in the Harvard Yard, his wife had died, and two months later his youngest daughter Susan died of a fall there. From the melancholy associations of that house he was glad then to move with his three sisters and his three remaining children to this new house, where he became head of the household.

The new house into which they finally moved on February 3, 1823, seemed to bring the whole family a new lease of life. In place of the lower level, on which the Wigglesworth House, and the other houses in the Yard, were located, the new house had a commanding
position on the site of the old fort at the top of that little hill in the southeast corner of the Harvard Yard. From there they could look down on the Old Parson-

12 Registry of Deeds, Middlesex County Courthouse, Cambridge, Vol. 247, p. 276. The deed was registered March 26, 1823, and the attorneys for Edmund Dana were Richard H. Dana and Laomi Baldwin.

13 Ruth Charlotte Dana, “Account of the Dana Family and the Houses they Occupied,” given in 1890 to her niece, Mrs. Rosamond Dana Wild.


age and the other wooden houses extending to the west towards the Meeting House and the sunset beyond. Along the south side of the new house was a Greek portico of fluted columns extending the length of the house. From this porch the hill sloped rapidly towards the road and the Winthrop duck pond, and they could get glimpses to the south across the marshes towards the Charles River gleaming in the sunlight.

The house was sufficiently spacious to have room for Mr. Dana, for his three children and for the three sisters, who were of great help in bringing up the children after their mother's death. The southwestern room, flooded with light at noon and in the afternoon, became the study of the essayist and poet Dana and there he had his famous library table at which he spent so much of his life. It was a far more cheerful room than he had had before, yet he was pursued even there with the same melancholy. To literary visitors who came to see him in his library he smiled sadly with his soft blue eyes and extended his pale white hand in welcome. In the letter written to his wife's sister in February 1823, shortly after he had moved into this new house, he wrote:

I am now fixed in my new room. There's a wide, & very beautiful prospect from it. . . My bedroom opens into my study, so that I can go from one to the other without preparation, or meeting with anything to discompose the mind. . . What shall I tell you about the children. Charlotte goes to school thro' the coldest days; & seems to feel delighted at being out in a sharp northwester; She is full of spirits all the time, & eats meat like a man; but it puts no flesh upon her, except on her face. . . I have great hopes of Richard. With all his fondness for laugh & fun, he has great tenderness, & thoughtfulness. He is alive about his studies, & tho' nearly a year younger than any boy in the school, has been at the head of his class several days in the latin & ranks high in his other studies. . . Little Ned makes no great figure in his scholarship; but has a prodigious idea of Dick's knowledge; & stands listening to him as to an oracle.

The elder Richard Henry Dana had been one of the founders and earliest editors of the North American Review, where he published his own early literary essays and where he had been the first to discover the genius of the youthful William Cullen Bryant whose "Thanatopsis" he published in the Review. The other backers of the North American Review, however, were staunch supporters of the traditional Classicism and did not approve of Dana's enthusiasm for the Romanticism of such poets as Wordsworth and Coleridge. Accordingly Dana withdrew and started
a periodical of his own, to which, in an ill-guarded moment, he gave the name of The Idle Man. There he could give free rein to his love of Romanticism, publish his own romantic tales, further poems of Bryant, and contributions from Washington Allston, the friend of Coleridge. When Bryant came to Cambridge to deliver his Phi Beta Kappa poem, “The Ages,” at Harvard he was the guest of Mr. Dana. At his library table in this house, Mr. Dana wrote in 1825 for Bryant’s New York Review a somewhat melancholy poem “The Dying Raven” — this was twenty years before Poe’s ”Raven.” Here too he prepared for the press a selection of his own verses in a small orange-covered book labelled Dana’s Poems, which was published in 1827.

The first poem in this volume was a romantic ballad in the manner of Coleridge’s ”Rime of the Ancient Mariner.” This was called ”The Buccaneer.” The atmosphere of horror with which he described how this buccaneer was haunted and carried off to sea by a great white horse may have offered some suggestion to Herman Melville when in Moby Dick he came to describe how Captain Ahab was haunted and finally carried down into the sea by the great white whale. ”The Buccaneer” was praised in England by Christopher North as ”By far the most powerful and original of American compositions.”

At the same library table in this same house Dana prepared in 1829 a poem called ”Thoughts on the Soul” which he read before the Theological Seminary in Andover. When this and other poems and prose works were collected by Dana for publication, the volume was highly praised by Professor Longfellow of Bowdoin College who declared: ”As a poetic thinker Dana has no equal.”

Cheever, in his Poets of America devoted more pages to Dana’s poems than to those of any other poet and boldly ranked Dana ”at the head of all the American poets.”

In addition to this literary work, Dana also wrote religious articles defending the Reverend Abiel Holmes from the attacks of the Unitarians in 1829 in what was called ”The Cambridge Controversy.”

Compared to the more active men of the period, the elder Dana, musing at his library table in this house, seemed to many persons to be exemplifying his own tell-tale title: The Idle Man. James Russell Lowell

in A Fable for Critics could not resist the temptation of making his famous jibe at Dana:
That he once was the Idle Man none will deplore,

But I fear he will never be anything more;

The ocean of song heaves and glitters before him,
The depth and the vastness and longing sweep o'er him. . .

Yet he spends his whole life, like the man in the fable,

In learning to swim on his library-table.

"BEFORE THE MAST"

Not so his son, Richard Henry Dana, Jr. (1815-1882), the future author of Two Years Before the Mast, who spent the impressionable years of his life from seven to seventeen in this house.\textsuperscript{17} He was anxious to get away from the "library table" in the study here and get out into the swim of active life. Once when swimming in the Charles River, one of his playmates was drowned and young Richard returned home to be sent back by his father to swim again in the same place. The boy never knew how anxiously his father walked up and down the floor of the library in this house until his son came back safe.

Among the teachers under whom young Richard Dana studied was Ralph Waldo Emerson; and among his fellow students were Margaret Fuller, Oliver Wendell Holmes, and James Russell Lowell. Lowell recalls young Richard's early interest in ships and in sailing toy boats on the Winthrop duck pond across the street from this Dana House:

On the green duck-pond's sea — when water fails

In droughty times, replenished then with pails —

Richard the Second from their moorings cast

His shingle fleets, and sailed before the mast.\textsuperscript{18}

In the same suppressed passage from The Biglow Papers, Lowell gave an almost incredible account of riding a Shetland pony through the doorway of this Dana House and attempting to mount the flight of stairs, apparently aided and abetted in this venture, incredible as it may seem, by the elder Dana:

\textsuperscript{17} Charles Francis Adams, Richard Henry Dana, Boston, 1890; Richard Henry Dana, Jr., Speeches in Stirring Times, edited by Richard H. Dana, 3rd, Boston, 1910, pp. 504-512, Bibliography.

\textsuperscript{18} Horace Elisha Scudder, James Russell Lowell, Boston, 1901, Vol. I, p. 22.

My pony through his own front door he drew,

I on her back, and strove with winning airs
To coax my shaggy Shetlander upstairs;

Rejected hospitality! The more

He tugged in front, she backed towards the door,

Had oats been offered, she had climbed at least

Up to the garrat, canny Scottish beast.

A more dignified event took place in this house when young Richard was fifteen years old. This was the marriage on June 1, 1830, of his aunt, Martha Remington Dana to Washington Allston, the charming romantic poet and painter who brought to Cambridge the "true Venetian air" and the glamour of his intimate friendship with Coleridge. As Emerson said of Allston, "A little sunshine of his own this man of beauty brought into the American forest."

In 1832 or 1833 the Dana family moved from this house and went to occupy a house on the corner of Brattle and Church Street.

On May 20, 1835, Harvard College purchased this house and land and incorporated it as a regular part of the Harvard Yard. The statement of the Treasurer of Harvard College for September 15, 1835 reads: "Paid for the purchase of the 'Dana Estate', in Cambridge, and two parcels of land bought of the heirs of the late A. Bigelow: $11,825.40." A little later in the Treasurer's Report comes this further statement: "Dana Estate, adjoining Parsonage Estate, within College Square. Present Annual Income: $500. New Valuation $8,000." The Harvard Steward's Journal for June 30, 1836, records the following quarterly payment: "The Treasury for year's Rent of Mrs. Whitney, Dana House to July 1st: $125." It seems, then, that Harvard College after buying the house rented it for a few years to persons unconnected with the College, but still called it the "Dana House."

At first the street running up to the side door of this house, following the line of the fosse along the outer edge of the fortification, ended halfway up the hill. Later it was extended through to the street beyond. While the Dana family was living there it was called "Dana Street" and is so named on a map of 1832. After the Danas had left, however, the name "Dana Street" was transferred to a new street, laid out in 1835 further to the east, running over the top of Dana Hill. After the house had been bought by the college, the street marking the eastern boundary of the Harvard Yard was rechristened "College Street" as can be seen from a map.


20 Registry of Deeds, Middlesex County Courthouse, Cambridge, Vol. 341, p. 314. The deed was registered May 21, 1835.
Finally, in honor of Josiah Quincy, President of Harvard College, it was called "Quincy Street" in his honor and has retained that name for over a century.

THE OLD OBSERVATORY

On September 8, 1836, when Harvard celebrated its Two Hundredth Anniversary and the newly composed "Fair Harvard" was sung for the first time, it was on the sloping ground beside the Dana House — a natural amphitheatre — that seats were arranged in tiers rising one above another as in a Greek theatre, with the colonnade of the house in the background. Over the heads of the audience a pagoda-like pavilion was erected and a banner, bearing the Harvard emblem of the three books and the Latin word Veritas, was first flung to the breeze. There was served the Alumni Dinner and no less than forty toasts were drunk and speeches made. Finally, after hours of oratory, as dark came on, it was voted: "That this assembly of the Alumni be adjourned to meet in this place on the 8th of September, 1936."

On this occasion the former President Kirkland was received with thunderous applause and looking towards the Dana House made an eloquent plea for the construction of an Astronomical Observatory. During the previous year, Halley's Comet had appeared and excited much interest in astronomy, and now at last the time seemed ripe to pick a spot in the Harvard Yard to use as an Observatory. An obvious choice for the location was the Dana House on its little hill — the highest point in the otherwise entirely level Harvard Yard. The new Harvard President Josiah Quincy, wrote optimistically: "The house on this land was also large and commodious; the site for the Observatory the best in the immediate vicinity of the College." The house was considered "sufficiently firm to support a telescope of some power"; and in the autumn of 1839 subscriptions for the venture were raised, the alphabetical list beginning, characteristically enough at that time, with John Quincy Adams and three Appletons. The structure of the house was reinforced in the basement and "a cupola with a revolving roof moving on iron rollers" was erected on top. The Harvard Professor of Greek, the incorrigible joker, Cornelius Conway Felton looked askance upon this scientific excrescence superimposed upon the pure lines of the house with its portico of Greek columns; and wrote on December 27, 1839: "There is a caboose set up on the house with a telescope that commands an unobstructed view of all the chambers in the neighborhood."

Four days later, on New Year's Eve, the first observations were made from this revolving turret and were apparently not of second-story windows, but of the stars overhead. At first the astronomer who was summoned to do this work was merely called an "observer" and was not given any professorship — or any salary. Apparently he was supposed to "cultivate

---

22 A Map of Cambridge, Mass., from Surveys by J. Hayward, 1838.
astronomy on a little oatmeal." His only remuneration was being allowed to occupy the Dana House without paying rent. As Professor Felton said: "Old Mr. Bond takes the house, the rent being given him for keeping watch on the stars."

This "old Mr. Bond" who "kept watch on the stars" was William Cranch Bond (1789-1859). He was then in reality only just fifty, but he was so frail in health and so subdued in temperament that he seemed older. He has given us a detailed account of the changes he made in the house. The ground floor room in the south-west corner, where the elder Dana had had his "library table," was now "sacrificed to science." An "astronomical clock," a "siderial chronometer," and four different barometers were attached to the walls. Beyond the room to the west, an extension was built out diagonally so that it might run due east and west, at right angles to the meridian. In the foundations of this wing, in order to support a transit-instrument, was placed a large block of granite six by four feet, buried some five feet below the surface. This was unearthed when the foundations of the Dana House were removed in order to make room for the Lament Library.

Some eleven miles due south from the Dana House, "on the western declivity of the Great Blue Hill in Milton," a tower of solid masonry, seventeen feet high, was erected as a "meridian mark." Unfortunately this marker was soon eclipsed. A neighbor, "either by accident or by design moved his old barn to a point across the street from the Observatory, thereby completely obstructing the view of the meridian mark. As a result the College was obliged to purchase a "right of way" through the barn. A hole was cut through the roof of the barn, making an open tunnel, through which the observer could procure a sight of the meridian mark on Blue Hill. Beyond this transit room, a further extension was made to two octagonal buildings, set at right angles, where an altitude and azimuth instrument and various magnetometers were placed. From here an opening gave onto a mark on the top of the newly constructed Gothic-Revival building, Gore Hall, making a fixed angle with the meridian.

Later, some 120 feet to the north, from the farther end of the long ell of the house, another complicated series of small buildings was erected to the west, to accommodate more magnetic apparatus. Again the Greek Professor, Felton, made fun of this "low structure...
consisting of two globular divisions." This, he said, "serves not only to answer the purposes of astronomy, but to illustrate a line in an ancient comedy." He then proceeded to quote in Greek from The Clouds of Aristophanes the passage in which the students of Socrates are bent over with their rumps turned upwards. Strepsiades asks one of the students: "Why do their rumps look up towards heaven?" To this the student replies in all seriousness: "That is the way we study astronomy."

In spite of Felton's jests, some good work was done in this Observatory. Cooperation was begun with observatories in Toronto, Greenwich, St. Helena, Gottingen, and far-off Russia. The photographic process


Invented by Daguerre in 1839, the very year when this observatory was started, was here first put into practical astronomic use.

There is something very touching in the devotion with which Mr. Bond and his two sons, "painfully thin" and suffering from tuberculosis, pluckily continued to watch the stars on cold winter nights. In November 1841 the older son William died in this house; but the younger son George, although only fourteen years old when they had first moved in, carried on the work and became his father's successor. Later Harvard Overseers paid their tribute to William Cranch Bond and George Phillips Bond: "martyrs both, father and son, to science and to a scrupulous sense of duty."

In addition to the Bonds, there were others who helped at what was still called "The Dana House Observatory." An organization of the students called the Meteorological Society of Harvard University used to meet in the octagonal room to discuss the latest astronomical discoveries. Moreover Joseph Lovering, the Professor of Mathematics at Harvard, used to live in the house and helped the astronomers with his mathematical calculations. Professor Lovering enjoyed the reputation of being "an absent-minded professor" and is said to have become so absorbed in his astronomical computations that he continued the habit he had acquired in Cambridge winters of putting on overshoes or "arctics" well into the summer months. 28

After occupying the former Dana House as an Observatory for nearly five years, it was finally decided by the astronomers that they ought as soon as possible to build a larger Observatory upon higher ground. It seems as if the heavens themselves conspired together to stimulate interest in astronomy. Just as Halley's Comet of 1835 nad suggested the need of the first Observatory, so "The Great Comet of 1843," when it suddenly appeared in the Cambridge skies, covering a third of the heaven in extent and visible even by daylight, turned everyone's attention to the little Observatory in the corner of the Harvard Yard, seeking for further information. This served as an opportunity to show the limitations of this make-shift Observatory and President Quincy of Harvard, in the manner of many a shrewd college president since then, to use his own words, "by drawing attention ... to the great inadequacy of the means pos-
sessed by the University for efficient astronomical observations, created a desire and a disposition to supply them."

His appeal succeeded, funds were raised, and Harvard College now bought from the Craigie Estate, two years after the death of Mrs. Andrew Craigie, the so-called Summer-House Hill where she had her summer-house. In September, 1844, the instruments were moved from the former Dana House to the new Observatory which has remained during the century since that day on its present site, now called Observatory Hill.

Meanwhile the turret that had been used for the telescope was still left on the top of the roof of the Dana House. The small rounded domes of the out-lying buildings — the "igloos" at which Felton had poked fun — were removed, although their foundations were discovered in digging away the side of the hill to make room for the Lamont Library. The passageway leading to these from the further end of the octagonal transit room was also removed and replaced by a window which did not quite match the older ones to each side of it. For many years this strange octagonal-ended alcove stuck out from the house at a crazy angle, like a sore thumb.

This partially dismantled old Observatory then became, for a century and more, the private home of a long series of interesting and brilliant Harvard Professors.

FELTON'S LAUGHTER

In 1844, when the Observatory moved out, the man who moved in was none other than the Greek professor who had made merry with his jests about that "caboose" on the roof and those "globular domes" beside the Observatory. This was Cornelius Conway Felton (1807-1862). Two years earlier, when a meteor named Charles Dickens flashed on the Cambridge horizon, it was Professor Felton who had escorted him through the Harvard Yard and pointed out to him this Observatory and its humorous excrescences. Dickens, in turn, had pronounced Felton

"the heartiest of Greek professors." Felton himself, with his round face and gold-rimmed spectacles, looked a little like Mr. Pickwick and in imitation of the Pickwick Club, he used to gather about him in this house a club of five that he used to call the Five of Clubs. Many
others joined the merry circle. Felton was wonderfully good-natured. As Mr. Longfellow said of him, he was "perfectly happy — just like a child with both hands full of flowers."

Felton was "a fellow of infinite jest." He was always the first and loudest to laugh at his own jokes. James Russell Lowell describes this house as the house

Where Felton puns in English and in Greek
And shakes with laughter till the timbers creak.30

Lowell himself was quite able to pun in Greek and on one occasion declared that he would like to apply to a terribly boresome man "the quadrisyllabic name of the brother of Agis, King of Sparta." While the other members of the circle were puzzled, not knowing to what name he referred, Felton immediately burst into peals of laughter recognizing that the name referred to was "Eudamidas" (You damnéd ass!).31

The heartiest of Greek professors was nonetheless a thorough scholar of Greek and passionately devoted to the cause of Greece and Greek independence. In Greece the name of the American Felton was linked with that of the English Byron as champion of the Greeks. With his curly black hair and bright eyes he seemed himself almost a Greek. In his book on Greece Felton had described the columns of the Parthenon as "kindling in the blaze of the noon-day sun, or softly gleaming under the indescribable loveliness of the full moon." 32

As he sat on the portico of this house and looked through the colonnade of fluted Greek columns towards the setting sun, he could at times imagine that he was in Greece. Of this lover of Greece Longfellow wrote:

So wholly Greek wast thou in thy serene
And childlike joy of life, O Philhellene! . . .
Thou sawest Poseidon in the purple sea,
And in the sunset Jason's fleece of gold!


Later on, Professor Felton was chosen President of Harvard, which he did not altogether like, declaring that the difference between "being Professor or President" was the difference "between Heaven and Hell." When he became President he moved into the new President's House built next door to this house, in the so-called cottage mansion style, in which everything above the ground floor was contained in the roof. Indeed in the course of his career Felton lived in no less than four different houses on Quincy Street. All told, at
least eight Harvard Presidents lived at one time or another on Quincy Street and it is no wonder that it was sometimes referred to as the Presidential Street.

THE FIRST PLUMMER PROFESSOR

After Professor Felton had left the Dana House, it came to be occupied by a series of preachers and philosophers — Huntington, Peabody, James, and Palmer — all, in a way, preachers, and all teachers.

The Reverend Frederic Dan Huntington (1819-1904) was a graduate of Amherst College. As one complacent Cantabridgian put it, "He was not a graduate of Harvard, which was a misfortune, and he did not get into the Harvard way." Dr. Huntington came to Harvard in a double capacity. He had been appointed Preacher to the University and was also the first "Plummer Professor of Christian Morals" — a title which Felton could not resist turning about into the "Christian Professor of Plumber's Morals."

His daughter, Arria Sargent Huntington, gives the following delightful account of the family's arrival at the Quincy Street house:

On a September afternoon in the year 1855 Mr. Huntington and his family drove from the Highlands through the winding country roads connecting the villages of Roxbury and Brookline, over the wooden bridge which crossed the Charles River and so on to Cambridge. A college bookstore, the post-office, and a few shops then made up the little business centre known as "Harvard Square." Beyond the grassy spaces of the "Yard," mostly open enclosure, with here and there an ancient structure among the trees, stood the old Observatory, marked by the cupola on the roof and a small octagon wing at the side. The building, converted into an ordinary mansion, was placed on a slope looking towards the Library, its little lawn screened by a tall hawthorn hedge from the dusty high-


road, along which the hourly omnibuses still made their slow progress to the city. On one side was a long sunny piazza, the front door opened on Quincy Street, and to the north was a group of apple trees and a stable. To this attractive residence the young Plummer professor directed his children's attention as he came down the steps of the College office, and pointed out their future home. Pleasant was the outward aspect and pleasant the associations into which the family was entering.

That same autumn Dr. Huntington wrote the following letter from this house:

Cambridge,

Nov. 10, '55

Dear Sister: — You will like to hear of our safe settlement in the new quarters. They are vastly bettered by paint and paper and furniture. . . My study is charming, and I wish you could look in upon its coziness this morning. . . Cambridge people are certainly abundant in their attentions. Our rooms are stocked with flowers and fruits, and every kindness has
been shown us. If only the Holy Spirit should awaken a Christian interest in the college, my joy would be complete.

No. 11 Quincy Street is further described by Dr. Huntington’s daughter as follows:

The house set apart for the Plummer professor made a delightful home. It was large and cheerful, ample in its accommodations and possessed some charming features which delighted the children. There was a little inside window, swinging open above the landing of the staircase, through which of an evening would come the hum of voices when company was assembled below; strains of music from the piano, the accompaniment of a song, or the lively tune of a dance. The professor and his wife enjoyed gathering young people around them. From the first Mr. Huntington set himself to become personally acquainted with the undergraduates and to entertain them under his own roof. This was not difficult at a period when the entering class numbered barely a hundred. It was the custom to invite the freshmen to Sunday evening tea, in groups of not more than eight, and those who cared to keep up the acquaintance were made to feel at home at any time.

At this time the Swiss scientist, Professor Louis Agassiz, was teaching at Harvard and Dr. Huntington used to entertain a group of Agassiz’s students, called the Agassiz Natural History Society, in the same octagonal room off the study where the Meteorological Society had met. Agassiz presented this new group with a number of books which were kept in the Huntington house, some of them bearing Agassiz’s autograph.  


While the older people were attending the chapel services, the children of the professors had their Sunday School in the two sunny rooms looking out on the portico. This younger generation brought a new lease of life to the old house.

Another charm was brought to the house by the presence there for two years of Professor James Child, who taught Chaucer and Old English Ballads at Harvard. His warm affectionate nature, delicate wit, and enthusiastic temperament helped offset something of the severity of Dr. Huntington.

35

On the eve of the Civil War, feelings in Cambridge ran high both in politics and in religion. In 1856 had come the assault on Charles Sumner in the Senate Chamber at Washington and a public meeting of protest was held in Cambridge, at which Richard Henry Dana, Jr., the author of Two Years Before the Mast, acted as the chairman, President Sparks and Professors Felton and Longfellow attended, and Dr. Huntington gave a fiery speech ending with the cry: “A great revolution is taking place, deep in the minds of men, one of those revolutions which never, never, go back!”

It was not, however, for this political revolution so much as for a religious revolution — or, if you wish, a counter-revolution — that Dr. Huntington was famous. On Sunday, October 10, 1858, he gave his last sermon in the old College Chapel in University Hall, preaching on "The Days of Old." A week later, on Sunday, October 17, came the dedication of the new Appleton Chapel, for which Dr. Huntington gave the dedicatory sermon and for which Longfellow wrote a poem called "Christo et Ecclesiae." 36 Mr. Longfellow has constant
references in his Journals to what he called Huntington's "vigorous" sermons. On Sunday, October 31, 1858, he wrote: "Huntington in his reverberating chapel preaches ardently." Finally on December 11, 1859, came Huntington's famous "mystical" sermon on "The Divine Trinity." Longfellow wrote: "He has very decidedly gone over to the Trinitarians and Pierce says he does not see how he can stop going over to the Catholics. I should not wonder if he did finally."

Dr. Huntington introduced a new service book which he used in Appleton Chapel on Sunday afternoons and Unitarian Cambridge was

35 William Dean Howells, Literary Friends and Acquaintance, Boston, 1900.

36 Manuscript poem kept in the Longfellow House. Apparently never printed.

Dr. Huntington introduced a new service book which he used in Appleton Chapel on Sunday afternoons and Unitarian Cambridge was

horrified to discover that he was surreptitiously slipping Trinitarian phrases and ritual into these services. Feeling ran so high that on January 19, 1860, Dr. Huntington felt obliged to present his resignation to the President and Fellows of Harvard College.

Thus, on the eve of the Civil War, Cambridge had this little civil war of its own. The conversion of Dr. Huntington from Unitarianism to Episcopalianism was our Cambridge counterpart of the conversion somewhat earlier in Oxford, when Newman had left the Church of England for the Church of Rome.

On leaving Harvard, Dr. Huntington organized Emmanuel Church in Boston. At the Craigie House in Cambridge on Easter Sunday, April 8, 1860, Mr. Longfellow and his brother-in-law, Thomas Gold Appleton, were listening to the chimes of nearby Christ Church, ringing for the first time. They remembered the fourteenth-century legend of Dick Whittington listening from Holloway to the prophetic peal of Bow Bells, which seemed to be saying:

Turn again Whittington!
You shall be Mayor of London!

Parodying this, Tom Appleton declared that the chimes of the Episcopal church, "rather loud and harsh in the east wind" seemed to be saying:

Turn again Whittington!
You shall be Bishop of Boston! 37

A few years later Dr. Huntington was made — not Bishop of Boston to be sure — but the first Episcopal Bishop of the new Diocese of Central New York. When he had left this house in the corner of the Harvard Yard, there were good Cambridge Unitarians who felt that he was turning his back on the light and going into outer darkness. Yet he himself wrote: "I was never so at rest, never less anxious, never so strong as now."

"HIS FACE SHONE"
Unitarian Cambridge breathed more freely when in 1860 Dr. Huntington’s place as Plummer Professor and Harvard Preacher was taken by a man they could completely count on — Dr. Andrew Preston Pea-

Longfellow’s manuscript Journal.

body (1811-1893). In his youth Peabody had been something of an infant prodigy. At the age of three he had learned to read. At the age of fifteen he had graduated from Harvard. At seventeen he had become the principal of an academy. Now at forty-five he had been made a professor at Harvard and came to live for the remaining thirty-three years of his life in the old Dana House. For that generation it very naturally came to be called the Peabody House.

Dr. Huntington had added one ell after another, each narrower than the last, extending to the north, with a stable attached at the end. The house had become then, as can be seen from the maps of the period, by far the longest of any of the buildings in the Harvard Yard. Because of this elongated shape it was called a "telescope house" — a not inappropriate name for a former observatory.

Dr. Peabody, however, just as he curtailed Dr. Huntington's Trinitarian additions to the Unitarian service, also curtailed the extreme length of these ells and had the stable moved north along Quincy Street to Oxford. This was only one of a number of Quincy Street buildings to make that pilgrimage. When Dean Fenn’s house was moved from Quincy Street to Divinity Avenue since it was so large that it had to be cut into quarters, Dr. Francis Greenwood Peabody could not resist telling Dean Fenn: "I suppose you will take up your quarters again in Divinity Avenue!" On the other hand, Professor Langdell, whose house was small enough to move all in one piece, continued to occupy his house all during the transition. In Kansas houses were moved by cyclones; but, as one professor’s wife said: "In Cambridge we do not need a cyclone to move houses; we do it in a more orderly manner."

It was in a more orderly fashion, as we shall see, that the Dana House itself was later to be moved across Quincy Street.

But let us return to Dr. Andrew Peabody. He held a position of peculiar importance in the University. Just two years after he had taken up his residence here, he served as Acting President of Harvard upon the death of President Felton. Later, in 1868, after the resignation of President Hill, Dr. Peabody again assumed for a time the office of President.


Doctor Andrew Peabody enjoyed — if it can be called enjoyment — an extraordinary reputation for absent-mindedness. It is said that he would walk along Quincy Street with one foot on the sidewalk and the other inadvertently in the gutter, and then complain to his doctor that one of his legs must be longer than the other. The students even claimed that when he returned to this house on rainy nights, he would put his umbrella to bed and stand himself in the corner of the vestibule.

From this house on the hillock at one end of the Harvard Yard, Doctor Peabody could be seen emerging at an incredibly early hour in the morning and "meandering dreamily" along the diagonal path to Appleton Chapel. There the students noticed how he would take off his spectacles to read the Bible — and put them on again to pray.

Yet the students who brought their problems to him found that his heart was, as they said, "big all through." They came to think better of him — and of themselves too. He rarely gave even the "most sluggish students" a mark below ninety. He was not incapable, however, of touches of gentle satire. When a business man came to him and asked if he thought he should study for the ministry, Doctor Peabody said "No." Long afterwards Peabody claimed: "He took my advice and went in to the ministry without studying!"

President Eliot later said of Doctor Peabody: "The students underestimated his shrewdness and sagacity. They could not overrate the sweetness and gentleness of his character."

Though Dr. Peabody himself was not aware of it, the students could see in his face what the metaphysical poet John Donne called "the through-shine of the soul." It was like the unconscious transfiguration that is said to have shone in the face of Moses after he descended from the vision upon Mount Sinai: "When Moses came down from Mount Sinai, Moses wist not that the skin of his face shone."

It is this that is referred to in the closing lines of the inscription which, after Dr. Peabody's death, was placed in his memory on the walls of Appleton Chapel and which is now preserved in the Harvard Memorial Church, the only tablet standing there in memory of a single individual. It bears these words:

ANDREW PRESTON PEABODY, D.D., LL.D.

PLUMMER PROFESSOR OF CHRISTIAN MORALS AND PROF. ACHER

TO THE UNIVERSITY

BORN AT BEVERLY, MARCH 19, 1811

DIED AT CAMBRIDGE, MARCH 10, 1893

AUTHOR, EDITOR, TEACHER, PREACHER, HELPER OF MEN
THREE GENERATIONS LOOKED TO HIM
AS A BENEFACtor, A FRIEND, A FATHER
HIS PRECEPT WAS GLORIFIED BY HIS EXAMPLE
WHILE FOR THIRTY-THREE YEARS
HE MOVED AMONG THE TEACHERS AND STUDENTS
OF HARVARD COLLEGE
AND WIST NOT THAT HIS FACE SHONE
THE HOSPITABLE MIND

In 1881 Doctor Andrew Peabody had resigned his professorship, but had continued to live in this house which had come to be called the Peabody House. At this time, for a brief period of two years, 1881-1882, Professor William James (1842-1910), came to live in the same house.¹

James had only just been appointed Assistant Professor of Philosophy at Harvard the previous year; but characteristically he had no sooner been appointed himself than he cast about to find other rival philosophers to bring to Harvard, no matter how much their philosophy might differ from his. While he was living in this house, for example, he did all he could to find an opening at Harvard for Professor Josiah Royce, who was then living in California. On March 26, 1881, he wrote from this house to Royce: "Doctor Peabody, Professor of Ethics, has resigned." There were, however, other older professors who seemed to be blocking the way for Royce; for example, Francis Bowen, Professor of Natural Religion, Moral Philosophy, and Civil Polity. A few weeks later, on May 8, 1881, James wrote to Royce: "Bowen looks imperishable." In the following year, however, a place at Harvard was finally found for Royce.

While William James was living in this house, he astonished the heavy-drinking students of that day by delivering an address before them attempting to demonstrate that "the evidence of psychology and general experience support total abstinence."

The perennially refreshing thing about James at this time was his avoidance of putting things in a banal way. As Palmer said of him, James always managed to find a "shining phrase." If his brother, Henry James was a novelist who wrote like a psychologist, William James was a psychologist who wrote like a novelist.
In his hospitable house, James entertained philosophers from all over the globe. One foreign guest left his shoes outside his bedroom door to be blackened, and early the next morning, seeing someone shining the shoes, dropped him a tip, only to discover that the bootblack was Mr. James himself. In his hospitable house, Professor James had also what has been aptly called a "hospitable mind." His mind gave shelter to all varieties of religious experience. As an empiricist, as a pragmatist, he seemed to be willing to give every one and everything a hearing. He listened for long hours to the Spiritualist medium, Mrs. Piper. He brought the orangoutang Joe out from the zoo and took him on to the lecture platform with him before the students. In his desire to befriend all sorts and conditions of men, it was said of James that his judgment was "corrupted by kindness."

In the same room with the octagonal alcove where the earlier astronomers had explored the physical universe, James now voyaged in strange seas of thought and, broadening his mind beyond any monism, conceived the idea of a Pluralistic Universe.

While Professor James was still living in this house, his second son, William James, Jr., was born there on June 17, 1882. Before long, however, the peregrinating father moved to occupy other Cambridge houses. As Professor James in his varied interests had passed from chemistry to medicine, and painting, and physics, and psychology and then characteristically came to consider psychology as a "nasty little subject" and turned to epistemology, religion, and metaphysics, thus moving about freely in the realm of ideas; so he moved about freely in Cambridge. He had passed from 20 Quincy Street (the site of the present Harvard Faculty Club) to a house on Bow Street, then to this old Dana House at 11 Quincy Street; in 1883 he moved from 11 Quincy Street to 11 Appian Way (the site of Alice M. Longfellow Hall at Radcliffe); in 1886, to 18 Garden (the site of the Hotel Commander); and finally in 1889 to 95 Irving Street, where he stayed for the remaining twenty-one years of his life.

PALMER'S ODYSSEY

The next occupant of the house was Professor George Herbert Palmer, Professor James' contemporary (they were both born in the same year, 1842) and fellow philosopher. Like Professor James and many another Harvard professor — or, if you will, like the wandering hero of Homer's Odyssey — which he had been translating so beautifully — Professor Palmer at first wandered from place to place, now finding shelter on Brattle Street, now on Mason Street, now on Broadway.

In 1894, however, the year after Doctor Peabody's death, Palmer's Odyssey at length came to an end in the happy harbor of this house. Here he remained for nearly forty years, a longer period than that of any other occupant. It was natural, then, that for a whole generation this house should now have come to be thought of as the Palmer House.
From this house for long years Professor Palmer walked each day with that imperturbable calm of his to the lecture halls where he gave his lectures on philosophy. If other historians showed us the ancient philosophers distorted by the historians’ own modern views, Palmer’s lectures had such a transparent clarity that we seem to see the various philosophers themselves. As his face was lit up with enthusiasm for one philosopher after another, the "throughshine of the soul" which we saw seemed to us to be not so much Palmer’s soul as that of the philosopher he was interpreting, or rather revealing. For what he did was far more to reveal ideas than to attempt to give his own interpretations. He seemed to sympathize in turn with each philosopher. When he was lecturing on Aristotle we felt sure he was an Aristotelian; but when he lectured on Plato we were convinced he was a Platonist.

It was during Professor Palmer’s occupancy of the house that the remaining vestiges of its use as an observatory were finally removed. At last the "caboose" was taken down from the top of the roof and still later a substantial retaining wall was built giving the southern portico greater seclusion from the noises of Massachusetts Avenue. Moreover the large octagonal-ended transit-room that had stuck out at such a crazy angle was now joined to the house at right angles giving a much more attractive shape to Professor Palmer’s library.

In that library Palmer continued the tradition of "sweet reasonableness" which William James had established there a decade earlier. In preparing there his course on Ethics — the famous "Philosophy 4" — Palmer retained the high moral purpose with which Doctor Peabody had at an earlier time permeated the room. In pursuing his classical studies, translating the Odyssey of Homer and the Antigone of Sophocles, Palmer looked out from this library, through the portico of fluted Greek columns much as that most genial of Greek professors, Felton, had done at a still earlier period.

Towards the end of his life Professor Palmer used to give in this library those "voluntary readings" of Homer and Shakespeare and George Herbert (after whom he had been named) and occasionally the works of more modern poets. On those Sunday evenings, seated beside a shaded lamp that lit up his face, emphasizing his bushy eyebrows and his deep-set eyes, his luminous and fluent voice poured forth a steady stream of soothing poetry. On one occasion when he attempted a long classical tragedy, All For Love, Dryden’s so-called "improvement" on Shakespeare’s Antony and Cleopatra, the dry in Dryden, even when read by Mr. Palmer, seemed to have the effect of inducing some of the good ladies, seated in the penumbra of the outer shadows, to sink softly into slumber. They nodded even if Homer did not nod.

Many were the books and pamphlets written by Professor Palmer in this study. If to the seventy or more items by Palmer listed in the Harvard Library Catalogue — almost all of them written in this house — are added those written by his wife, Alice Freeman Palmer, and to these are added in turn the twenty or thirty items by the elder Dana, the sixty or more by Felton, the fifty by Huntington, two hundred by Andrew Peabody, and something like four hundred by William James, (not to mention the writings of Professor Gummere and
President Conant), it makes one wonder whether the combined occupants of any other one private house have ever written so much.

The Penelope of Palmer’s Odyssey, the faithful wife to whom he returned from his lectures only to find her weaving a web of her own, was Alice Freeman Palmer, formerly President of Wellesley College. Of her distinguished career, President Eliot wrote: “To my mind this career is unmatched by that of any other American woman.” The courtship of the Palmers, which has been called in the book publishing their love letters “An Academic Courtship,” seems to be our closest Cambridge counterpart to the poetic courtship of Robert Browning and Elizabeth Barrett. The poems which Mrs. Palmer wrote during their engagement were gathered together long afterwards by Mr. Palmer under the title Marriage Cycle.

Of Mrs. Palmer’s occupancy of the house at 11 Quincy Street, Mr. Palmer writes:

We readjusted its interior to our needs, constructing a large library and arranging Mrs. Palmer’s study and waiting rooms so that in receiving one caller she need not be disturbed by the coming of another. To this house she became strongly attached. In it her complex work was done with the utmost convenience; here she easily assembled several hundred guests; its plain old-fashioned comfort made shy students feel at home; . . . An old house harbors peace better than a new one. At 11 Quincy Street Mrs. Palmer found that peace, found too the dignified surroundings to which her idealizing affections most naturally clung. . . Naturally, having created so beautiful a home, she used it liberally for entertainment, though no sharp distinction was ever drawn between entertainment and business. Three children of friends were with us for more than a year each, and almost every meal had also its interesting guest.

After the Spanish American War and the dawn of the new century, Mrs. Palmer contrived during the summer of 1900 to harbor in this house no less than sixteen of the Cuban women teachers who came to study at the Harvard Summer School.

In 1902 Mrs. Palmer’s devoted and generous life — it was said “all her life was giving” — came to an end.

The eight happy years of married life that Mr. Palmer had spent in this house with Mrs. Palmer were now followed by thirty-one years when he lived here alone, accompanied only by his brother, the Reverend Frederick Palmer. In 1933 Professor Palmer’s long and serene life came to an end at the age of ninety-one. When we remember that the first occupant of the house, the elder Dana, died at ninety-two, and that of the intervening occupants Huntington lived to eighty-five and Dr. Peabody to eighty-one, we realize what a record for longevity has been held by those who lived in this house.

\[44\text{ A Service in Memory of Alice Freeman Palmer, Cambridge, 1903, p. 80.}\]
A CLASSICIST AGAIN

After Professor Palmer’s death, a few further alterations were made in the house; and on January 3rd, 1935, Professor Richard Mott Gummere (1883- ) and his family came to occupy the house.\textsuperscript{45} The son of Professor Francis Barton Gummere of Haverford College, one of America’s most distinguished professors of Anglo-Saxon and Early English, Richard Mott Gummere published with a Haverford College mate, Acardes Ambo, verses mingling charm and erudition. This was the combination which had characterized his father’s work in Early English and which was to characterize his own work in the Classics: his book on Seneca and his three-volume translation of Seneca’s Moral Epistles. From this same study in the Dana-Palmer House, three Classicists — Felton the translator of Aristophanes, Palmer the translator of Homer, and now Gummere the translator of Seneca — looked southward through the colonnade of fluted Classical columns.

Having earlier been Assistant to the President of Haverford College and then for some seventeen years Headmaster of the Penn Charter School in Philadelphia, Professor Gummere was ideally equipped on his return to Harvard in 1937 to serve as the Chairman of the Committee on Admissions. To prospective students approaching Harvard from Boston along Massachusetts Avenue, there seemed a peculiar appropriateness that this house above the high retaining wall on the nearer corner of the Harvard Yard should be occupied by the kindly man who seemed to them to hold control over their admission to Harvard.

A COLLEGE PRESIDENT IN WARTIME

After Pearl Harbor and America’s entry into World War II the large brick President’s House next door was turned into Headquarters for the Naval Training Schools at Harvard, and in July 1942 President James Bryant Conant (1893- ) modestly moved into the adjacent old wooden Dana House, which he occupied during the war years and of which he and his family became very fond.\textsuperscript{46} Over the mantel in the

\textsuperscript{45} Who’s Who in America, Chicago, 1948.


library, where in the days of the elder Dana had been the bas-relief of the chariot and horses of the sun, sketched by Washington Allston, was now hung a modernistic painting of some elaborate chemical and mechanical setup.

Here during the war, in these relatively humble quarters, were entertained the various distinguished guests of President Conant, including the British Prime Minister, Winston Churchill, at the time when he made his astounding speech in the Harvard Yard on September 6, 1943. For those who looked upon Harvard as "a rich man’s college," it made a
very favorable impression in wartime to find the President of Harvard living quietly in this hundred-and-twenty-year-old wooden house.

From this house President Conant went on important missions during the war, including one to Moscow, and many to Dumbarton Oaks in Washington, as a member of the Military Policy Committee responsible for the over-all policy of the Atomic Bomb Project.

Finally, when the war was over, and the suggestion came in 1946 of removing or moving this house to make room for the Lament Undergraduate Library, President Conant returned from 11 Quincy Street to the more pretentious brick mansion at 7 Quincy Street.

A NEW SITE AND A NEW USEFULNESS

For some time before Conant became President of Harvard, there had been a tendency to crowd the southern side of the Harvard Yard with brick buildings, and a threat to the future existence of the Dana-Palmer House had been contained in a report of May 1925 that pointed out "an available site for a large building at the corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Quincy Street." In 1931 when Wigglesworth, aptly named, was "squeezed 'twixt Widener and the fence," a poet satirized those who only saw the College Yard "with a realtor's fervid vision."

When Mr. Thomas W. Lamont gave one and a half millions for an Undergraduate Library, it was announced by Provost Buck on January 24, 1946, that this would be built on the site of the Dana-Palmer House — though Mr. Lamont had made no stipulation of that site.


Letters of protest were written to the Boston newspapers. In imitation of Oliver Wendell Holmes' appeal against the destruction of "Old Ironsides":

Ay, tear her tattered ensign down!

someone wrote an appeal for the Dana-Palmer House, beginning:

Ay, tear her tattered clapboards down!

The Christian Science Monitor published a picture of the Dana House with the captions: "Spare That House" and "Touch Not a Single Shingle." The Harvard Alumni Bulletin was fairly flooded with letters from indignant graduates. Of course Harvard must expand; but let
it expand according to some larger plan towards the river, with buildings "occupying space and with space around." They protested against those whose only idea of "expansion" was "overcrowding" the Harvard Yard. Brick buildings, jammed in cheek-by-jowl, are not expansion, but contraction. "More and more buildings" meant "less and less space." There was a growing sense of being "cribbed, cabined, and confined." 49

On the other hand, to leave the yellow wooden house upon its little green hillside would help retain a breathing space among the brick buildings. It was the one spot where students could lie on the grass in the spring on a slope facing the western sun. A returning veteran declared that it was this corner of the Yard that he found most pleasing to his eyes. If Harvard is to be conservative, let it at least be conservative in the sense of conserving a spot like this. One expert in art adroitly suggested: "That is the sort of memory that fetches the benefactions out of Wall Street and State Street." 50


Yet apparently there were those who when they beheld this, the only bit of rising land in the Yard, could scarce withhold a bull-dozer. The same poet who had earlier protested against the growing tide of brick in the Harvard Yard now penned his appeal to the architects of the new Undergraduate Library urging them to spare the old Palmer House:

Can't you manage to spare us that link with the past,

Dear Coolidge, dear Shepley, dear Bulfinch, dear Abbott?

Or has demolition of landmarks at last

Become an incurable, durable habit? 51

The first announcement of the Provost on January 24, 1946, had used the somewhat ambiguous phrase that the Dana-Palmer House would be "removed" — leaving some doubt whether that implied demolished or moved to some other site. In deference to the protests, it was announced on May 24, 1946, that the house would be "relocated" across Quincy Street. Those who had protested the removing of the house were congratulated on having "saved" it, though the real charm of the house consisted less in any intrinsic architectural beauty than in its commanding position on the crest of the little hillock in the corner of the Harvard Yard.

A year later, in May 1947, the excavations for the new Lamont Library were begun. The huge block of granite under the former transit-room was unearthed and removed with no little difficulty. The kitchen-wing of the old house was moved temporarily over near the Houghton Library for the office of the contractor, but was demolished when the work on the Lamont Library was completed. The old octagonal transit-room, which had been such a
striking feature in the library of the Palmer House, was removed from the house and the way in which it had been readjusted at right angles was revealed. For a time it was contemplated attaching this again to the study in its new location. This idea, however, was abandoned and the house reduced to its original, rather plain, foursquare dimensions. The key to the wine-cellar under the original house was presented to the descendants of the elder Dana who had been the first occupant of the house and will be carefully kept in memory of the old days.


It was in June 1947 that what was left of the house was moved across Quincy Street and was set up on the lawn between the Harvard Union and the Harvard Faculty Club. A back door was added, enabling those who should use the house to cross over easily to the Faculty Club for their meals.

An appeal was made for furniture and accessories "in any period up to 1825"; and the various rooms were made into guest chambers for the distinguished visitors of the President of Harvard College.52 Many of the new visitors may be unaware of the various early associations of what is called the Dana-Palmer House, but what might be called, to use the nomenclature of some antiquarians, "the Richard Henry Dana-William Cranch Bond-Cornelius Conway Felton-Frederic Dan Huntington-Andrew Preston Peabody-William James-George Herbert Palmer-Richard Mott Gummere-James Bryant Conant House."

When to the names of these "owners and occupants of earlier dates," we add those of persons who lived there for shorter periods and those who were temporary visitors or guests, and those distinguished persons who from now on may occupy these rooms, we wonder whether any such small modest house of the period of the 1820s has ever been enriched with such a wealth of precious associations.


THE HISTORY OF GARDEN STREET
BY LOIS LILLEY HOWE
Read April 25,1949

We CANNOT think of Old Garden Street without thinking of the Common which forms one side of it. Yet our thought of the Common is just of a big open space with trees and a Soldiers' Monument like any New England green. We are told that George Washington took
command of the Revolutionary Army there, to be sure, but now it is just a nice place for old folks, or nurses and babies, with a nuisance of a baseball ground in one corner.

But the Common — the Cow Common, as it was called — was not always so quiet and unimportant. To begin with, it was the place selected by the first settlers for their intrenched camp. It reached all the way to Linnaean Street though it was crossed by the "Pallisado" somewhere between Waterhouse Street and Follen Street. As we understand it, this whole region was covered with forest; it is difficult for the imagination to grasp what the work of clearing this Common must have been. Probably much of the wood may have been used for building and some for the Pallisado, though that seems to have been mostly of willows.

Here in this clearing was great activity. Here was the place for military training and here the Forum of the embryo city. Here the citizens met for elections. In 1637, at the time of Anne Hutchinson's trial, there was a tremendously exciting election. The opposing candidates for the office of chief magistrate were Governor Sir Henry Vane and Ex-Governor Winthrop. There was an oak tree which stood near the present gate towards Holmes Place, and in the height of the tumult Reverend John Wilson, pastor of the Boston Church, "in spite of his forty-nine years and his great bulk struggled up into this tree and addressed the people in such forcible language that quiet was restored, and the election proceeded."¹ Winthrop was elected and Sir Henry Vane left the country forever. In 1896 a scion of the Washington Elm was planted on this site, and there is a tablet beneath it close to the Holmes Field Gate.

¹ Thomas Hutchinson, History of Massachusetts.

In September 1774, there was another stirring scene. A crowd of two thousand men collected to demand and enforce the resignations of Lieutenant Governor Oliver and Judges Danforth and Lee, appointed by the King. Here, too, an armed body of yeomanry met to dispute the return of Percy's troops from Concord.

Mr. Samuel Batchelder tells us that Washington did not take command of the American Army on July 3d, 1776, because it rained, nor did he take command under the famous elm, but we know that the elm was there and that he certainly did take command of the army and train raw recruits on the Common and that there was a barracks there. We know how anxious he was about the soldiers cutting down trees for firewood. In 1775 the whole number of men at that time encamped was about eight thousand.

The Common was a great open space, not only crossed by pedestrians but by those who drove horses and carriages and carts. The road from Menotomy (now Arlington) to the "Village," now Harvard Square, ran across it, and, even more important, a road called "The King's Highway" ran from Mason to Kirkland Street and so to Charlestown.

About 1823 some of the prominent citizens began to consider enclosing the Common and making a park of it. A petition to the General Court for doing so was granted in 1828. This aroused violent opposition from the residents of Watertown and Arlington who, in their turn
appealed to the General Court, but they lost their case, and the Common was enclosed, largely at the expense of Judge Fay who was given the right to plant trees and make paths on it.

Here, on Harvard Commencement Day, every year, was a scene of gaiety — tents and shows and general excitement like a country fair. At last, in 1870, was dedicated the Soldiers' Monument, to the memory of the men of Cambridge who had fought in the Civil War. The architect of this monument was Thomas W. Silloway of Boston, and the sculptors were Cyrus and Darius Cobb, twins, who had been in the war themselves.

It differs from all the Soldiers' Monuments which adorn our other old towns because the soldier is represented in his heavy winter coat with a cape and because he has no hat. This caused a great deal of criticism, as soldiers are supposed always to wear their hats. I believe the Cobbs excused it on the theory that the soldier was standing mourning for his departed comrades. One morning the citizens awoke to find the soldier had a hat which he had put on in the night. It took the Cambridge Fire Department a whole day to get it off.

The statue of Lincoln was installed in this monument in 1946 at the time of the celebration of the hundredth anniversary of Cambridge as a city. In 1875 the old cannons were brought from the Arsenal and installed around the Monument. There has been much controversy over their history.

A statue of John Bridge, one of the most prominent of the early settlers, was set up in the northwest part of the Common in 1882.

When the Common was enclosed, two little pieces of it were enclosed separately, perhaps to soften the injured feelings of Watertown and Arlington. The line of Kirkland Street was carried over to Garden Street. This scrap of a street was called by my elder brother and sister, who were interested in Pilgrim's Progress, "the Slough of Despond," for obvious reasons. On that Common was the flagpole very much where it is today. The other little piece of Common was between North (now Massachusetts) Avenue and Holmes Place, and has now become the entrance to the subway.

All this area has been changed by the subway. In 1906 the George Washington Memorial Gateway at the east end of the Common was erected by the General Society of the Daughters of the Revolution, just about on the site of "the Slough of Despond."

The Cambridge Plant Club maintains a hedge of shrubs around the Common except by the baseball field. So after the stormy years the Common has become a place of rest and quiet.

But I cannot leave the Common without speaking of Holmes Place, as I remember it before the Law School was built. In the first place, what is now called the Gannett House, which was built in the '30's of the nineteenth century, stood with its portico facing toward Harvard Square. It was known as Mrs. Baker's boarding house. Mrs. Baker's daughter, Miss Alice Baker, kept, with Miss Susan Lane, a popular girls' school in Boston. She was much
interested in old Deerfield and its history. She owned the fine Frary house in Deerfield and wrote the history of the inhabitants of that town who were carried away by the Indians.

Next to Mrs. Baker's house was a curious building with an arcade
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in front and a curved roof. This had been the station of the Harvard branch of the Fitchburg Railroad, an effort to connect Cambridge and Boston by steam, which was but short-lived. This became the students' eating house, called Thayer Commons after Mr. Nathaniel Thayer, who gave the money to adapt it for the purpose. Here the students had their meals until Memorial Hall was built. There were no restaurants in Harvard Square.

Next was a characteristic one-chimney New England farm house occupied by Royal Morse, and at right angles to this was one of the most beautiful and unusual Colonial houses, the gambrel-roofed house in which Oliver Wendell Holmes was born. Had this been retained, as it should have been, instead of being destroyed when Austin Hall, the Law School, was built, how well it would have looked facing the Gannett House in the space before the hall!

All these houses passed through many hands. The land on which the Gannett House was built, and much of that on Massachusetts Avenue behind it, belonged to Walter Hastings, hence the name of the dormitory, Walter Hastings Hall, on that site. There stood in that lot a one-chimney farmhouse with a lean-to, whose origin I cannot find. Eveleth, the college carpenter, lived there. Beyond that was a very small Methodist church, the forerunner of the present Epworth Methodist Church.

Garden Street, on the other side of the Common, was the road to Fresh Pond, the western end being called the road to the Great Swamp. I think of it as beginning at Church Street, but I find that the burying ground was considered as being on Menotomy Road.

The First Church, Unitarian, was built close to the Burying Ground in 1833. The place it fronts on, with Charles Sumner sitting against the brick wall which protects him from the subway, has been, I understand, recently christened by our City Fathers "General Douglas MacArthur Square," which brings us right up to date.

The burying ground itself dates from 1635, when a paling was built around it. In it, among other early members of the community, are buried many of the early presidents of Harvard College. In 1735, what is spoken of as a "good and handsome stone wall," was built around it, for which the College paid about one sixth of the expense. As they said, "The College expects to make use of the Burying-Place as Providence gives occasion for it." However, in 1845, Mr. William Thaddeus Harris com-
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 plains that "Cambridge suffers her ancient graveyard to be neglected and have a desolate appearance," so the wall was removed and a wooden fence took its place, which later was replaced by the present iron fence.
In this graveyard, in 1870, a monument was erected to mark the place of burial of the Minute Men of Cambridge who were killed in the Battle of Concord and Lexington. More men were killed and wounded from within the limits of Cambridge than from all the other towns. When this monument was in place, it was found that one word of the inscription was spelled wrong, and one whole line was chiseled out and relettered.

On the western side of the burying ground stands Christ Church, built in 1761. The line of the Common was slightly changed for this and the Town Pound moved.

About 1830, my grandmother, Mrs. Samuel Howe, of Northampton, recently widowed, came to Cambridge to educate her children by taking boarders, as have many other women. She at first occupied a house on Dunster Street but soon decided that she preferred Garden Street. There was one house next to Christ Church (now the Rectory). This belonged to William Saunders, carpenter and member of the Common Council. The next house was on the other side of Appian Way, and the next house after this was Fay House.

She bought the corner lot on the northeast side of Appian Way. This included a house on that street, now numbered 22, which was occupied for very many years by Dr. Wallace Preble. In this house she lived in 1832, while her neighbor Saunders built for her the house number 2 Garden Street. I have a copy of her contract with William Saunders.

In this she lived for the rest of her long life, until 1862, a period covering many changes, beginning with the enclosing of the Common. Here her two daughters continued to live after her death. Sara Robbins Howe, the younger, was six years old when she moved into this house, where she died at the age of ninety, in 1916. In the third story of this house, about 1878, she kept a school for children. This, my sister, Clara Howe, took over in the early eighties. Judge Walcott was one of her pupils, and at one time she had two small Chinese boys, the children of the Chinese Professor.

Dr. and Mrs. Norris bought this house after Miss Howe's death. They opened up, in the kitchen behind the stove, an old fireplace and

Dutch oven and made a pleasant breakfast room of it. Even in 1832, stoves were not usual.

My grandmother afterwards built the corner house, number 3, but I have no data as to its construction. Number 2 is almost as close to the back wall of the Rectory as it could be and allow of a decent alleyway, but number 3 is so close to the corner of the Appian Way house that one of the stunts of the young of the neighborhood was to see who could squeeze through.

I have very little knowledge or interest in the occupants of number 3, but the Appian Way house, when my recollections begin, was occupied by Professor James Bradstreet Greenough and his wife and two sons: James, who founded the Noble and Greenough School, and Robert, at this time a baby, who afterward became a distinguished cancer specialist.

Meanwhile, my grandmother's younger son, James Murray Howe, with his wife and two young sons, Archibald Murray Howe and James Murray Howe, Jr., settled in the house on
the other corner of Appian Way. This house, I am sure, had a history, but nobody knows it. The Hannah Winthrop Chapter has not been interested in it. I have been told that its front door was originally on Appian Way, and I suspect it was once a one-chimney farmhouse. It had a very pleasant sunny parlor at the back. Dr. Herbert McIntire lived in it from 1887 until the house was bought and torn down by Radcliffe in 1930.

During the early seventies there was a group of Harvard students who lived in this house and in number 2. They were many of them classmates and cousins of each other and of Archibald and Murray Howe. There were sisters and cousins of mine and other girls to match them. Mr. and Mrs. Greenough were young, gay, and social and liked young people.

In those days there were no movies, no automobiles. People, especially young people, entertained themselves much more than they do now. Mr. Greenough was a distinguished scholar, but he loved private theatricals. He was the leader, the impresario, as we say now, of many performances. No one thought of a public hall. These plays were given in private houses, where were double parlors with folding doors, the poorest of stage appurtenances, but good acting. Mr. Greenough dramatized Thackeray’s "The Rose and the Ring" for them. (The Cambridge Dramatic Club has his own copy.) He wrote, with Mr. Frederic Allen, a musical comedy called The Queen of Hearts.

I was a small child and only occasionally allowed to see dress rehearsals, which were seldom dressed, but it was all vivid to me, as my older sisters and cousins were all mixed up in it. There was one play with seven Howes in the cast.

There were no programmes but marvelous posters, not with pictures but gorgeous lettering, which remained as decorations in our house for years. Some of these actors were engineers who knew how to draw and had other knowledge. My aunts said that the night before the soldier on the monument wore the hat, they heard many mysterious noises, but they thought it best to keep very quiet.

The ground enclosed by 2 Garden Street and the Greenough’s house was a very pleasant garden. Steps led down to it from both houses. There is a tale of a student who became engaged to his lady love on his own class day, and the pair sat all day in that garden.

The Greenoughs lived there until late in the eighties, and after that, Mr. and Mrs. Harleston Parker of Boston took the house. They had a son, afterward a well-known architect, but he had a goat, and that was the end of the garden for the time being.

I have said that there were no houses between number 4 and Fay House in 1832, but after that, at some indefinite time, there was built, as close to number 4 as it could be, a double house. The part next to number 4 was occupied by the Reverend Joseph Henry Allen, one of the saints of the earth, a lecturer in the Harvard Divinity School, his daughter Mary, and his three sons. Gardiner, who became a physician in Boston, wrote A Naval History of the American Revolution. The other two sons, Richard and Russell, grew oranges and lemons in California.
There was another daughter, Mrs. Gage, for whom Mr. Allen bought the other half of the house, and there she brought up her four daughters.

Mr. Allen was not only a minister but a scholar. His study was a kind of bulge built into the space between 4 and 5, and from it he could dart out by the back way and cross Appian Way to confer with Mr. Greenough, while the two of them composed that classic known to my contemporaries as Allen and Greenough’s Latin Grammar.

As far as I can find out, one of the first occupants of the western side of that house was Judge French of Concord. His little son, Daniel Chester French, sculptured a lion in the snow one winter's day.

The next house, rather far back from the street, was that of Dr. Charles E. Vaughan, the founder of the Cambridge Associated Charities. He went to California in 1895. The house was torn down in 1908, and a temporary building for Browne and Nichols School was built on the back of that lot. The two granite steps up to the house terrace were used at the house on Willard Street, which was moved from Brattle Street, by Mr. Runkle in 1908.

Fay House deserves a story all its own and has it, for Mrs. George Pierce Baker has written its history. However, she herself did not remember Miss Maria Denny Fay, my mother’s cousin, who always seemed to me like a grande dame of the old school. Nor did she remember Miss Fay’s beautiful niece, Mrs. Charles Moulton, afterwards Madame de Hegerman Lindencrone, with her three children: her daughter Suzanne, whom we called Nina, her son Howard, and Francis, the youngest, who could not speak anything but French when I first saw him. I remember my cousin Maria giving a singing lesson on a rainy afternoon to a little girl in rubber boots who was afterwards known as Mme. Suzanne Adams, the opera singer.

That must have been a pretty corner by the Fay House. On the other corner of Mason Street was the old Deacon Moore house, with a row of poplars in front of it. Here Miss Jennison kept a dame's school "for the daughters and small sons of the best families." Little Thomas Wentworth Higginson went to school here, toddler from Kirk-land Street. Mrs. Horace Scudder was another pupil.

The Washington Elm was then in its glory, a beautiful tree, and up beyond Waterhouse Street was another fine elm called the Whitefield Elm, because, in 1740, the Reverend George Whitefield, the English Wesleyan, preached here, as he was not allowed to preach in the meeting house. They say he had an audience of two thousand people.

In 1869, the old Jennison house was taken down, and the Shepard Memorial Church (the First Church, Congregational) was built. Early in 1938, it was found that the graceful steeple of this was unsafe; the stones were disintegrating. It was therefore taken down and its lower part made into the present tower. This was a fortunate time to do this, for in September, 1938, came the great hurricane, which would un-
doubtedly have blown the steeple down with great damage. The hurricane, however, bent
the shaft of the golden weather cock, and it had to be repaired.

This ancient weather cock has a history. It was made by Deacon Shem Drowne, who also
made the grasshopper on Faneuil Hall. It stood from 1721 to 1869 on the spire of the New
Brick meeting house on Hanover Street, Boston. It is said that Cotton Mather preached the
first sermon under it in 1721.

The vane was taken down for repairs in 1785. The bill, still in existence, was "Repairs and
Gold Leaf. — 7-15-4." It was taken down for the second time in 1822, third in 1832, fourth
in 1844, fifth in 1858, after which it remained in place until eight o'clock P.M., September 8,
1869, the day of the great gale, when the entire spire fell. It crashed through the roof of an
adjoining house, and the vane parted company with the shaft on which it had turned 148
years. It was badly broken and crushed. The society owning it had it repaired and regilded
and kept it inside the building as a relic. Appreciating it as such, Mr. William A. Saunders
bought it, and it was placed on the steeple of the Shepard Memorial Church June 28, 1873.

Inside the vane were found papers wrapped in lead. The lead not being air-tight, they had
decayed and could not be read. There were also two flattened bullets, probably shot in
sport by British soldiers when they were encamped on Copps Hill near by.

The rooster measures, from bill to tip of tail, 5 feet, 4 inches, stands 5 feet, 5 inches high,
and the body is 8 or 9 inches thick. Its estimated weight is 200 pounds.

Profiting by the loss of the old papers, a sealed copper box containing papers and a history
of the vane was placed within the body. After having witnessed all the events in Boston’s
history from only ninety-one years after its settlement through five generations, the vane
was placed in its present position, and we can all hope that the graceful spire may someday
be restored. Dr. McKenzie’s parsonage next door has become a college club house.

Just at that next corner have come the greatest changes. Waterhouse Street really dates
from 1724, when the part of the Cow Common between that street and Linnaean Street was
cut off and divided into farms. Here still stands the house of Dr. Benjamin Waterhouse, who
introduced

vaccination into this part of the country. The exact date at which it was built is not known,
but it is pre-revolutionary.

His granddaughter, Miss Mary Ware, lived there until her death in 1903. There was a clock
in the house which was only wound on Christmas Day and the Fourth of July. The weights
ran down into the cellar, and if the house had not been so low-studded it would only have
been necessary to wind it once a year. When Miss Mary Ware died, her niece, Mrs. Robert
DeWolf Sampson, stopped the clock. Before her death she donated it to the Harvard Medical
School.
The house has been rented since then until this last year when Miss Ware’s great grand niece, Mrs. Southworth Lancaster, came to live in it.

Mr. Arthur Gilman built on the South side of it, and on the other side, Mr. Harold Whiting, Associate Professor of Physics at Harvard, built the house now occupied by Mrs. James L. Paine. He lived at number 3 Garden Street while it was being built, and he could have hardly moved into the house when he was called to the University of California. He left California in 1895 by sea and was shipwrecked and lost with his whole family. It is curious that the builder of the next house, Dr. Charles Follen, perished in the loss of a steamer on Long Island Sound in 1840. This house was occupied for many years by Dr. Henry P. Walcott.

The old turnpike to Concord crosses Garden Street like a pair of scissors, and in the "heater-piece" between the eastern ends stood a charming little house with a beautiful garden. The Reverend Richard Manning Hodges, a Unitarian minister, bought this house in 1835, from Rhoda Beale of Hingham. Here he lived until his death in 1878, after which it was occupied by his daughter, Catherine, and her husband, Dr. Charles B. Tower. Mr. Hodges was the grandfather of Dr. William D. Swan and Mrs. J. Bertram Williams. At the west end of the lot was a nondescript and very old house once belonging to the estate of Aaron Parker. At one time, it became a two-family house and was occupied by Mr. Edwin R. Sage in one part and, in the other, by Frau Grodte, who taught German in the Buckingham School.

In 1917 and 1925 all this and a part of Concord Avenue were swallowed up by the two big apartment houses, Mather Court, built in 1917, and Whitefield Hall, built in 1925. Soon afterwards, the Commander Hotel was built on the corner of Garden Street and Phillips Place, where had been two houses belonging to Dr. Hildreth. In the one

on the corner, Dr. and Mrs. George P. Cogswell lived for many years. It was one of those one-room thick houses like the Rectory of Christ Church, and it was said to have been built in 1796.

The so-called Bradford House of Browne and Nichols School was occupied by Dr. John W. Webster, who murdered Dr. Parkman. After all these years his sign was recently found in the cellar.

This house was occupied for many years by Mr. and Mrs. Samuel Lothrop Thorndike.

Mr. Thorndike (1829-1911) was by profession a lawyer. A graduate of Harvard College in 1852 and of the Harvard Law School in 1854, he was particularly interested in laws concerning bankruptcy and banking.

He was also a lover of music, and as such he became an officer of the New England Conservatory of Music, a member of the Handel and Haydn Society and of the Saint Cecilia Society. He was a trustee of the Perkins Institute for the Blind and of many other social, historical, and business associations, serving as president of the Old Cambridge Shakespeare Association from 1891 to 1895.
Handsome and debonair, he was a man of unusual charm. One who knew him well writes of him, "His personality contained a rare quality difficult to express, a quality that seems to have, in a large measure, died out in the materialistic sordid world of today. This came from a sensitiveness to all that exemplified the beautiful and the good; no detail seemed too inconspicuous to touch him, no grand harmony of the universe but lived in his soul. It was a hidden fountain which flowed forth to delight and cheer others, a force that lifted the atmosphere about him and made life more worth living."

His wife, Anna Lamb Wells, had a notably beautiful garden next to the house on the corner of Garden Street and Phillips Place. When the Thorndikes moved to Weston the Browne and Nichols School was built in or on that garden. The architect was a sister-in-law of Mr. Nichols, Mrs. William Ichabod Nichols, whose maiden name was Minerva Parker. This school was the first important building by a woman architect. About the same time the school was built, Dr. Rockwell's house was built and Dr. Wesselhoeft and his family moved into the big house just at the juncture of the two streets, which has now been made into an apartment house.

This brings us to Arsenal Square and the reason it was so-called.

---

About the close of the 18th century our Commonwealth began to consider the necessity for the better storage of its munitions of war. As Cambridge had, in the early days of the Revolution, been the center of activities with barracks in the Common, Cambridge was selected as the place of the state arsenal. On June 10, 1796, Massachusetts bought of Joseph Bates Wheelwright a piece of land with its western border on "Mill Porridge Lane" now a part of Garden Street.

In 1813 more land was bought from the heirs of Bates, and in March, 1817, Dr. Benjamin Waterhouse sold Massachusetts the land adjoining. He was not very anxious to sell it, as he said in a letter published in the proceedings of this Society in 1911: "I have only nine or ten acres — just enough to keep my creatures and amuse me by its cultivation." He feared that in time of war this valuable military depot would be extended to eventually "create a neighborhood not very desirable to cornfields, orchards, and fruit gardens."

No further land was acquired until 1864, when a lot having 418 feet frontage on Chauncy Street was purchased from the Waterhouse heirs. Meanwhile, in 1816, the General Court found that there was an absolute necessity for additional buildings for the safekeeping of munitions of war. In 1818 Governor Brooks reported that a fireproof building of brick in Cambridge was completed. It is described as 100 feet long by 40 feet wide and three stories high. In the years 1848-49 a "neat and elegant building 1 1/2 stories high, 100 feet long and 25 feet wide with a slated roof had been erected." It was the office or store house, and a brick dwelling house for the use and occupation of the keeper was built about 1852 near Follen Street.

April 29, 1861, Harvard students signed an obligation to obey such drill officers as the corporation might appoint. They were uniformed and organized into a battalion of four companies under command of Joseph Hayes, of the Harvard class of 1855, afterwards a brave general officer. This battalion guarded the Arsenal during May, 1861, and perhaps at
other times. Two hundred fifty-seven names of the students in this battalion appear upon the rolls of the State House.

Again in 1863, during the draft riots of that summer, the Washington Home Guard, a Cambridge company commanded by Captain Isaac Bradford, afterwards chief of the police and later mayor, did guard duty there. During a night of their occupancy Governor Andrew sent wagons
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to convey muskets, rifles, and ammunition from the Arsenal to the State House.

This was as near as Dr. Waterhouse’s prognostications of military terrors ever came, but there was at times a great deal of military equipment stored there. After the Civil War it was sold to private parties.

All these facts were unknown to the youth of my generation, but the Arsenal was a very distinctive and unforgettable feature. The block from Chauncy Street to Follen Street belonged to it. On the Chauncy Street corner was the main building, 40 feet on Garden Street, built close to the sidewalk line. It was reminiscent of the type of the old three-story Federal house, with the third story windows smaller and square, though there were double doors of great proportions into its yard. It was of brick, painted cream-white with black iron shutters on each window. The building of the superintendent stood on a little terrace at the back of the lot. It was at right angles to the main building and parallel to Garden Street. The keeper’s house near Follen Street turned at right angles again, so making an attractive courtyard which was ornamented, of course, by a flagpole and pyramids of cannon balls. The whole was surrounded by a high open picket fence also painted black.

But the enduring memory of my generation will be the machine shop built in 1864 or 1865 on the Chauncy Street lot. This was occupied about 1876 by the Cambridge Dramatic Club, the aftermath of those private theatricals of Professor Greenough’s. The young and enthusiastic members made it over with their own hands into a theater. The entrance was on Garden Street, up a flight of steps. The theater had the wonderful arrangement of graduated seats down to a real stage with a curtain, footlights, and scenery. No electricity, of course, but plenty of gas. The walls were painted a light color, and the windows had long curtains of burlap with cross stripes of color painted on them. Here in 1877 was given the first play.

This was maintained until 1887, when the whole place was bought by Mr. Edwin H. Abbot, who built the stately stone house on Follen Street, now occupied by the Longy School, and a stone wall all around the lot. Here is now the Hotel Continental, which has absorbed everything except Mr. Abbot’s house.

At the west side of the so-called square stands an 1860 or 1870 type of mansard-roofed house in which lived Mr. and Mrs. Franklin Perrin and
their handsome son, Arthur. Mr. Perrin was the president of the Cambridge Savings Bank at one time, and, in my memory, occupied, to me, the more important position of the Superintendent of the Sunday School of the First Parish Church, Unitarian.

Next to their house on Garden Street is a similar house built presumably about 1876 by J. Marcow. Next to that is the house which the late Frank Gaylord Cook gave to the Shepard Memorial Church as a parsonage.

The corner of Garden Street and Chauncy Street, opposite the Arsenal, was vacant and was used as a cow pasture for many years. Next to it on the corner of Walker Street (once Wallace Street) was a double house which I think must have been quite old. I remember two very pretty doors side by side and lilac bushes between them and the street. One of these houses was occupied by DeQuedville, a carpenter and upholsterer, who had two daughters. The older astonished and excited all Cambridge, when she was one of the first students at Radcliffe, by marrying LeBaron Russell Briggs, her instructor.

On the Chauncy Street corner, in the early years of this century, the present house was built by Mr. Erasmus Darwin Leavitt, a civil engineer connected with the Calumet and Hecla Copper Company. Here he and his daughter, Margaret, lived for many years. He had another daughter, Mary, who was the second wife of Dr. William Wesselhoeft, and who built the house now occupied by Miss Constance Hall. These two sisters were very agreeable and popular, but as they had lived for some time in Germany and were intimate friends with the Krupps, they were extremely pro-German and were rather under a cloud during World War I. After Dr. Wesselhoeft and Mr. Leavitt had both died, the two sisters sold these houses and built another nearer Norton’s Woods. Mr. Leavitt’s house has lately become the International Student Center.

On the western corner of Walker Street Miss Helen Upton, sister of Mrs. Rufus Allyn, built a very pretty small house for her old age. When that period was ended, the house was bought and enlarged by Professor Morris Hickey Morgan.

The next house on the corner of Shepard Street was lived in, in 1889, by Miss Needham, one of the founders of, and the first president of, the Cambridge Plant Club. This club is the oldest Garden Club in the United States and has just celebrated its sixtieth birthday. The house at that time

as all on side of the front door. An addition has since been made to it, and so Miss Elvira Needham’s lovely garden has been destroyed. She had a vast knowledge of plants and flowers, and some member of the Plant Club who went to call on her in the winter said her house was so full of beautiful flowering plants that there was only room for the two chairs she had.
The north side of the block, that between Shepard Street and Linnaean Street seems almost all to belong to the middle nineteenth century. Most of the houses were built in the seventies. On the corner of Shepard Street is the house built by Mr. William Brandt Storer.

William Brandt Storer

WILLIAM BRANDT STORER was born in Boston, April 2, 1838. "He was the son of Robert B. Storer, a prominent merchant of Boston, who for a long time was engaged in the Russia trade, and he was a nephew of Judge E. Rockwood Hoar, his mother being the latter's sister. He was a graduate of Harvard in the class of 1859. Mr. Storer retained a warm interest in his alma mater, and, besides serving as chief marshal on commencement day, was ever ready to aid in promoting the welfare of the university. On the breaking out of the Civil War he entered the army and served on the staff of General Devens with the rank of colonel. He afterwards went into business with his father, whom he succeeded as Russian vice-consul. During the administration of Governor Claflin, Mr. Storer was a member of his council. He was at the time of his death Russian vice-consul, a director in the National Bank of Commerce, treasurer of the Sailors' Snug Harbor, director of the reform school at Thompson's Island, and vice-president of the Union Club."

(From the Cambridge Tribune, October 17, 1884.)

His two unmarried daughters, Elizabeth Winslow and Helen Langdon Storer, still occupy the house, and like their father are interested in various charities, particularly the Animal Rescue League.

Next comes the house formerly that of Reverend Charles Carroll Everett, Dean of the Harvard Divinity School, 1879-1900, whose daughter, Mildred, was one of the prominent members of the younger set. Then comes number 55, built by my uncle, Uriah Tracy Howe, about 1870, in whose parlor this Society had a meeting, in 1939, when his granddaughter, Mrs. Sarah Folsom Enebuske, read us a paper about her Folsom ancestors.

The big house next door, the most modern in the block, was built in 1905 by Mr. Benjamin Vaughan. This Society will remember the delightful hospitality it has received from his daughter, Miss Bertha Hallowell Vaughan.

The smaller house just beyond was built by Mr. John Rayner Edmunds, M. I. T. '69, who was assistant at the Harvard Observatory, and one of the founders of the Appalachian Club. Great interest was felt by the neighbors, as he was building the house for his bride. She died when her first child was born. He never married again, but a delightful ex-school teacher, Miss Fanny Staples, kept house for him. She was a devoted member of the Shakespeare Club.

Four of these houses have been absorbed by Radcliffe College, which crept into Shepard Street and has advanced all across to Linnaean Street, leaving only the William B. Storer...
house on the southeast corner and what we might consider its parent house, that of Mr. Robert B. Storer, on the Linnaean Street corner.

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Boyd Storer came to Cambridge in 1856, with their son, William, and three daughters. He bought this house which I have been credibly informed was built by the architect Henry Greenough. He was engaged in trade with Russia and was Russian vice-consul. Two of the daughters, Fanny and Elizabeth, never married. They were among those who grew up during the Civil War, belonged to the "Club," one of the two sewing circles which started at that time. Their keen interest was specially aroused by the colored race, whose good or ill fortune met their unfailing sympathy. The third daughter, Margaret, married Mr. Joseph B. Warner, one of our foremost citizens.

The house is now owned by their son, Langdon Warner, who is an explorer and authority on Chinese and Japanese art, connected with the Fogg Museum of Art at Harvard. He writes me that at present he has let the house, and he and his family are inhabiting the wood shed on Linnaean Street.

On the other side of Garden Street, China was also represented, for about 1913 there came, with his family, Mr. Edward Bangs Drew, who had been Senior Commander under Imperial Maritime Customs of China, for many years. He could read and speak Chinese and held the 2nd Rank of the Red Button and the Decoration of the Double Dragon, both conferred by the Emperor of China. All of this sounds very colorful, but he and his family fitted into Cambridge as if they had always been there and proved a great addition to the community. They lived in a house, now a school, next to that house which Mr. Cook gave the Shepard Memorial Church for a parsonage.

The character and charm of the Street were much injured by the brick apartment house numbered 52. This and the three smaller houses between it and number 58 occupy a lot in the middle of which once stood a comfortable old-fashioned house belonging to Theophilus Parsons, Dane Professor of Law in Harvard College.

He had four daughters, the oldest of whom, Emily Elizabeth, born in 1824, was an unusual woman. I quote freely from a memorial of her written by her father after her death in 1880.

"From childhood she manifested more than common energy and a disposition to earnest and persistent activity.

"At five years of age she ran a sharp pair of scissors into the pupil of her right eye, destroying that, and weakening the other eye.

"At seven years old she was extremely ill with Scarlet Fever. This left her totally deaf. In adult life she was able to hear whatever was distinctly addressed to her.

"At twenty-five years she injured an ankle, breaking some of the cords. This was never entirely cured. She suffered much from lameness but never complained.
"None of these hindrances prevented her from doing all in her power to relieve suffering of any whom she could reach.

"In 1861, when the War of the Rebellion broke out, she at once declared her desire to enlist in the Army as a nurse and entered the Massachusetts General Hospital as a volunteer nurse.

"She had great fearlessness, entire absence of nervousness, and faced wounds without shrinking.

"She served in several Military Hospitals from 1862 to 1864: Fort Schuyler in New York, the Barton Barracks in St. Louis, and a Floating Hospital in which she had charge of the nurses from St. Louis to Vicksburg.

"She was presented, in June, 1864, with a goblet or vase of silver, lined with gold and beautiful in form and workmanship.

"It was said of her that she was a true and generous Christian philanthropist, embracing all of every race and condition and never sparing herself."

After her return to Cambridge she began to make efforts to have a hospital in Cambridge, and was able to form a corporation under the name of the "Cambridge Hospital" in February, 1871.

It was many years before the hospital came to function, but she can always be considered the founder of what is now known as the Mount Auburn Hospital.

After Professor Parsons' death it was difficult for his widow and her three remaining daughters, Katherine, Sabra, and Caroline to keep up the house.

It was Caroline who showed the energy and ability of her elder sister. She became famous for the jellies and preserves which she made, and it was she who organized taking boarders and "paying guests." The house became known as an agreeable and homelike place by many Harvard students, chiefly in the Law School.

After they had all gone, no one would buy the house, so it was torn down and the land came into the market. Suddenly, the neighbors found that apartment going up, just before the region was zoned. They were able to prevent another, but the beauty of the street was ruined.

More fortunate was the fate of the Dixwell property next door. Mr. Epes Sargent Dixwell, who kept a desirable school for boys in Boston, built number 58 about 1840. He had five daughters and one son. His oldest daughter, Susan, married Gerritt Smith Miller of Peterboro, New York, breeder of Holstein-Friesian cattle and founder of the first organized football team in America, as set forth in a tablet on Boston Common. Fanny married Mr. Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr. Esther married Charles H. Owen of Hartford, Connecticut. Arria married Archibald Murray Howe of Cambridge, and Mary married George
Wigglesworth of Milton. Mrs. Dixwell was a Bowditch. She and her daughters will ever be remembered for their kindness and generosity.

Mr. Dixwell died in 1899, and the house was bought by Henry O. Houghton, of Houghton and Mifflin, a widower with three daughters. The house was much remodeled, bringing into it Mr. Houghton’s fine library. His daughter, Justine, married Francis Kershaw. Elizabeth, who was a noted social worker, was killed by an automobile. Alberta was the most beloved. She made one room of the house into a Memorial Room for young men she knew who had been killed in World War I. After her death, the house was sold to Professor James B. Munn, who again remodeled it and has entertained this Society there.

Next comes Bond Street, named for the first head of the Observatory.

The Harvard Observatory was first established in 1839, in the Dana Palmer House on Quincy Street. As this situation proved not to be high enough for good observation, it was moved to its present site where Andrew Craigie had once had a summerhouse. The Observatory House was ready for the Bond family in 1844. Miss Elizabeth Bond has told us the story of its first years and how soon her delicate father died.

Joseph Winlock was Director from 1866 to 1875. After his death came Edward Charles Pickering, who for about forty years presided there and increased the usefulness, the value, and the prestige of the foundation enormously. He married Lizzie Wadsworth Sparks, the daughter of Jared Sparks, herself said to be the original little girl whose mother took a tuck in her dress whenever she was naughty, so that sometimes she was ashamed to go to school because her skirt was so short.

She was a remarkable social leader, sought for as chaperone at all the Assemblies, and in her own home a fascinating hostess. With such a host and hostess, there was a great deal of entertaining at the Observatory, for there was a stream of distinguished guests from all parts of the world. Mr. Pickering died in 1919, "full of years and honors," and a Mr. Harlow Shapley, who succeeded Mr. Pickering, carries on the great traditions of the Harvard Observatory, though much of the work has been moved to Harvard, Massachusetts.

That last bit of Garden Street has always been beautiful, but alas, now the enchanting Botanic Garden has been made into a housing project, and nothing is left to tell the tale, except the Gray Herbarium.

The Gray Herbarium, a brick building given by Mr. Nathaniel Thayer, contains 500,000 botanical specimens gathered from all parts of the world and kept in practically fire-proof files, as are many valuable letters from botanists in remote and distant places. Wherever possible, these
have pictures of the writers with dates of their births and deaths, the plants named after them, and accounts of their chief works. The oldest letter was written in 1586, many were written in the eighteenth century, but none was written later than Dr. Gray’s time.

Here is also a bronze basrelief of Dr. Asa Gray, so long the distinguished head of the Herbarium, by Augustus Saint Gaudens, a portrait of Linnaeus, in middle life and a smaller one in his youth, and busts and pictures of many generations of botanists.

Harvard College founded the Botanical Garden, and the first botanist in charge of it was William Dandridge Peck of Kittery, Maine, who was appointed in 1788. He was sent abroad in 1804 to look into the foreign botanic gardens. While he was gone, the present ground was purchased and plowed up, and it awaited his return with his knowledge and the head gardener he was to bring back with him.

Over three acres was bought, which grew to nine. Mr. Peck laid it out in 1806 and reigned there until 1822, when he died. He was a botanist known to fame, and various plants which he discovered are named after him. He followed the Linnaean system, in which plants were classed by the number of stamens, rather than the natural system, which was introduced by Dr. Asa Gray when he took charge in 1834.

Dr. Peck was probably also a conchologist, for his picture shows him with shells hugged up in his arm.

Dr. Thomas Nutall came to the chair in 1822, and lived in the house which was first built upon the grounds, from 1822 to 1828. It is said that he kept the conditions of a legacy for equal residence in two hemispheres by spending the end of one year and the beginning of the next abroad, thus insuring a longer term to devote to the work he loved best, that in this country.

He was a peculiar man and preferred birds and plants to contacts with his fellow men or fellow boarders. He occupied two rooms in the house, mounting a ladder erected in a closet when he went to bed. This closet had a small opening and a shelf on which Dr. Nutall’s food was placed from the outside of the locked door.

The same house was occupied by Dr. Asa Gray and his wife from 1834 to the time of his death in 1888. Mrs. Gray lived on in the house until her death, when it was bought by Allen Howard Cox, an architect, and moved across the street, to the corner of Madison Street.
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At the very end of the north side of the street is an old white house, once the Wyeth family farmhouse.

Between that and the Botanical Garden and the Observatory all the houses are of this century except that of Mr. Cox.
The street would seem to have some special appeal to artists and architects. Near the Wyeth farmhouse is the studio of George Plowman, the etcher.

In a little dead-end place, perched almost on the Observatory grounds and called Garden Terrace, is the interesting house build by R. Langford Warren when he was the head of the Harvard Department of Architecture. It is now occupied by Arthur Boylston Nichols, himself an artist. The house opposite was built as a studio by Roger Noble Burnham, the sculptor.

The little house picturesquely located at the top of the street was built by John Nolen, a well known town planner.

Built about the same time as these houses is that on the east corner of Garden Terrace, occupied by Mr. and Mrs. Alva Morrison, and on the west corner is that of Mrs. Munroe Day.

The old Dresser estate, coming across from Raymond Street, was opened up in the '20's of this century. This has been cut up into house lots and is known as Gray Gardens East and Gray Gardens West.

---

THE OWNERS OF ELMWOOD
A History and Memoir
BY LUCY KINGSLEY PORTER

Read May 31, 1949

HOUSES are as individual as people, sympathetic or cold, understanding or indifferent to those who come to live within their walls. At the same time they reflect like mirrors the natures of their owners. How is it with Elmwood?

The first person to live at Elmwood was the man who built it in 1767, Thomas Oliver. When he was four years old, in 1738, his parents migrated to the Province of Massachusetts Bay from the Island of Antigua in the Caribbean Sea. The intercourse between that Island and the North American colonies was of long standing. It went back at least to the time of Samuel Winthrop, a Quaker planter in Antigua who became its Deputy Governor in 1667 and who was the youngest son (by the third wife, and there was a fourth) of John Winthrop, first Governor of Massachusetts Bay. It was to continue through the next century until about 1848, when Free Trade in England disrupted the prosperity of the Island. A rich Antiguan merchant wrote of his travels in this country in the 1750's, and in the 1790's "the nabobs from far off Antiqua" were coming, with their Creole women servants and "black men in abundance," to take the cure of the famous warm springs at Lebanon, New York.

The founder of the Oliver family in Antigua was Colonel Richard Oliver, a successful sugar planter. He had three sons by his first wife, Richard, Robert and Rowland. The second of these, Robert, was the
Among the many who have generously assisted me in the preparation of this paper, I wish to thank Dr. Walter Muir Whitehill, Director and Librarian of the Boston Athenaeum, Natalie Hoyt, and Eleanor Barker, my secretary and collaborator.


Frederick Tupper and Helen Tyler Brown, eds., Grandmother Tyler’s Book: The Recollections of Mary Palmer Tyler 1775-1866 (New York, 1925), pp. vi and 168.

Oliver, op. cit., II, 318, with Robert Tracy Jackson, History of the Oliver, Vassall and Roy all Houses in Dorchester, Cambridge and Medford (Boston, 1907), p. 5.

father of Thomas. He was the first of the family to leave the Island, and the only one to come to New England. Richard, the heir of the family, left Antigua a few years after Robert, but settled in the mother country, in Leyton County, Essex. Rowland, the third son, went from Antigua to the Island of Nevis in 1762 and later, after Richard’s death, he too made his home in England. However, although they lived elsewhere, all three brothers kept their plantations in Antigua and enjoyed the revenue therefrom.6 Richard’s son, Richard III, or Junior as he was known in England, was destined to carry the Oliver name to its highest distinction. He became alderman of London and was M. P. for that city 1770-1780. In 1768 he was an active supporter of John Wilkes, although later he felt Wilkes’ “political aims were not similar to his own.” In that year the Lord Mayor and the two aldermen, Wilkes and Oliver, as three who were “united in the Cause of Liberty,” were given large silver cups by the Liverymen of London.7 This presentation to freedom loving gentlemen in England had its parallel in New England. Paul Revere executed the famous Liberty Bowl to commemorate an event of that same year, when ninety-two members of the General Court in Massachusetts Bay Province, in defiance of the King’s command, voted not to rescind the circular letter which had been sent to all the colonies urging action against the Townshend Acts. "No. 45" engraved upon the Bowl was the number of the supplementary edition of The North Briton, the newspaper in which Wilkes attacked George III’s message to Parliament.

Thomas Oliver’s mother was Anne Brown, daughter of a wealthier planter, James Brown, and Elizabeth, who later became Mrs. Isaac Royall. It was probably this grandmother of Thomas who influenced the Oliver family to come to New England. Her second husband, Isaac Royall, had extensive holdings in Boston and left the West Indies in 1732 to settle in Medford, which was then a part of Charlestown. There he bought five-hundred acres of land, including the simple homestead which had been built by Governor Winthrop and later enlarged by Governor Usher.8 He converted the house into a spacious and handsome residence

6 Oliver, op. cit., II, 318.

7 “Ibid., II, 341.
commensurate to his wealth. Nowhere in the vicinity was there a more elegant mansion. He built slave quarters, said to be the only ones still standing in New England, for the twenty-seven slaves whom he brought with him from Antigua. The astute Isaac petitioned the General Court to exempt him from the custom tax on these slaves, saying that he brought "a parcel of negroes, designed for his own use and not any of them for merchandise." Isaac Royall’s two children, Isaac and Penelope, both figure in the history of Cambridge. Penelope, an heiress, married Colonel Henry Vassall, the fascinating spendthrift, and lived in the Vassall House on Brattle Street. Isaac, Jr., was destined to play an important part, indirectly, in the development of Harvard. When upon the death of his father in 1739 Isaac Royall, Jr., inherited the Medford estate, he made the mansion even more sumptuous by further architectural improvements and elaborate interior carving. This must have been finished by 1750 when Captain Francis Goelet described the house as one of the grandest in the colonies. In beautifying the garden at the back of the mansion, Isaac added an unusual feature, a summer house, which excited the admiration and curiosity of all. There was a trap door in the floor which concealed a cellar for the storage of ice. On the top of the roof was a figure of Mercury. What is left of the wooden figure can be seen today, hidden away in a closet of the Royall House. The once beautiful summer house of Thomas Oliver’s grandmother, where probably the Olivers were often entertained, is depicted at Elmwood in the frescoes which Barry Faulkner painted in 1926 to adorn the front hall.

The ties between the two families were very close, although Robert Oliver chose for his home the more settled town of Dorchester rather than Medford. Here in 1745 he built a commodious dwelling, also with quarters to accommodate the slaves he had brought with him. Subsequently it became famous as the home of Edward Everett, and attained a certain reputation in the time of a still later owner, John Richardson, because

10"Samuel Adams Drake, Historic Fields and Mansions of Middlesex (Boston, 1874), p. 120. 11"See below, p. 91.

12"Kimball, op. cit., pp. 139-140, 283-284. "Grandmother Tyler’s" mother, Elizabeth Hunt Palmer, gives us a commentary upon its unhappy fate only a few years later. In describing (Tupper and Brown, op. cit., p. 45) a dinner party which she attended there in the summer of 1775, she says "General Lee, during this summer, took up his headquarters at an old shell of a house between Charlestown and Cambridge which, with characteristic eccentricity, he called ‘Hobgoblin Hall’" because (according to Drake, op. cit., p. 129) of its echoing corridors.

13"Drake, op. cit., p. 121.
of the unusual specimens of flowers which were grown in its gardens.\textsuperscript{14} Today only a plaque indicates where the old house once stood.\textsuperscript{15} New England confronted Oliver with a new problem, that of keeping out the cold.\textsuperscript{16} In Antigua houses were built to keep out the heat, for the thermometer never registered "below 74 degrees and . . . the ladies seldom walked the streets or rode in their whiskys without veils or masks."\textsuperscript{17} A late seventeenth century house (now owned by Americans) in Antigua suggested to me what the residence of the owner of a sugar plantation in the time of the Olivers was like. It is in the country about five miles from the town of St. John's, on the crest of Jolly Hill which once overlooked a two to three thousand acre plantation. The original building is of stone, with additions, probably eighteenth century, of wood. Although it is only one story high for protection against earthquakes and frequent hurricanes, it is nevertheless imposing. A map of Antigua made between 1786 and 1788 by John Luffman\textsuperscript{18} indicates a windmill on the estate, doubtless one of several which are still standing in the valley below the hill. Three hundred or more such windmills for grinding sugar cane and fodder for the cattle were scattered over the Island. Because they were built of good, sometimes superlative ashlar, which has enabled them to withstand the wild play of the elements, a surprising number remain, sturdy sentinels of the past. Public buildings were almost always built of stone, which was plentiful in the Island. The most beautiful is Parham Church, distinguished for its ashlar and the Palladian window of the apse. Although built as late as 1840, it is falling into ruin, which is especially to be regretted as it far excels in architectural interest any other church of the West Indies.\textsuperscript{19} The court house at St. John's is also of masonry, and has the characteristic quoins. When building in the vicinity of Boston, the Antiguans used, as did the other New Englanders, the local material, wood. In order to make a more elaborate and impressive design, they often adapted the patterns of masonry as decorative motives. In the Royall house the wooden siding of the western facade was scored to represent blocks of stone.\textsuperscript{20} The effect of masonry in the Robert Oliver house was confined to the structural device of quoins. What a feeling of strength and solidity the corner blocks of wood give to a house, as seen for instance in the eighteenth century part of the Lee-Nichols House on Brattle Street, now the home of Mrs. William Emerson, or The Larches of a later date,\textsuperscript{21} the home of Mrs.

\textsuperscript{14}Robert Tracy Jackson, "John Richardson: His House and Garden" reprinted from Transactions of the Massachusetts Horticultural Society for the Year 1904, Part I.

\textsuperscript{15}At Edward Everett Square.

\textsuperscript{16}His son Thomas, in building Elmwood, met this problem by lining the walls of the house with brick.

\textsuperscript{17}Oliver, op. cit., I, cxxx, Letter IX of forty quoted from the traveler John Luffman, written between 1766 and 1768.

\textsuperscript{18}Oliver, op. cit.. Vol. III.

\textsuperscript{19}A. W. Acworth, Treasure in the Caribbean (London, 1949), pp. 15-16.

\textsuperscript{20}\textsuperscript{21}
Henry Tudor. The facade of Elmwood, Mr. Rupert Lillie\textsuperscript{22} thinks, may also have had quoins, since it was a common feature of the better homes built at that time in New England. Certainly they would have given it a richer, more stately appearance. But as the house stands today it has an aristocratic air with the green lawns and the long gravel pathway. It seems to fit Oliver Wendell Holmes' description of colonial houses, "square fronted edifices that stand back from the vulgar highway with folded arms."

Cambridge, when the Robert Olivers arrived in New England in 1738, was only a small village consisting of Harvard College, a few farms and even fewer large houses.\textsuperscript{23} It was but a hamlet when Spencer Phips, the adopted son of the fabulously rich Sir William Phips, bought in 1706 a farm of three hundred acres in Cambridge. Later, in 1714, after he purchased another estate with the Gookin House, which he converted into an extraordinarily elaborate residence,\textsuperscript{24} Boston people of fashion, attracted by his luxurious living, began to follow him to Cambridge to establish summer homes. It is a striking coincidence that from three of the islands of the West Indies came the wealth of some of the most famous families in the village of Cambridge. The Phips fortune was pulled out of the

\textsuperscript{20} Kimball, op. cit., p. 38 fig. 19, and p. 283. The facade was probably built by Isaac Royall, Jr., after 1739.

\textsuperscript{21} Built between 1801 and 1808 as a summer home for William Gray, a merchant of Salem, it is one of the few Cambridge houses which has remained continuously in the same family. Gray thus became next door neighbor to Elbridge Gerry at Elmwood, as there were no other houses between them, and was eventually to serve as Lieutenant Governor to his friend during Gerry's two terms as Governor of Massachusetts, 1810-1812.

\textsuperscript{22} Mr. Lillie's special field of study has been the Cambridge of the last half of the 18th century, which he has depicted in maps and dioramas. His published articles on the subject are: "Georgian Gardens of Early Cambridge," Landscape Architecture, vol. xxi, no. 1 (1940); "The Gardens and Homes of the Loyalists," Proceedings of the Cambridge Historical Society, vol. 25 (1940), pp. 49-62; Cambridge in 177; (Cambridge, 1949).

\textsuperscript{23} Samuel Francis Batchelder, Bits of Cambridge History (Cambridge, 1930), p. 115, note 2. In 1765 Cambridge numbered a white population of only 1492.

\textsuperscript{24} Hannah Winthrop Chapter D. A. R., Historic Guide to Cambridge (Cambridge, 1907), p. 82.
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sea by William Phips in the incredible recovery of treasure from a sunken Spanish galleon wrecked fifty years earlier off the coast of Hispaniola (Haiti).\textsuperscript{25} The Vassalls' wealth came from Jamaica, the Olivers' and Roy-alls' from Antigua.

Robert Oliver's son Thomas entered Harvard in 1750 at the age of fifteen and a half, and was placed ninth in a class of twenty-one freshmen. The rating of the students had nothing to do with their scholastic standing. The most important factors were public office of the father (if he died, the placing of the boy was lowered), the English pedigree of the family, and wealth, which loomed large as the new colony expanded. Already they had drifted far away from the founders of this land who could bring with them little more than inner possessions. The profession of the father also determined the placing of the students. It is surprising that that of inn-keeper stood high on the list. The legal profession fared well, but
clergymen’s sons were given no advantages whatsoever in a land where the college was founded and largely taught by the clergy. The sons of doctors were not even considered in the laborious task of placing students. These facts throw light on the positions allotted to the following students in their respective classes at Harvard: Thomas Oliver’s friend, John Vassall, was rated second, Elbridge Gerry, who succeeded Thomas Oliver at Elmwood, just below the middle, while Spencer Phips and William Brattle at an earlier date shared the honor of first place in the same class. We do not know what place Isaac Royall, Jr., might have been given had he gone to Harvard. The decision of the arbiters was not announced until six or nine months after admission to college. Disappointment or elation among the boys, which was shared by their parents, made it difficult for the class to settle down to the college routine. The same social precedence existed at Yale, but was abandoned in 1767 for the adoption of the more democratic one of listing students alphabetically. Not until five years later did the more reasonable practice go into effect at Harvard.

Thomas Oliver was chosen class orator on the completion of his three-year course at Harvard. In 1756 he received an M.A. In 1760, at Trinity Church in Boston, he married the wealthy Elizabeth Vassall, sister of his friend, John, who a year later married Thomas’s sister, Elizabeth Oliver.

The people of influence in Cambridge wished to have an Anglican church in the little college town. Thomas was one of the eight to sign a petition in 1759 to the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts, asking for moral and financial aid in their project, and later in the same year sat on a committee with full powers to build the church. He also contributed £50 himself to a local subscription for its construction. After the church was completed, he took an active interest in its affairs, holding from 1765 until 1774 either the office of vestryman or that of warden. It might be said that Christ Church in Cambridge was designed by mail. The committee wrote, in 1759, to Peter Harrison, the gentleman architect of Newport, asking for a plan. He sent them in all five letters, which included a complete set of drawings and plans, and both exterior and interior elevations. I am glad to say that “the committee opined to express their gratitude by presenting him with £45.” The "masterly architect" had received no financial recognition from Boston for the more elaborate designs of King’s Chapel, constructed earlier. It is remarkable that it took only a year and a half to build Christ Church. The plans arrived March 1760, and the first service
was held in October 1761. The members of the community felt they had a handsome
curch. Indeed today the building is considered to be more representative of the
individuality of the architect than any of his other works. 28

If we add that Thomas Oliver was commissioned Lieutenant Colonel in the first Middlesex
Regiment in 1771, succeeding his friend Colonel

27 Franklin Bowditch Dexter, “On Some Social Distinctions at Harvard and Yale Before the Revolution,”


Henry Vassall, we have the sum of the known facts in his life up to this time. Probably he
wrote verses. At least one poem commemorating the accession of George III is ascribed to
him! 29

There are many questions about Oliver’s life, the answers to which are not to be found in
documented history. Where did he live on finishing his studies at Harvard? Did he build the
Walter Baker House as the guide books of Dorchester affirm? Or did he and his bride live
with his parents? His first three daughters were baptized at Christ Church in Cambridge;
why is there no record of the baptism of the second three? Why did he wait until 1767 to
build Elmwood, his beautiful home in Cambridge? Certainly all of his activities were in the
college town, certainly all of his wife’s relatives lived there, and certainly he had sufficient
means. His father’s will of 1761 states, “To my son Thomas Oliver a suit of mourning, a ring
and twenty shillings and no more because his grandfather, James Brown [first husband of
Mrs. Isaac Royall, Sr.,] and his great uncle, Robert Oliver, gave him a greater estate than I
am able to give.” We also know that in 1763 Oliver took a trip to Antigua, where he spent
£900 on slaves, silver and pictures. 30 So wherever he and his wife were living at that time,
it must have been in a luxurious setting. Even the fact that Thomas built Elmwood has been
questioned by Samuel Adams Drake, who says that until 1770 Oliver only leased the land,
acquiring the title by purchase in that year from the heirs of John Stratton, and that “the
messuage itself was there named.” 31 However, Robert Tracy Jackson answers Drake’s
argument by proving that the land bought in 1770 from Stratton’s heirs was further to the
westward on Mt. Auburn Street than the land on which Elmwood is built. He makes the
additional point that previously, in 1766, Oliver had bought parcels of land from Christopher
Grant, among which were six acres with a dwelling house and barn where Elmwood now
stands. As there is no evidence that Christopher Grant was a man of wealth, nor probability
of his owning or building such a house as Elmwood, Jackson argues that Oliver must have
torn down Grant’s house to build the present edifice for his own family. 32 The conclusive
evidence, however, comes from Oliver’s memorial (testi-

29 Oliver Elton, “Lieutenant Governor Thomas Oliver, 1734-1815,” Publications of The Colonial Society of
Massachusetts, Transactions 1930-1933, XXVIII (1935), p. 43.
mony given before a Parliamentary commission in London in 1783-1784), which includes the expenses incurred in building his house at Cambridge and a statement that the stone (the so-called Portland stone) came from Connecticut and the glass from England.

After the family were settled at Elmwood, Thomas Oliver continued to buy land in Cambridge until his property amounted to ninety-eight and one half acres. He anticipated a long life of ease and prosperity in his new home. All went smoothly until May 28, 1776, when he accepted the position of Lieutenant Governor of Massachusetts Bay Province, and with it the presidency of the Mandamus Council. The fact that the King had appointed the members of the new Council irked the freeholders of Massachusetts, for that colony was one of three which had the right to elect both president and members. A president elected at that time would have been a Whig; Thomas of course was a Tory. He had been suggested to George III by former Governor Hutchinson, who favored him because he was politically virgin timber and because he was in no way related to Hutchinson, unlike the latter’s son-in-law the late Lieutenant Governor Andrew Oliver and his brother Chief Justice Peter Oliver. Hutchinson’s family had been hated or, as he himself put it to the King, "envied" in Massachusetts. Thomas held these two positions for less than a month, from August 8th when he was sworn into office until September 2nd, the eventful day in his heretofore quiet life. On that Friday morning, Thomas Oliver’s swift decision and quick action prevented the Revolution from breaking out in Cambridge and postponed it for seven months. The postponement was valuable to the Colonists for, among other things, it gave them time to organize the Minute Men. The Mandamous Council, meeting on August 31st, had "usurped the Seats round the Council Board in Boston," and British troops had taken powder and arms from storehouses in Charlestown and Cambridge. Convinced that a large crowd on Cambridge Common had gathered without malicious intent and merely for the orderly discussion of their grievances, Thomas willingly complied with their request to ride in to Bos-

---

33 Hugh Edward Egerton (editor), The Royal Commission on the Losses and Services of American Loyalists 1783-1785, being the notes of one of the commissioners, Daniel Parker Coke, M.P. (published in Oxford, 1915, by Mrs. Whitelaw Reid for presentation to the members of the Roxburghe Club in memory of her husband), p. 227.

34 Elton, op. cit., pp. 45-47. The interview between Hutchinson and George III is quoted from the satires of "Peter Pindar," John Wolcot.
ton to dissuade General Gage from sending Redcoats to disperse them. When he returned to Cambridge, the leaders of the crowd received with gratitude his assurance that no troops would be ordered out against them. To Thomas, however, their gratitude seemed short-lived, for they suddenly asked him to resign his post as president of the Mandamus Council. His explanation was accepted that he would do so only if the other counties of the Province joined Middlesex in the request, and he returned to Elmwood confident in the people's word that they would not press him further. Thomas's confidence would doubtless have been justified had it not been for the untimely appearance upon the Cambridge scene of Hallowell, hated Comptroller of the Port and Commissioner of Customs. This incident roused the crowd to action. By afternoon it had become a mob, which proceeded to Elmwood demanding that Thomas sign a document of resignation. He refused even if it should cost him his life. They harassed him for five or six hours, with increasingly audible insistence that he sign, until finally the mounting fears and consequent entreaties of his wife and six daughters caused him, reluctantly, to yield. To the resignation he added, "my house at Cambridge being surrounded by four thousand people, in compliance with their command I sign my name."  

Within a few days he and his family left Elmwood never to return, taking to Boston what valuables were easily transportable, and the womenfolk soon set sail for England. The house and estate were confiscated. Oliver remained in Boston, still in the capacity of Lieutenant Governor, until, on March 17th, 1776, General Gage was forced by Washington to evacuate the city. Oliver accompanied the British troops to Halifax and from there proceeded to England, where eventually he made a home for himself and his family in Bristol. The plantations in Antigua remained a good source of income, and the Crown continued his Lieutenant Governor's salary of £300 a year until 1782, with a pension thereafter of £200, and granted him £2320 for the loss of his house and land in Cambridge. In 1774 he asked £5167/17s for the latter, but his friends John Vassall and Richard Lechmere, and other Loyalist emigres who were called upon to testify, estimated its worth at £2500.  

After the death of his wife, Elizabeth Vassall, Oliver revisited Antigua to oversee the overseers of his property, in the hope of increasing his wealth. He was entirely successful, as in 1781 he married Harriet Freeman, the twenty-three-year-old heiress of the Island! The remainder of his life was spent in Bristol. There the two children of his second marriage were born, thus giving him a total of eight daughters, and there, in about 1804, Charles Lowell, who was later to live at Elmwood himself, found him "regretting his old home."  

Thomas Oliver died in Bristol in 1815 at the age of eighty-one. He was buried at St. Paul's Church in the city of Bristol.
After Elmwood was evacuated by Oliver in September 1774, it was controlled by the Committee of Safety. For a week in April 1775, Benedict Arnold made his headquarters there. His company of sixty well-trained men in uniform lived in tents on the grounds. Here he worked on the plans for the attack on Ticonderoga. According to the records, in July 1775, "it being represented that the present hospital is not large enough to contain the sick, Lieutenant Governor Oliver's house is to be cleared for that purpose and care to be taken that no injury is done it," and on November 24th, 1779, the estate (of ninety-six acres) was sold to Andrew Cabot, Esq., of Salem.\textsuperscript{38} There is no evidence that Cabot ever lived at Elmwood. From him in 1787 Elbridge Gerry purchased "the Homestead, containing by estimation thirty-four acres, more or less," and the other bits of upland, farmland and marsh which made up the whole estate.\textsuperscript{39}

Gerry, the second resident owner of Elmwood, had so different a political background from Thomas Oliver that it is difficult to believe the two men were contemporaries and lived only some thirty miles apart. Gerry, born in 1744, was ten years younger, but Oliver outlived him by one year. His father's home in Marblehead where he was born is still a gracious home, and, although it has undergone many changes since the Gerrys' time, was probably equal in importance to that of Oliver's

\textsuperscript{37} Oliver, op. cit., II, 351.

\textsuperscript{38} Lucius Robinson Paige, History of Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1630-1877 (Boston, 1877), pp. 418 and 170.

\textsuperscript{39} See the deed to the land, entered at Middlesex Registry of Deeds May 16, 1787. The original document is among the Gerry family papers in the possession of Annette Townsend Phillips of Goshen, New York.

\textsuperscript{40} To be sure, Gerry's father made his money himself, whereas the fortune of the Olivers had been handed down through several generations. The Tories' inherited wealth of long standing may account in many cases for their zealous loyalty to the Crown and their remoteness from the political issues in the colony. They were the gentry who drank the tea which the middle class dumped in the sea.\textsuperscript{41}

Marblehead was one of the most active ports in New England at this time. It followed Boston in all its patriotic activities. Gerry had the good fortune as a young man to come in contact with the astute and persuasive politician, Samuel Adams, who played the role of John the Baptist to the Revolution and whose inspirational speech in Boston in 1771 gave birth to the Committees of Correspondence, his unique contribution to the cause of liberty. Marblehead was one of the first of the eighty towns in Massachusetts to form such a committee, and Gerry, his father, and his brother all became members. Thomas Oliver probably knew nothing of this new organization to which, in any case, he would have been antagonistic, as would the other loyalists of Cambridge. The Court party was still living in luxury, "enjoying chilled purple grapes and little soft crabs" \textsuperscript{42} at their afternoon gatherings, and ignoring the Country party which was endeavoring to unravel the tangle, daily worsening, between the colonies and the mother country. Then, too, Cambridge was a small village with only a ferry to connect it with Boston. The inhabitants of Marblehead, on the other hand, were for the most part merchants and ship owners who were in constant touch
by sea with the big city, and immediately affected by the British Government's tax and trade restrictions. By February, 1774, all the colonies except Pennsylvania had Committees of Correspondence, which proved a valuable means of communication.

How extraordinary were the steps which led from the establishment

---

40 The Gerry House at 44 Washington Street is now owned by Mr. and Mrs. Robert G. Morse. 41 Samuel Eliot Morison and Henry Steele Comager, The Growth of the American Republic (New York, 1942), I, 164. Thomas Carlyle, in History of Frederick the Great (London, 1898), VIII, 155-156, gives a detailed description of the Boston Tea Party. His reason for dragging this interesting account into the history of the Prussian monarch hangs on the coincidence that on that day, Thursday, December 16, 1773, Frederick happened to write one of his delightfully chatty letters about the Russian court and Voltaire to D'Alembert, his chief correspondent.


---

of these Committees to the calling of the First Continental Congress in Philadelphia in September 1774, to which all but one of the thirteen colonies, Georgia, sent delegates. Their aim was to bring unity out of their differences in order to send to the King and Parliament a declaration of their rights and grievances. It was not independence that they sought, but equal rights, the liberty, as they expressed it, which other British subjects enjoyed. A month later the first Massachusetts Provincial Congress was called at Watertown. It assembled in defiance of the Governor and undertook to collect taxes, reorganize the militia, and administer civil authority in the province. Edmund Burke spoke in the British Parliament with surprised and alarmed admiration that such a government was functioning by its own authority. John Adams, having just returned to his home in Braintree, worn out at the close of the long session in Philadelphia, was called to Watertown that he might give the Congress the benefit of his legal knowledge. He found "two hundred and sixty volunteer consciences," composed of blacksmiths, bakers, other artisans and small shop-keepers, governing the Province. What a contrast to the distinguished gentlemen in knee breeches and ruffled shirts of the Continental Congress. 43 Gerry represented Marblehead in the Provincial Congress, and pulled more than his own weight as one of the nine members of the executive Committee of Safety and as a member of the Committee of Supply. His great energy and firsthand knowledge of foreign markets, plus the fact that he could put his company's ships at the disposal of the Committee of Supply, made him outstandingly valuable.

A second Provincial Congress convened in Cambridge February 17, 1775, but adjourned to the meeting house in Watertown. Again Gerry, representing Marblehead, was peculiarly useful, especially in his efforts to obtain gunpowder. To this end he advanced his own funds with pecuniary loss. It was Gerry who, in a letter of June 4, 1775, suggested to the Massachusetts delegates in Philadelphia that "the beloved Washington" should be made generalissimo of the colonial forces. 44 His signal contribution, however, was the proposal of a measure "to encourage the fitting out of armed vessels," which was passed November 10, 1775, by the
Provincial Congress and is said to have been the official beginning of the United States Navy. John Adams spoke of it in a letter from Quincy dated 1813, when Gerry was Vice President, as "one of the most important documents in history." 45

Gerry was elected January 18, 1776, to take Cushing's place at the second Continental Congress. At the age of thirty-four he was one of its youngest members. He lost little time in getting to Philadelphia, considering the inclement winter weather and bad roads, and arrived on February 9. 46 Here was a gentleman who liked to go to Congress. As it meant no emolument and paying one's own travelling expenses, which were heavy, it grew harder and harder as time went on to find men willing to accept appointment to Congress. Gerry upon his arrival was named to a committee of five for superintending the treasury, one of the many hard nuts which the Continental Congress had to crack. Throughout his long term he was to take an active part in the work of that committee, serving frequently as its chairman. He served also on the standing Committees for Army Supplies, the Issue of Bills of Credit, and the Procedure for Conducting Legislation in Congress. 47 Of course the most immediate and difficult question was that of independence, which the Congress formally declared on July 4, 1776. Gerry was one of the fifty-six signers of the document. It became necessary, having severed the connection with England, to establish some form of government in the colonies, and this naturally was the chief concern of Congress for the next two years. It was finally worked out through the Articles of Confederation, in the adoption of which Gerry was indefatigable. Under this federation, which proved an unwieldy form of government, the last two years of the Revolution were carried on and the young nation endeavored to meet the ever increasing difficulties of its economic situation.

Gerry's life from then on began to show contradictions. When the

44 James T. Austin [son-in-law of Gerry], The Life of Elbridge Gerry (Boston, 1828), I, 79.
46 Even as late as 1800 when "a tolerable highway" existed between Boston and New York, the trip could not be taken in fewer than five days under the most favorable conditions. "When spring rains drew frost from the ground the roads became nearly impassable, and in winter when the rivers froze a serious peril was added," for there were few bridges and the rivers must be crossed in open boats — "an affair of hours at best, sometimes leading to fatal accidents. Smaller annoyances of many kinds were habitual." — Henry Adams, History of the United States of America During the First Administration of Thomas Jefferson (New York, 1889), I, 11-12.
47 James Grant Wilson, John Fiske, eds., Appleton's Cyclopedia of American Biography (New York, 1887), II, 630-632, article on Elbridge Gerry.
Annapolis Convention was called in 1786 for the purpose of considering common commercial interests of the states, Gerry refused to attend. However, the next year he accepted election to the Federal Convention in Philadelphia. The object of this assembly was to revise the Articles of Confederation, but the result was the writing of the Constitution of the United States. The creation of this system of federal government is the greatest original gift of the new nation to the Old World. Gerry’s contrary nature again came to the fore. He at first refused to sign the document, but after eleven of the states had ratified it, he came out strongly in its support. This led to his election to the first United States Congress, held in New York in 1779, of which he, forty-one years of age, was the oldest member.

Gerry had meanwhile married Anne Thompson of New York, "the most beautiful woman in America," and established his home at Elmwood, which he called "The Mansion House," and which Mary Palmer Tyler described as his "elegant country seat at Cambridge" where "beautiful carpets and splendid pictures and furniture adorned every room." Incidentally, Mary’s recollections of the winter of 1789, when at the age of fourteen she accompanied the Gerrys to New York in the capacity of nursemaid, throw an interesting sidelight upon their life there. She enjoyed few of the social advantages which her parents had anticipated for her from association with the family of a Congressman whom they had long known. She did witness the inauguration of Washington as the first President of the United States, but almost her only other excitement was that of hearing Mr. and Mrs. Gerry and the latter’s sisters, upon their return from the theatre (Mary stayed up to mind the fires), discuss and reenact the plays which they had just seen. The great hit of that season was The Contrast, the second play by an Anglo-American ever to be put on professionally. Its author, Royall Tyler, was an old friend of Mary’s father and was one day to become the husband of the little nursemaid.

Gerry after four years of public service in the Federal Congress retired to Elmwood, where his wife and their young children had preceded.

48 Austin, op. cit., I, 502.
49 Tupper and Brown, eds., op. cit., pp. 112 and 115.
50 Ibid., pp. 113-137.
51 Morison and Commager, op. cit., I, 250.
were an ocean of fire between the old world and the new, but he accepted the appointment. The commission, known as the XYZ Commission because the Frenchmen who saw our envoys wished their names withheld, was a failure from the start. Gerry’s part was particularly disastrous as he ignored the other two commissioners at Tallyrand’s insidious suggestion and negotiated alone with that unscrupulous minister of foreign affairs. Disgusted, Pickering, the chairman of the Commission, and Marshall left for Washington. Gerry, alone in France, was in an untenable position. Austin describes it as hazardous, but Gerry could have returned with the other envoys on the dispatch boat sent by the U. S. Government to fetch them. While he prolonged his stay in France, his family at Elmwood was harassed by the people. At night mobs gathered about the house yelling to frighten the young mother and her large family of children (reminding us of the scene to which the wife of Thomas Oliver, the former owner of Elmwood, had been subjected), and in the morning a model of a guillotine with the effigy of a headless man was erected near Mrs. Gerry’s window. When Pickering and Marshall arrived in Washington, President Adams recalled Gerry. Upon his return he and his family were ignored by the Federalists, which isolated them from most of the people of wealth and prominence in Cambridge, who regarded him as a renegade. With composure and dignity he bore all the disdain that was heaped upon him, convinced that his conduct on the Commission was always honorable even if his critics believed his judgment to have been flagrantly mistaken.  

52 Austin, op. cit., p. 156.  

53 Cf. Austin, op. cit., Chapters VI-IX.  

Harvard, strongly Federalist, suspended the granting of the degree of Doctor of Laws which it had intended to confer upon him in 1799. I am glad to say that Timothy Pickering’s degree was also withheld.  

54 However, in 1810, when Gerry was for the first time Governor of Massachusetts, both he and Pickering received their degrees.  

If the Federalists looked at him askance, the Republican Democrats considered that he had saved the country from war with France, and in 1800, although Gerry in no way solicited the nomination, chose him as their candidate for Governor of Massachusetts. He was defeated, but he has the distinction of having been the only Jeffersonian who ever carried the City of Boston.  

55 In each of the next three years he was nominated, but he took no active part in the campaigns and was three more times defeated. This respite from public activities should have given him time and peace to enjoy with his wife and children the Mansion House. Unfortunately two anxieties entered with heavy feet. One was the long and serious sickness of his wife, the other was of a financial nature. He had backed a friend who failed in business, leaving Gerry with his debts to pay. Notwithstanding this calamity, he still entertained, but with “elegant frugality.”  

56 Two political events in a measure alleviated the misfortunes. He was chosen a member of the electoral college of Massachusetts in 1804 and had the satisfaction of seeing Jefferson returned to the presidency. The other event occurred towards the end of Jefferson’s administration. Gerry happened to be at the house of a friend in Boston, when he was unexpectedly called upon to preside at a meeting to whip up patriotism on account of the attack on the American frigate Chesapeake by a British ship. Before he knew what had happened he found himself on the steps of the State
House, totally unprepared for the crowd of thousands which had gathered there, but he delivered a memorable speech. It was under these circumstances that he uttered the sentence which is engraved upon his tombstone.\textsuperscript{57}

When James Sullivan died in 1808, Gerry became again the most likely Republican candidate for Governor, and won the election for each of two successive terms, 1810 and 1811. It was the second of these that his

\textsuperscript{54} Records of the Overseers of Harvard College (original), Book '8, pp. 274, 283.
\textsuperscript{56} Austin, op. cit., II, 303-304.
\textsuperscript{57} Ibid., pp. 311-312. See below, p. 76.

signature to a bill added a new word to the English language for which he will always be remembered. The bill provided for redistricting Essex County in such a way as to increase the Republican vote. The resulting topographical map, with a few touches from an artist, resembled a salamander, which some wit of the opposing party quickly dubbed Gerrymander. The device won the majority of seats in the General Court, but Gerry himself, in his seventh run for Governor, was defeated.

Fate had something bigger in store for him — the vice presidency of the United States in Madison's second term. He felt at last his highest ambitions had been realized. At Elmwood he took the oath of office on March 4, 1813. He presided over the Senate with dignity and prudence at a critical time when the United States was at war with Great Britain. He enjoyed fully the social life of Washington and this dapper little man was, as always, a favorite with the ladies because of his courteous and elegant manners. Madame Jerome Bonaparte, nee Elizabeth Patterson of Baltimore, was especially gracious to him. It has been suggested \textsuperscript{58} that she smiled upon the septuagenarian in the hope that, should Gerry suddenly become President, he might make her the mistress of the White House, a slight compensation for having missed being a queen through Napoleon's annulment of her marriage to Jerome. It is an amusing rumor, but the circumstances which conditioned it were frailer than the health of Mrs. Gerry and of President Madison. Not only did both recover from their illnesses, but Mrs. Gerry lived to be the last surviving widow of a signer of the Declaration of Independence. Moreover, Gerry's letters to his family while Vice President at Washington shatter such a report. Few men with the heavy political duties of President of the Senate, which he eagerly combined with the social life the Capital offered even during a war, would have found time to write so often to his "dearest Life" (as he always addressed his wife) and to his numerous daughters (he had, in all, nine children) if he had been having a serious affair with another woman. No passage in them is worth remembering, yet the letters show a solicitude for his wife's health and a devotion to his children which is hard to forget.\textsuperscript{59}
On November 23, 1814, Gerry, driving in his carriage to preside over

the Senate, died of a heart attack. Congress decreed that he should be buried in Washington at Government expense, and the members of all three branches of the Government attended the funeral. On his tombstone in the Congressional Cemetery were incised the words he had spoken so spontaneously in Boston several years previously (1808): "It is the duty of every man, though he may have but one day to live, to devote that day to the good of his country." To his family Gerry left fame and debts. Since Congress refused to pay Mrs. Gerry her husband's salary for the remainder of his term of office, it was impossible for her and her children to continue to live at Elmwood. With sad eagerness, therefore, Mrs. Gerry sold the house and some eight and three quarter acres of land to the Reverend Charles Lowell of the West Church in Boston. Thus ended, in 1818, the second chapter in the history of Elmwood.

The Lowell family were the most celebrated owners of Elmwood. It was given its name by Charles Lowell, as he states in his will: "I, . . . having my domicile at Elmwood, as I have styled it . . ." His son, the poet, spoke of the English elms as the "sturdy islanders." They still stand guarding the grounds, although truncated by age and several hurricanes. From time to time the purchase of more land by the Lowells brought their holdings to thirty and three-quarters acres, approximately one-third of the original estate.

Little did his parents realize that their sixth and last child would make Elmwood a literary shrine. James Russell Lowell, named for his father's uncle, James Russell in Bristol, England, was the only one of the children whose birth took place at Elmwood. He was born on February 22, 1819 — with a caul, as he liked to remind his friends. Of all the men of letters Cambridge boasts, none of them belongs more entirely to her than Lowell. Writing to Charles Eliot Norton in 1873 he says, "I like Cambridge better than any other spot of the earth's surface." How often in Cambridge his verse is brought to mind, in the marshes he loved so well, under the willows, and especially, of course, at Harvard —

There in red brick, which softening time defies
Stand square and stiff the Muse's factories.

See above, p. 74, note 57.

Curiously enough, his wife was related to Thomas Oliver's wife; her mother, Mrs. Lechmere, and Elizabeth Vassall Oliver's mother were sisters, daughters of Sir William Phips.
But Lowell is best remembered at Harvard for reading his Commemoration Ode on July 21st, 1865, in the Yard between Holden Chapel and Hollis Hall. He had written this poem of five hundred twenty-three lines in one breath, so to speak. In a letter to J. B. Thayer Lowell says:

The poem was written with a vehement speed, which I thought I had lost in the skirts of my professor's gown. Till within two days of the celebration I was hopelessly dumb and then it all came with a rush me fece magro. I was so nervous that I was weeks in getting over it.  

And Mrs. Lowell described the event to her friend, Mrs. Herrick:

Mr. Lowell, although he had agreed to deliver the poem for the Harvard Commencement, had tried in vain to write it. The last evening before the date fixed he said to me: "I must write this poem tonight. Go to bed and do not let me feel that I am keeping you up, and I shall be more at ease." He began at ten o'clock. At four in the morning he came to my door and said: "It is done and I am going to sleep now." I opened my eyes to see him standing haggard, actually wasted by the stress of labor and the excitement which had carried him through this long poem full of passion and fire.  

His own personal loss in the war was a poignant grief to him. Three of the most promising young Lowells, his nephews, are numbered among the ninety-three soldiers that Harvard honors in Memorial Hall. In spite of Francis O. Matthiesen's refusal to include the Ode in an anthology "solely because it once passed for poetry in Cambridge," one cannot help remembering passages from it:

I with uncovered head  
Salute the sacred dead  
Who went and who return not. Say not so!  
'Tis not the grapes of Canaan that repay  
But the high faith that failed not by the way;  
Virtue treads paths that end not in the grave,  
No ban of endless night exiles the brave;  
And to the saner mind  
We rather seem the dead that stayed behind.  
Blow trumpets, all your exaltations blow!
Lowell was the first of the leading American writers to understand the greatness of Lincoln, but even with Lowell it had been a slow growth, not the divination of a poet. In the Commemoration Ode as read by Lowell at Harvard, there was no mention of Lincoln, but when the poem was published, it included the sixth stanza which ends by describing our "martyr-chief" with the words, "new birth of our new soil, the first great American."

Intense as was Lowell's affection for Cambridge, the flame of his love was Elmwood. In the frequently quoted letter to Thomas Bailey Aldrich, who was living at Elmwood when Lowell was travelling in Europe, he wrote, "Don't get used to it. I often wish I had not grown into it so. I am not happy anywhere else." Here he was born, here he wrote himself into fame, and here he died, as was his wish. Here, also, to the low-ceilinged rooms of the third floor he brought his beautiful bride, Maria White, whom Amy Lowell ranked higher than James as a poet and of whom Fredrika Bremer, a Swedish author, wrote, "She, like him, has a poetical tendency, and has also written anonymously some poems, remarkable for their deep and tender feeling, . . . but her mind has more philosophical depth than his." She described Lowell himself as "a perfect Apollo in appearance" and added, "As one of his merits I reckon his being so fascinated by his little wife, because I am so myself . . . She is gentle as delicate, and as fair as a lily — one of the most lovable women I have seen in this country."

This same Fredrika Bremer, interested in abolition and other forward movements, came to America in 1849-1850. As a well known writer she was entertained cordially, perhaps too cordially for her health, in our hospitable land. Her admiration for Lowell's poetry brought her to New England and to Elmwood, where a sympathy of interest caused her to visit for some three weeks. She was received in many other homes, including that of Emerson, and her letters to her sister in Sweden de-


65 Horace Elisha Scudder, James Russell Lowell, A Biography (Boston, 1901), II, 65, footnote.


67 Our Neighbors was translated into English (1842) and widely read in the United States. The Homes of the New World, translated by Mary Howitt (New York, 1853), I, 131.

68 Another Swedish woman, Tora Nordstrom-Bonnier, in 1949-1950, one hundred years later, retraced in two months Frederika's two year American journey, with the exception of Cuba, which had been of importance to the earlier traveler because of her interest in the problems of slavery. Mrs. Bonnier has written of her experiences in the book Resa Kring Resa: 1 Fredrika Bremers Fotspår (Stockholm, 1950). She was younger at the time of her journey than was Fredrika, who was fifty, and unlike her she did not travel alone nor wear hoop skirts, and when she went to Minnesota it was not with the purpose of studying the Indians!
scribing the visits which she made here were published in America and subsequently translated into several languages. Her excerpts from Emerson's essay on self-reliance are said to be the first appearance of his writings in Sweden.

Because of Maria's failing health, Lowell sold some property in 1851 and set sail for Italy with her, their two children, a maid and a goat. When they returned in November of the next year, Thackeray was on the ship with them, and in a letter to friends wrote "There is an awful superior woman aboard, a Mrs. Lowell with a clever husband, very pleasant." 

Mingled with the happiness Lowell enjoyed at Elmwood was much sadness. Together he and Maria suffered the loss of two baby girls in the house he loved so well, and later in Rome the death of their only son, Walter, not yet two years old. But the greatest sorrow for the poet was the death of his wife, his inspiration, which occurred the year after their return from Italy, and this Lowell had to bear alone. One daughter, Mabel, who fortunately had inherited her father's robust constitution, was now his only joy and solace at Elmwood, where they continued to live with his sister, Rebecca, who was mentally ill, and his father, whose health had been broken by a paralytic stroke. Charles Lowell's mind, however, was certainly clear and crisp, as was shown several years later when, in 1860, he wrote with his own hand and in his own words his eight-page will. In this unusual document he provided first of all, and tenderly, for his beloved daughter, Rebecca, and for his nurse who, he says, "has attended me so faithfully in the long time she has been with me." The last paragraph, more like a sermon than a legal declaration, is deeply touching:

Finally, I do fervently give to my children and grandchildren the blessing of a loving father and grandfather to whom they have been most dutiful and affectionate, and invoke upon them an infinitely greater blessing from our Heavenly Father, earnestly desiring and praying that, however, or whenever we may be separated from each other on earth, we may be united with each other, and with the beloved departed in Heaven.

Despite the poet's difficult responsibilities at Elmwood after Maria's death, he found relief in his absorption in books and in preparation for the Lowell Lectures which he gave in 1856. They were so successful that they led to his unexpected appointment to succeed Longfellow as Abiel Smith Professor at Harvard. Lowell accepted the position, which, among other things, gave him the munificent salary of twelve hundred dollars a year! It also gave him a year abroad where, at the end of seven months in Dresden, he realized his goal of the mastery of the German language. On his return, he could not again face Elmwood without Maria, so he joined his daughter and the charming Miss Frances Dunlap, who had taken care of the little girl since her mother's death, at the home of Dr. Estes Howe, whose wife was Maria's sister. The following year he married Miss Dunlap. He wrote of her to Mrs. Howe, "She has only one fault that I know of — she is not rich. But this I believe I can pardon." It was another happy marriage for Lowell, and
again with a woman of physical beauty and unselfish character, although without Maria White's genius and power to inspire.

A famous dinner party at the Parker House on May 5th, 1857, gave birth to the Atlantic Monthly. Lowell was surprised and pleased to be appointed editor of the new magazine, which gave him a salary of two thousand five hundred dollars. While still teaching at Harvard, he carried on successfully for four years the editorship of the Atlantic, and later (1864) the co-editorship with Charles Eliot Norton of the North American Review.

After his father died in 1861, Lowell with his family returned from the home of Dr. Howe to his beloved Elmwood. How strong had been its influences in his youth. From his mother, one of the Traill Spences of the Orkney Islands, came an early feeling for mysticism and poetry. From his father, who took him as a little boy on parochial drives, he gleaned a knowledge of pristine New England and of the vernacular of the country folk. From long hours of solitude, he acquired a zeal for reading. From the country setting of the place itself, he developed a keen observation of birds, trees and flowers. "How I love the earth," he wrote. "I feel it thrill under my feet ... I feel somehow as if it were conscious of my love, as if something passed into my dancing blood.

from it. Not a goldenrod of them all soaks in the sunshine or feels the blue currents of the air eddy about him more thoughtlessly than I." 74 And again, "The crickets have come and are cheerful enough in their monotonous way. I venture to think they have told me the same thing before. But that makes them the more like human society." 75 Perhaps his most characteristic trait, unless it was his power to make and keep friends, was his delight in books. He read with passion where others read only to read. Ten hours a day was the least he allowed himself, and he read authors through, whether in Latin, French, Italian, Spanish, or German, as he read, for example, the forty volumes of Goethe which he had bought in Dresden. The last year of his life, when too sick to leave the house, he read Terence through in Latin. When too weak for serious reading, he turned to Calderon, who had never failed to bring diversion. Lowell has been called the last great American reader.

He thought of himself as a poet, he lived to write poetry and wrote poetry to live. Today its appeal is somewhat fading, although there are lines which are indelible. His great year of flowering was 1848, when Maria White was alive and he was twenty-nine years old. The Biglow Papers, when ultimately published in book form, sold fifteen hundred copies in a week and exhausted the first edition. They exposed in Yankee dialect the iniquities of the Mexican War which extended the boundaries of our country to slavery. One of the many surprises in Lowell's surprising life was his ability to write a second series of Biglow Papers that proved equally successful. When Fields urged him to continue the series for the Atlantic Monthly, he demurred saying, "We hear no good of the posthumous Lazarus," but
he consented at last because the grave issue of the Civil War in the darkling year of 1862 was like fighting serpents in the dust and might lead to the disruption of the Union.

Lowell's letters are delightful. They were quickly written, as were his poems and prose. They are so readable it seems as if the ink were hardly dry, and they are dappled with his swift humor: "Bostonians generally . . . seem to have two notions of hospitality — a dinner with people you never saw before nor ever wish to see again, and a drive in Mount Auburn cemetery, where you will see the worst man can do in the way of disfiguring nature. Your memory of the dinner is expected.

74 Norton, op. cit., I, 273.
75 Ibid., II, 415.

81

... to reconcile you to the prospect of the graveyard"; 76 "George continues to worry the lawn with his two machines, one of which perfects the roughness left by the other." 77 It is said his conversation was even better than his letters. Bronson Alcott suggests that if Shakespeare had visited Cambridge between 1856 and 1872 there is no doubt but that he would have inquired first for Lowell. 78 Lowell's literary essays, of which those on Dryden, Walton and Dante are perhaps the most famous, rank with the best American criticism and make good reading for the layman. Some of his characterizations stick fast in our memory:

Wordsworth, . . . — that divinely inspired, wise, deep, tender, grand — bore. 79 Gray is the one English poet who has written less and pleased more than any other. 80

I think that Walton's prose owes much of its charm to the poetic sentiment in him which was denied a refuge in verse, and that his practice in meters may have given to his happier periods a measure and a music they would otherwise have wanted. 81

What he said of Dryden might well have been a description of Lowell himself:

In poetry to be next best is, in one sense, to be nothing, and yet to be among the first in any kind of writing, as Dryden certainly was, is to be of a very small company. He had beyond most the gift of the right word. 82

One cannot think of the life of Lowell without some mention of his long and intimate friendship with the poet Longfellow. From Dresden Lowell wrote to Charles Eliot Norton, "Give my love to Longfellow, and tell him that to know him is to be somebody over here. As the author of various esteemed works I am nothing in particular; but as his neighbor — it is as good as knowing a lord . . . I suppose I may say at this distance.

76 Ibid., II, 163.
77 Ibid., II, 438.
without blushing how much I admire and value Mrs. Longfellow. For dignity I think of her
and the Venus of Milo together." 83 It was Longfellow whom Lowell succeeded in the Smith
Professorship at Harvard, and Longfellow was a frequent contributor to the Atlantic Monthly
under Lowell’s editorship. Longfellow, when Maria Lowell died, sent comfort to his bereaved
friend in the poem Two Angels, and Lowell sought to dispel the loneliness of Longfellow in a
similar situation by suggesting to him that he should translate The Divine Comedy.

Elmwood and Craigie House, joined together at birth by ties of blood, came in time, through
the fortuitous moving of the shuttle of fate, to weave a more permanent pattern by the
threads of poetry.

Lowell’s diplomatic career began with an offer of the ministry to Austria under Hayes.84 This
he refused, but his comment that he would like to see a play of Calderon led to his
appointment to Spain. In the three years in Madrid he performed with both punctiliousness
and an amazing spontaneity his diplomatic duties, and at the same time made use of the
opportunity to perfect his Spanish. During the last year there he was tortured by the
sickness of Mrs. Lowell which eventually affected her brain. For this reason, and also
because of his inherent sympathy for England, he was glad to accept in 1880 the promotion
to the Court of St. James. Although Mrs. Lowell’s condition improved at first, she was never
well enough to enjoy the social life that her position offered. She died in February 1885, a
few months before the election of President Cleveland and the consequent recall of Lowell.
In spite of his personal anxieties, however, Lowell was a success in England. Greenslet,
writing of him in 1905, described his ministry there "as subtle and far-reaching public
service as the history of American diplomacy has to record."85 His culture and his wit made
him popular with the English. To their surprise they found nothing of the backwoodsman in
this polished scholar, and his pride in his own country — as he said of himself, "If I am not
American, who ever was?" — but added to his favor. No one was more in demand than he as
an after-dinner speaker. He made life-long friendships with many Englishmen of note. He
was even offered a professorship of English Language and Literature at Oxford, but,
although his

83 Norton, op. cit., I, 240.

84 He was subsequently offered those to Germany and Russia, as well. Cf. Howe, op. cit., p. 224 and Norton,
op. cit., II, 194.

85 Greenslet, op. cit., p. 194.
love for England tempted him to accept it, his roots were too deep in American soil to be transplanted.

After his return to America, Lowell went to live at Deerfoot Farm with his daughter, Mabel Burnett, and her family of children. He did not resume his professorial duties at Harvard and therefore had no regular occupation, but he travelled a great deal about the country lecturing, wrote many articles and a few poems, and devoted more and more of his time to reading. In 1889 Mrs. Burnett arranged to make a home for her family at Elmwood in order that the poet might return to the house he loved so passionately. Here after less than two years' residence, he died in August 1891.

Among Lowell's English friends was "the long-legged, mountain climbing, free-thinking Leslie Stephen," who had first sought him out when he came to America in 1863 to see what the Civil War was about, as the author of the Biglow Papers, which were much admired in England. Through correspondence and an exchange of visits the friendship became an intimate one. Lowell was godfather to the youngest Stephen child, the little girl who became the great English novelist, Virginia Woolf. In the summers after his wife's death and when he had ceased to be minister to England, Lowell never omitted the Stephens in his visits to his English friends, and a year before Lowell's death, when he was not well enough to make his annual trip across the ocean, Leslie Stephen came to him. Stephen gives us the essence of Lowell in his letter of 1892 to that other close friend, Charles Eliot Norton:

To see Lowell in his home and the home of his father was to realize more distinctly what is indeed plain enough in all his books — how deeply he had struck his roots into his native earth. Cosmopolitan as he was in knowledge, with the literature not only of England, but of France and Italy at his ringers' ends, the genuine Yankee, the Hosea Biglow, was never far below the surface . . . Lowell's ardent belief in his nation was, to an outsider, a revelation of greatness both in the object of his affections and in the man who could feel them. I could realize more clearly after knowing Lowell the great national qualities which could call forth such a devotion, and could value him for appreciating them so profoundly. The 'Commemoration Ode,' with the fine passage upon the necessity of the poet 'keeping measure with his people' explains all this far better than any clumsy analysis of mine.86


The fourth and last person to own Elmwood was Kingsley Porter. He bequeathed to Harvard College, upon my death, the house and grounds, together with a fund to maintain them. When it comes to writing of him, my pen falters, yet surely I may suggest the debt I owe him. When I took the hand of this tall, fair young man, I knew as little of the road we should travel together as Tobias when he set forth with the Stranger on their journey. I was led into a new world where buildings ask the questions how and when, and where the beauty and symbolism of the sculpture of the Middle Ages reveal themselves and poverty, as Kingsley put it, "was not as for us a curse, but a blessing." He went on to say, "The spark
[of Gothic architecture] was kindled in poverty, at a modest hamlet on the marshy banks of the river Sesia in the Lombard plain. The story of its birth is as exciting as that immortal passage at the beginning of the 'Agamemnon' in which Aeschylus describes the beacon fires by means of which the news of the fall of Troy was signalled from mountain to mountain top around the Aegean Sea to much-golden Mycenae.” 87 Just so the Gothic vault spread through the plain of Lombardy until the beacon fire burst forth in all its glory in S. Ambrogio of Milan, and thence to even greater heights in France.88

Both Kingsley and I were born in Connecticut, far from the great churches of the Middle Ages. His Alma Mater was Yale, as it was of his father and older brothers. Perhaps we both might be called apostates, as my brothers also went to Yale. It had been planned that on graduation from college and after travel in Europe, Kingsley should study law and join his brother as partner in his successful New York firm. However, when he stood before the Cathedral of Coutances, it came to him as a revelation that he must dedicate his life not to law but to mediaeval architecture. While he was pursuing his graduate studies in this field at Columbia University, he felt compelled to convince his family that his dream was practical, and secretly he wrote a history of the architecture of the middle ages89 which was accepted for publication when he was twenty-four. It still ranks as one of the standard works on the subject. In writing this history he discovered how little known the churches of Lombardy were, which led him to an intensive study of that region and resulted,

87 Beyond Architecture (Boston, 1918), p. 71.
88 Cf. Ibid., p. 72.

seven years later, in the four volume work Lombard Architecture 90 It was awarded the Grande Medaille de Vermeil de la Societe Francaise d'Archeologie. He was called to lecture at Yale, and in 1918 was made an assistant professor.

During the first World War the government of France invited Kingsley to become a member of a commission to study the preservation of French Monuments. The honor touched him deeply as he was the only foreigner appointed to the commission. At the end of the war he decided not to return to Yale, but to live in Italy in order to devote his whole time to archaeology and writing. Then to his surprise came an offer of a professorship at Harvard. This he could not refuse. It was followed by another surprise, that Elmwood was to let. So two unforeseen events brought us to this famous house, which Kingsley later, in 1925, purchased from the Lowell heirs. The three acres which came with the house were all that were left of the original estate. Oddly enough, we each independently had always thought of Cambridge as the most desirable place in all the world to live.

Kingsley was now a recognized authority on Romanesque art and stood tall among archaeologists. It has been said that this modest man was the last of the giants in the history of art. While teaching at Harvard, he continued his "pioneer" work, which usually was provocative in character and upsetting to orthodox theories. Harvard generously
allowed him to combine with his teaching trips to Europe in term time, in order that he
might work and study in his laboratory — the libraries of Europe and the mediaeval
monuments themselves. As if he had foreseen that his life would end abruptly at fifty, he
worked without respite. He published five monumental art histories.\textsuperscript{91} All of them are
generously illustrated with photographs, since Kingsley realized that photography would
become increasingly important in the study of art. Lombard Architecture, for instance,
contained 954 illustrations, Romanesque Sculpture over 1500. The majority of the
photographs he took himself, with some assistance from me, and they appeared for the first
time in these volumes. This was in the time of glass plates and before the invention of light

\textsuperscript{90} New Haven, 1915.

\textsuperscript{91} In addition to the two already mentioned, Romanesque Sculpture of the Pilgrimage Roads (Boston, 1923) in
ten volumes; Spanish Romanesque Sculpture (Florence and Paris, 1928) in two volumes, translated into
German and Spanish in the same year; The Crosses and Culture of Ireland (New Haven, 1931).

meters. Frequently a huge bulky camera and another smaller one, with all the
accompanying paraphernalia, had to be carried to monasteries or pilgrimage churches on
the tops of mountains, and in the evening, after a long day’s work, the photographs had to
be developed so that we could know how successful we had been and whether or not the
trip should be repeated. The problem often arose of transforming into a darkroom a
bedroom which had no running water and whose ill-fitting doors admitted streaks of light.
But how rewarding those expeditions were! Once in Spain, following a lead discovered in
the National Library at Madrid, we found a mediaeval church, entirely unknown by
archaeologists. It is "characterized by a precious and unique feature, an inscription cut in
the very stone of the building, over the portal, stating categorically that the church was
built by the count Sancho and his wife Urraca, and that it was finished in 1072." Not only
did it possess carved capitals, but a wooden virgin of the same date which had never been
removed from the church.\textsuperscript{92}

Kingsley also wrote over ninety articles in Italian, French, German and Spanish, as well as
English. He published, likewise, two books of essays\textsuperscript{93} and two plays, one of which, The
Virgin and The Clerk,\textsuperscript{94} has been successfully produced. His books have been translated into
German and Spanish.

When he had been elected to thirteen learned societies in France, Italy, Germany, Spain and
America, and had received two honorary degrees, one from Marburg University in Germany
and one from Williams College, he wrote thus of the rewards of men:

The Archivist. And now when you have —

Theophilus. What have I?

The Archivist. Success.

Theophilus. And what is success?
The Archivist. The dream of men.

Theophilus. Success is only — being thought.

The Archivist. Why not be thought?

Theophilus. So soon as we are, we want to be thought, and so soon as we want to be thought, we no longer are.\textsuperscript{95}

\textsuperscript{92} Porter, Spanish Romanesque Sculpture, I, 63 and plate 47.

\textsuperscript{93} Under the same title, Beyond Architecture (one in 1918, one in 1928), but containing different essays with the exception of one, "Against Roman Architecture."

\textsuperscript{94} The other was The Seven Who Slept (Boston, 1919).

\textsuperscript{95} The Virgin and The Clerk, Scene VII, p. 80.

It is difficult to suggest the caliber of Kingsley's mind. The slow laborious patience of a scholar tempered his flashing insight and kept in abeyance his quick judgment of the quality and date of mediaeval sculpture until research should substantiate it. Before citing instances I venture to say that probably no other man has ever seen with his own eyes so much of the sculpture of the middle ages in France, Italy and Spain and, to a lesser degree, in Germany and England. If necessary, he would travel any distance at any time to study a work of art pertinent to the immediate problem. Once when we were in Spain and Kingsley was writing Spanish Romanesque Sculpture, he wished to see again for comparison's sake the ninth-century head of the stone crucifix from St. Martin, now at St. Pantaleon-les-Autun.\textsuperscript{96} We boarded a train for Autun, France, saw the fragment of early sculpture, and returned as quickly as possible to our work in Madrid.

One instance of his instinctive reaction occurred when for the first time Kingsley saw at Cluny, in the Ochier Museum (a part of what had been the Abbot's Palace), the eight ambulatory capitals from the great abbey church which had been ruthlessly destroyed in the nineteenth century for building material. He was immediately overwhelmed by the "mannered" beauty of the carved capitals and recognized, in their sophisticated naivete and flowing lines, characteristics of the eleventh century. The French had labelled them twelfth century. Prolonged study of the delicate carving of the capitals, two of which represent the eight Greek modes of music, gave to this young American scholar enough confidence to stand out alone against the established French chronology which had been accepted without question by all archaeologists — "l'erudition est moutonnière." \textsuperscript{97}Kingsley's earlier dating \textsuperscript{98} was not generally accepted until Professor Kenneth Conant of Harvard proved conclusively, in the course of studies and excavations at Cluny begun in 1927 and still under way, that Kingsley was right in believing that the capitals were carved before being placed, and therefore before 1095 when the high altar was consecrated in the presence of Pope Urban II. Orthodox archaeology,
accepting the documentary evidence of the consecration but clinging to the later dating of the capitals, dodged the issue by asserting that the capitals were placed uncarved for the ceremony and carved in situ at a later time, although this is contrary to the practice in Romanesque building. When the capitals in the Museum were taken down to be photographed, Mr. Conant was provided with an exceptional opportunity to study them at close range. It was then incontrovertibly clear that the carving could not have been done after they had been put in place.99

The other instance I wish to cite to illustrate Kingsley’s astuteness in regard to mediaeval sculpture centers around sculpture from Notre Dame-de-la-Couldre at Parthenay (Deux-Sevres), a small but beautiful church also destroyed in the nineteenth century. Three reliefs from this church are in the Louvre, two of crowned figures more than life-size representing elders of the Apocalypse, the third one depicting the angel appearing to the shepherds. Three complementary reliefs are in the Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum in Boston, two of which are crowned figures and the third "The Entry into Jerusalem." 100

Kingsley and I went together to the Louvre early in January 1919 to see the Parthenay sculptures. He had studied them before, but he wished to investigate further that "something" which made him feel the statues were wrong. It was not their provenance nor their twelfth century date which troubled him, it was a certain soft, sentimental quality that enhanced their beauty but seemed to him incompatible with mediaeval art. He felt confident that the lower halves of the crowned figures were new, and that even the upper halves had been subjected to too much surface treatment. A trip

99 Kenneth J. Conant, "The Third Church at Cluny" in Medieval Studies in Memory of A. Kingsley Porter (Cambridge 1939), II, p. 327 ff. Perhaps the truest light is thrown on Kingsley’s position as regards Cluny by Mr. Conant’s own words: "Kingsley Porter’s name will never be forgotten by those who are concerned with Cluny studies because of his beautifully appreciative writing on the role of the great Burgundian abbey, and because excavation of its site was suggested — indeed, necessitated — by the questions raised in Mr. Porter’s bold solution of the Cluny problem. It is a work of what he called ‘creeping scholarship’ to follow where his intuition led the way." The excavations at Cluny were sponsored by the Mediaeval Academy of America and officially published in the Academy’s journal, Speculum. The most recent article (Vol. XVII, No. 4, October 1942) gives a list of the earlier articles.

100 The sculptures had been given to the Louvre by Les Amis du Louvre and Les Musées Nationaux in July 1914, a few weeks before the declaration of war. At about the same time the Gardner Museum had acquired its three reliefs. Because of the war, the Louvre was closed, but in 1916 it was decided to open the section of mediaeval sculpture to the French people as a way of accentuating the crime the Germans were committing in
the destruction of churches, rich with sculptured decoration and, at the same time, of presenting an opportunity for giving prominence to the Parthenay reliefs.
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to Parthenay brought forth damaging evidence against the sculptures as exhibited at the Louvre. In the library of the town Kingsley found a book, published in 1876, about the sculptures of the region which showed engravings by Sadoux of only the upper halves of the elders — kings, as the crowned figures were then called. An engraving of the Louvre tympanum showed that the head of the boy and heads and hands of the two shepherds were missing. Although the Louvre authorities knew of these drawings, they were convinced by the art dealer through whom they acquired them that the lower halves of the figures and the heads, those parts of the sculptures which were missing in the engravings, were not new but were the original ones which had been found somewhere else at Parthenay. I begged Kingsley to expose these facts in Romanesque Sculpture of the Pilgrimage Roads which he was then writing, but he felt his shoulders were not broad enough to sustain any more criticism than that which the changing of the date of Cluny and other controversial matters would bring. He consequently merely published the Sadoux drawings with the photographs of the restored sculptures in the Louvre without comment.

It is impossible to speak of Elmwood and not stress its connection with Harvard students. We do not know whether they were entertained by the first owner, Thomas Oliver, during the brief seven years in which he enjoyed his beautiful new home. As he was related to the families on "Tory Row," whose sons all attended college, one can easily picture the students mingling in the afternoon garden parties at Elmwood. We know Elbridge Gerry delighted in receiving them at the Mansion House. It was here that James T. Austin, his future biographer, while an undergraduate at Harvard first met the second owner of Elmwood — as well as his daughters, one of whom he later married. He says, "No one was a greater favorite with the young than Mr. Gerry. He accommodated his manners to their feelings, habits and taste. With a dignity of deportment and an elevation of character that commanded their respect, there


102 Plates 1053, 1055, 1056.

103 Plates 1054 and 1057.

104 I shall not here go into the rumors and scandal which gathered about the attractive but dishonest dealer, Demotte, regarding these sculptures and others. It is enough to state that the result was three law suits followed by two sudden deaths, one of which was his own. See The Boston Herald for June 2, 1923.
was a freedom of manners and an indulgent disposition, which won their affections and secured their confidence." 105 James Russell Lowell held his famous Dante class at Elmwood, and through his numerous relatives and later his own grandsons, the front door of the house stood always open for Harvard students. Kingsley Porter carried on the good tradition. He and I were at home every Sunday afternoon to students, where they were wont to meet professors in many different fields. Kingsley also held graduate classes in his study at the top of the house, far from the sound of doorbell and telephone. In an adjoining room, a duplicate of his own study in its simplicity and its books heavily lining the walls, the students were free to make use of his library and extensive photograph collection. The passageway which connected the two studies had more than a physical significance, as is indicated by Kingsley’s dedication of the last book he ever wrote, 106 "To my Teachers, my Harvard Students."

I have given to Harvard College the A. Kingsley Porter collection of medieval photographs, some twenty to forty thousand (they have never been counted). The majority were purchased, but the rarest are the many thousands which we took ourselves. I have also presented the greater part of Kingsley’s art library, which consists of thousands of books and pamphlets. Many of the former are duplicates of those in the Treasure Room at Widener Library, and a large number of the latter are especially valuable because they were published in the localities concerned and do not exist elsewhere in America. In this connection I like to recall James Russell Lowell’s gift of books to Harvard. In his will he said, "I give to the Corporation of Harvard College for the Library thereof my copy of 'Webster on Witchcraft' formerly belonging to Increase Mather, President of the College; and also any books from my library of which the College Library does not already possess copies." Seven hundred volumes on old French literature which Lowell had collected form the nucleus of the James Russell Lowell Memorial Library of French and Romance Languages. The collection has been added to until it is now the third largest special library at Harvard. To go further back in Harvard’s history, Thomas Oliver’s uncle, Isaac Royall, Jr., of Medford, although he did not go to Harvard himself, contributed generously to the purchase of books when the entire college library with the exception of


106 The Crosses and Culture of Ireland.
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one book was destroyed in the disastrous fire of 1764. Isaac was perhaps not as brave as he was generous, for just before the Battle of Lexington he fled from his beautiful Medford home — "not that he loved the colonies less but England more" 107 — and never returned, but in his will he left two thousand acres of land near Medford to Harvard College for the endowment of a professorship. This bequest led to the creation of the Royall Professorship of Law in 1817 and eventually to the establishment of the Harvard Law School.

From the beginning Elmwood has been associated with Harvard. Thomas Oliver, Elbridge Gerry and the two Lowells were all Harvard graduates, and both James Russell Lowell and Kingsley Porter were professors there. It is fitting that Harvard should be its last owner.
What reflections will Harvard find in Elmwood's mirror of the past? Certainly Thomas Oliver impressed upon it the stamp that leisure and luxury of slave labor bring, by which both his wife's ancestors, the Vassalls, and his own had been pampered in the islands of the Caribbean. Naturally he made the house in all its details English. The profiles of its wooden moldings are copies of those in stone of the Georgian manor houses. The tiles of the fireplaces are either by Sadler of Liverpool or from Delft. Oliver's Tory conservatism was carried over to the square colonial wooden structure of the house. James Russell Lowell once warned a friend who rented it while he was abroad, "It will make a frightful conservative of you before you know it. It was born a Tory and will die so." After the Revolution, when Elbridge Gerry became owner, he revived the aristocratic life that the Mansion House had enjoyed under the Olivers, but he gave it a republican facade. From the Lowell owners Elmwood took its warm friendliness and a love of books. What consolation these qualities brought to the poet in his last long sickness. To Kingsley Porter Elmwood gave seclusion for his work, with his books surrounding him, and provided space for his extensive collection of architectural photographs. In turn, his impeccable taste and "perfect pitch" in color made of the house a haven of beauty. When I was left alone at Elmwood, I feared the size of the house would accentuate my aloneness, but instead it seemed to shrink to my stature, its memories and


Norton, op. cit., II, 100. The tenant was Thomas Bailey Aldrich.

CAMBRIDGE TREES

BY LOIS LILLEY HOWE

Read January 25, 1950

In the records of The Cambridge Plant Club I find that on February 25th, 1901 "Miss Prince of Boston," no further identified than this, read "an Interesting paper on Trees in our
neighborhood." This was a report of the investigations of herself and friend in the town of Brookline in which they found 214 different kinds of trees — native or exotic.

I do not know, nor do I care to know, how many kinds of trees there are in Cambridge, but I am amazed to find myself constantly identifying a new one or finding a new specimen of an old one. I want to tell you of the pleasure I have had in studying, very superficially, the trees in a little bit of Old Cambridge.

Winter is a good time to study trees. Then we can get some idea of their typical shapes, their sturdy trunks, their picturesque, sprawling boughs (which have always had a fascination for me), especially when seen against the sky, with beautiful halos of interlaced twigs.

The best shaped trees are those which grow in gardens or inside fences. They are not so crowded and pinched as the street trees.

An expert knows trees by their barks as well as by their leaves. I do not aspire to this, and the wonderful knowledge of "winter buds" is too high in more than one way for me to grasp. In this brief space I cannot say much about their families or inquire too closely about their private lives.

My first thought of the trees in Cambridge was that they must be mostly elms. This probably arose from two reasons: because my nursery window looked out on a magnificent old elm, the kind whose humped-up roots form a good base for it; then, in my early years, I was frequently in the College Yard with its lovely elms and I remember how the canker worms ate all the leaves off them just at Class Day time one year and how in the cycle of canker-worm life the creatures came down to earth in such quantities that they lay in unattractive heaps along the fences. The answer to this, by the way, was the introduction of the English sparrow!

The elm suffers a good deal in being used as a street tree for although we appreciate the beautiful arch of its boughs across the street, it really needs room on all sides to develop its beautiful wine-glass shape.

The Washington Elm originally must have had this shape although the earliest photographs show that it had begun to "go back," as the saying is. It stood in its glory one hundred feet in height, its trunk six feet in diameter, and its spreading branches ninety feet.

To quote from "Cambridge in the Centennial" (the Centennial of 1875), "Tradition says that when the surrounding forest was felled by the axe of the woodsman this tree had already attained so great a size that it obtained an immunity from the fate of its neighbors and kin." If we knew the age of the Washington Elm we might find out if it came after the Common was cleared or was indigenous.

But, the elm is not a forest tree. It grows in meadows and is fairly solitary. Is it possible that when Governor John Winthrop and his company on that chilly December day of 1630 came down from Mount Auburn to find a site for a fortified city they may have been
favorably impressed by a large open space in the forest with many springs about it and with
only small scrubby plants upon it which they could not know were blueberry bushes, wild
roses and other plants such as William Blaxton found on Boston Common?

There was also the Whitefield Elm near the Commander, and some of us remember a huge
elm which took up the whole sidewalk on Linnaean Street, and which may have come up by
the ditch around the second palisades.

The old oak, on the contrary, which stood near the Holmes Place gate, was, they say,
"probably a full grown and magnificent tree when the Washington elm was but a sapling."
An oak is a forest tree and is able to grow in the forest to a great size and live to a great
age. There are many kinds of oaks and I do not pretend to know much about them. In the
Norton’s Woods region are many fine young red oaks undoubtedly set out there. There is a
large red oak in Lowell Street, a magnificent pin oak on Highland Street, and several at
Elmwood.

But the prevalent street trees are elms, maples, and ashes. A maple, like an elm, needs a
whole field to develop its fine bold head, such as I have seen in maple sugar groves in New
Hampshire, and, like the oaks, there are many kinds of maples — more than can be told
about in such a small space as this.

We all recognize the maples with their early spring blossoms and their interesting bunches
of keys or samaras, as the botanists say; also their wonderful fall coloring. How many of us
know the little green blossoms of the ash and the lovely colors of their bunches of samaras?
These trees all have flowers exquisitely designed and delicately tinted but so minute that
they are scarcely noticed, although they bloom profusely in the spring. They scatter their
seeds most extravagantly and prolifically.

If the Russians commit genocide and remove all the citizens of Eastern Massachusetts to
Siberia or some such place, the explorers of sixty years after will find a forest of maples,
oaks, horse chestnuts and other trees. I have in my small lot four seedling oaks, one at
least twenty feet high, some horse chestnuts, a honey locust, an ailanthus and countless
maples on which I wage continual war.

It is interesting to note how many trees have either been planted or have planted
themselves more or less in groups. Such a group is that of honey locusts. Beginning with
those picturesque, gaunt, witch-like trees by St. John’s Chapel, they stretch across to the
other side of Mason Street and down Ash Street.

At the corner of Ash Street we begin to find the remnants of old gardens on Brattle Street
where trees are not so much crowded as are the street trees.

There is a fine horsechestnut back of the Vassall House which must be a relic of that Old
Garden of which Mrs. Gozzaldi has written; and on Acacia Street, which is back of it, is an
unusually beautiful wine-glass elm which has had enough room to develop
characteristically. There are several other fine elms, but none so perfect as this. There is a
large one at the old entrance to the Botanical Gardens, one on Reservoir Street, and two or three others I could mention.

Did Andrew Craigie, like Henry Wadsworth Longfellow, intend to keep open the view of Charles River from his front windows? There are only lilac hedges and young trees there now; farther on by the site of Craigie's, or Worcester's, Pond is one of the largest and finest catalpa trees in Cambridge.

There are beautiful trees all along here. Beyond comes Mr. Thorwald Ross's garden — not one of the old Revolutionary gardens but at least eighty years old and wisely and discriminatingly planted. The largest magnolia, beech trees, and a cladrastis or virgilia are here.

Craigie Street has many fine maples, a magnolia, an ailanthus, and the remnant of an old laburnum which once had a mate, in the yard of the house on the corner of Buckingham Street — a mate whose place is now taken by a handsome exotic cut-leaved maple.

I was not in Cambridge at the time of the 1938 hurricane. When I read in the next morning's paper that there were "seventy-five trees down in Brattle Street, Cambridge," I visualized a treeless street and thought more particularly of the old Lechmere (or, I said, Brewster) lindens. I was agreeably disappointed when I came home to find how little seemed to be missing.

Many of the lindens did go and that made us realize that they were originally set out to form a courtyard to the old Lechmere Mansion. I remember when the sidewalk there was high above the street as it is in Elmwood Avenue. This was cut down when the brick sidewalk was laid — about 1890.

James Russell Lowell says in "Sunthin' in the Pastoral Line":

"The lime-trees pile their solid stacks o' shade
An' drows'ly simmer with the bees' sweet trade."

The limes or lindens, from which Linnaeus got his name, blossom after the leaves are out. Their cream-white clusters of blossoms are extraordinarily sweet and beloved by the bees, and the little white fruits which follow are fairly noticeable.

The street trees opposite these lindens are ashes and in back of them are those two magnificent copper beeches which originally stood in front of the old Hubbard house (now destroyed) for almost all of this block between Sparks and Lowell Streets was another old garden — or place — still called Hubbard Park; and here at the corner of the street which bears that name is a large group of tulip trees spreading back into the Park and originally also onto the old Choate or Thomas Lee place. These are fine tall trees with soft yellow and green blossoms shaped like tulips with red spots on them and followed by curious inconspicuous upright fruits.
Not far from this group come the sassafras trees with their queer mitten-shaped leaves, inconspicuous flowers and fruit, but gorgeous autumn coloring.

Now we come to the glorious horsechestnut trees in front of the old Thomas Lee House (known for many years as the Charles Choate house and now occupied by Mrs. Arthur Jackson). These trees the Lees must have planted, for there is a further row in front of the old Lee-Nichols house. They are not native trees but come from Southern Asia by way of Greece. They were introduced into Europe in the seventeenth century. When we read in the paper that in London crowds are going out to Bushey Park to see the chestnut blossoms, they mean horsechestnut blossoms, for the English never knew our chestnut trees, now gone forever.

But these big horsechestnut trees, we know, begin to be of interest as soon as spring is really here. To quote Lowell again:

Then gray hossches’nuts leetle hands unfold
Softer’n a baby’s be at three days old.
Thet’s robin-redbreast’s almanick;
he knows That arter this ther’s only blossom-snows.

And a few weeks later comes the great parade of blossoms among the leaves, and in the fall the fruits, which the botanists call coriaceous capsules, holding the shiny mahogany-colored nuts which small boys and girls love and which many people still believe will keep away rheumatism if carried in the pocket.

After the horsechestnuts come the virgilias, or cladratis; I object to calling them "Yellow Wood." It is such a mean name for such beautiful trees. They blossom only every third year, in long white drooping pannicles like white wistaria blossoms. This is said to be the rarest of the trees of Northern America, coming from Kentucky and Tennessee, but it thrives mightily in Cambridge. The largest is in the Thomas Lee place. It is now "going back" but still blossoms bravely.

Then the catalpa, rather an irritating tree to watch for it seems so dead until at the end of June it bursts at once into leaves and blossoms, the blossoms almost hiding the large leaves. There is one at the corner of Brattle Street and James Street, like a Victorian bouquet. Finest of all is that of which I have already spoken, at 121 Brattle Street by the site of Worcester's Pond.

In Lowell Street there are pines and a copper beech, a good tree to have near the house for it is never struck by lightning, whereas the ash is evil (although snakes avoid it). The old couplet says:

Beware the oak, it draws the stroke;
Avoid the ash, it courts the flash.

Creep under the thorn, it will save you from harm.
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Catalpa Tree, Worcester's Pond

Sophora, Brewster Street

HORSECHESTNUT IN FRONT OF JACKSON HOUSE
There is a mulberry tree in Channing Place. Two fine tall sycamores are on Elmwood Avenue, and also the stiff, but beautiful English elms. Since writing this I have found two very handsome sycamores between the end of Berkeley Place and Brattle Street.

But the time to walk up Brattle Street is in May, when all the way from the Judas tree (or red Bud), looking shyly over the fence opposite the Vassall Home, up to Channing Street and beyond are the glories of the early flowering trees and bushes — cherry, dogwood, flowering crab, hawthorn, magnolia, and fruit trees. Turning down Channing Street you will come to the gem of them all — the Japanese bell tree (or snowdrop tree) which forms a tent over the backyard of the house now occupied by a grandson of William James.

And then on Appleton Street at the top of the hill are the scattered remnants of the old Read gardens: an apple orchard back of Mrs. Platner’s house at 89 Appleton Street; an old apple tree on Highland Street, one half of which blossoms one year and the other half the next; virgilias, or cladrastis; the tallest tulip tree in Cambridge; beeches, plain and copper; a buckeye (western cousin of the horsechestnut); and other trees in the yard of the tree-loving Hubbards. The climax is a really fine American holly.

Down on Brewster Street is a rare tree, the Sophora Japonica, blossoming in great white bunches in the month of August. There is another in the yard of the Longy School on the corner of Follen Street.

There are not many willows — a large one on the corner of Vassal Lane, Reservoir, and Walden Streets; two weeping willows on Lakeview Avenue; and an unusual and very large one in Gray Gardens West, overhanging Huron Avenue.

THE EARLY LIFE OF SAMUEL McCHORD CROThERS

BY KATHARINE F. CROThERS

Read April 25, 1950

Every year interesting historical material about Cambridge and the people born in Cambridge is brought to us; however, as the years go by, we cannot entirely overlook the many streams of immigration that lead to Cambridge. These people came here, liked the town, and settled down for the rest of their lives. Who were they? How did they happen to come? This question might well be given further study by our Historical Society.

As my father came from the Middle West at thirty-seven years and was one of those who liked the town and settled here happily for the rest of his life, I will endeavor from letters, papers, etc., to give a picture of his "Past" and explain how it happened he settled here.
His ancestors were Scotch Presbyterians who were in Ireland during the siege of Londonderry, 1690. A few years later they came to Virginia and finally went out through Pennsylvania to Kentucky. His grandfather Samuel Crothers prepared for the Presbyterian ministry in Lexington, Kentucky, and New York and settled in the small town of Greenfield in Southern Ohio.

The eldest son of this Presbyterian minister was John Crothers, who became a lawyer and moved a little further west to Oswego, Illinois. My father was the youngest of his four children. He was born June 7, 1857, in their house beside the Fox River in Oswego. The two older boys had died and when John Crothers his father died at forty-two his mother took the two remaining children, Alice and Samuel, back to their grandfather's house in Greenfield, where they lived for some years.

Samuel was not a rugged child, and more than once he said he heard his mother's friends tell her not to count on his growing up. He grew stronger in spite of their dreary prophecies. At five years he was sent to a small school taught by a neighbor. He wanted to read a Greek book he found at home. The teacher did not know Greek. His mother said, "Miss---------, he is such a little boy, couldn't you just learn a little Greek
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for him?" The teacher undertook it reluctantly and very literally; the interest quickly faded.

He evidently spent a great deal of time reading the Bible, Pilgrim's Progress, history, and books from the Sunday School Library. At the age of nine he started writing rhymes. This one was obviously written for his mother and shows very distinctly the influence of the Sunday School Library:

Greenfield, Feb. 14, 1867

PARENTS CARE

It is very rare
To see a parent who has no care
What would be a mothers feeling
If her child was found stealing
I dare say she would cry
If her son would tell a lie
To see him on distractions brink
And know that whiskey he will drink
Tears she will freely drop
When he goes into a whiskey shop.
When at last to prison he is compeled to go

She can hardly imagine it is so.

When she thinks of him in his prison cell

Who can her sorrows tell

But these thoughts must be forsook

And at the bright side we will look

Now there is another little child

Who is not so very wild

With pleasure his parents look on his course

He is of Happiness their source

To see him a true deciple of Christ

Who for their sakes was sacraficed

And to eminance does arise

Greatly to his parents surprise

This piece is written by Sam Crothers

Who has one of the best of mothers

During the Civil War there was much concern in Greenfield over the drinking of some of the soldiers when they came home on furlough. The women in the Presbyterian Church went over the list of temperance measures, among which there was a campaign to get every man to sign the pledge. Finally at one of the meetings his mother said, "I think the best

thing to do would be to close the saloons. If Mr. Linn saw the things that we see, he might help us." There was great enthusiasm for this direct approach to their problem. The ladies left the church in a body singing the hymn "Give to the Winds thy Fears." They must have been an astonishing sight to Mr. Linn. The expected illumination of his mind and heart did not take place and he finally descended to personal abuse. One woman knocked over a whisky bottle. In a moment others were knocked down and broken and the young and ardent kept it up. His mother was overcome by the result and the infuriated Linn had them summoned to the County Seat. His mother stayed with the judge who tried the case and the other ladies with other friends. In Greenfield it was considered the historic beginning of the Women’s Christian Temperance Union. Father proudly pictured his mother as a militant leader. The following is from one of his poems:

S. C, June 26, 1867
THE WHISKY TRIAL

Now the whisky sellers did say
They would make the ladies for their whisky pay

These gentlemen thought it a sin
To destroy the whisky of good Mr. Linn

And many storrys they did tell

About Mrs. Caldwell
Among verry many others

They did not sue Mrs Nancy Ann Crothers

The reason was that they knew
That her friends were not few
For this was a family of Preachers
And they feared these holy teachers
S. D Crothers looked so grim
That they didn't like to offend him
They liked "Vallandingham"
Better than my uncle Sam

He was absorbed in history and wrote down the historical facts he read in rhymes. There were no capitals or punctuation, but plenty of facts. The History of England was very complete. These rhymes grew into quite a history of the world starting with creation and finally ending up with the abolition of slavery in Ohio.
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Occasionally he lingered over favorites. He wrote several poems about the Empress Josephine and Hortense. One ends as follows:

The intellect of Hortense was clear
Yet her love for Louis was not sincere
And it is true
That her eyes were blue
She had beautiful eyes
And was about of middle size
Neither loved each other
But Hortense married to please her mother
And Louis sighed
To see his unhappy bride
Thus Hortense so fair
Was caught in a snare

When he was about ten the family moved to Springfield, Ohio, where there were better schools and many relatives. His mother bought a small house near them. His domineering invalid grandmother lived with them. Although she spent years in bed she took a keen interest in living and when a young minister called and in his prayer asked for release of this aged servant, she said briskly, "Young man, it is not for you to advise the Almighty as to when it is time for me to depart."

One by one other relatives appeared, some with the thought that their financial contributions helped his mother. There was Uncle Shepard, a widower with two little girls. There was Uncle Will and his bride. The house was small but evidently life was fairly harmonious, for father in later years continued the family habit of asking people to visit before he knew where they could sleep.

One June in Cambridge he came back from a trip and told my mother that he had found two couples from different places who were coming on to the Harvard Summer School. He asked them both to stay in our house. Of course, mother had already asked two teachers from Helena, Montana. It certainly was a surprise to the three sets when they met in what was pictured to each as a pleasantly empty house. Mother introduced them to each other, hoped for the best and left for New Hampshire. When father was eleven, his last year in school, he tried keeping a small diary. It was his only attempt and lasted from January 1 to September 1, 1869.

The entries are largely facts about school, books he was reading, going to church, and the relatives who were endlessly kind to the four children, father, his sister and two cousins.

Saturday, January 2. Rained all last night. Uncle Will gave me a bunch of fire crackers This afternoon I am reading Prescott's Conquest of Mexico. I like it very well. I find that virgil is a good deal harder than Caesar. I have chosen my speech for next week. It is "the responsibilities of our Republic." I can not think of anything else to write.

Saturday, January 16. When I got up this morning the ground was all covered with snow so that Lula and I had a nice time sliding down hill all morning but this afternoon we staid in the house. Uncle Alexander got home last night He has been operating on a woman in the northern part of the state.
Sunday, January 17. Our girl Maggie went away yesterday. Don't know whether she will come back or not. Went to church. Rev. Mr. Fullerton preached. The text was "Thou must be born again." I liked it very much.

One Saturday Aunt Sallie took all the children to Black's Opera House to see The Tableaux which ended up with "Dear father come home with me now" which he wrote was the best of all.

The following Saturday Uncle Shepard took them all to see "The Drummer Boy" at Black's Opera House. He wrote, "It commenced at 2 o clock. The house was lit up with gas. The prison scene was verry affecting The prisoners were all in rags and looked verry pitiful. We staid till all was dark."

Sunday, February 14. When we got up this morning it was so rainy that we neither went to church not to Sabbath School. I commenced to read Pilgrims Progress and got as far as the middle of the book. It is the fourth time I have read it but I always like to read it.

Monday, February 15. Went to school this morning. Recited in Greek, Latin. Sallie had got in a bad humor and tore up her carpet and threatened to leave which I hope she will do.

Monday, May 10. To day the last rail was laid on the "Pacific Railroad" at about twelve o clock. Thursday, June 3. This afternoon after I came home we took some of the hay to the stable but it commenced to rain before we got through.

Monday, June 7. This day I am 12 years old Grandma is some better

Tuesday, June 15. This morning Bob Dunlap Charlie Dunlap and I went out with George Jones to the Lutheran Sabbath School picnic. We had a nice time There is a little lake down there with a boat on it. We got home about five o clock.

Saturday, August 7. This is the day of the eclipse of the sun the first I have ever seen it was verry gloomy but not dark this afternoon. Ma and I went up town to prayer meeting and this evening we got a set of croquet I expect we will be playing all the time.

Wednesday, August 18. This morning we sent one of our little kittens up town but it soon came back I went to the Library I got the second volume of Irvings' "Life of Christopher Columbus" Fannie and I played editing a paper

Wednesday, September 1. Wednesday, Wednesday Wednesday Wednesday School will commence to morrow

Friday, September 3. Went to School. I am in the Freshman class

Saturday, September 11. This morning I went into the Society I am on the debate next Saturday The question is "Resolved that the Barkeeper is a worse character than the gambler"

This was the last entry in the diary. The above is the simple transition from school days to Wittenberg College. At twelve he was ready for Princeton but the Princeton authorities thought he was too young and advised waiting a few years. It happened that Wittenberg, a Lutheran College in Springfield, had the same requirements. With a little of his mother's
gentle persuasion they accepted him and he spent four years there, graduating with the class of 1873. One of his school papers on Imagination ends with this paragraph:

Some say that "imagination is powerless in everyday life." This is not true. Show me the one who never lived the life of another day, who never lived his own life over again, who built no castles in the air, in short who never dreamed, and I will show you one who has lost the best part of life, the life of dreams.

With all his imagination he was very practical. He still wanted to go to Princeton and in the fall he was accepted and later admitted to the senior class. The following letters are to his mother and his sister:

West College, Princeton, N. J.

Dear Mother

I got here at 3 o clock this thursday-afternoon, and write this in Reeces room. I left Pittsburgh on the 11.40 train, and did not have to change cars till I got to Philadelphia. Some how or other we went faster than the time table, and when I woke up about half past four we were just leaving Altoona and I missed seeing the Alleghenies after all. But I got to see some mighty high hills for all that, and I will never ask for a nicer ride than from Altoona to Harrisburgh. The road winds around with the river on one side and the mountains on the other I had enough to keep one busy. Harrisburgh looked like the most delapidated place out. They are all three cornered wooden contraptions, for there is not a single right angle in the place. And then about half way between Lancaster and Philadelphia they have had landscape gardiners at work and laid out a park city. From Philadelphia to Trenton we kept along the river past the fine houses of the aristocracy and could see their boat houses and sail boats through the trees. Trenton looked even more ancient and delapidated than Harrisburgh, and I was just wondering if anything could be more dismal when the conductor yelled out "Princeton". I got out and looked about me. All I could see was a little shanty with a little partition in it for bagage and a thicket on each side. A little more diligent search and I discovered another shanty bearing the title "Princeton Post Office and grocery store." The post office consisted of a cigar box with a slit in the end, and the grocery of a little of everything. I got up on a car to look over the country but could see no signs of any other town. I saw a steeple however in the distance and determined to see if it was the "college." My enthusiasm was by this time considerably cooled and I would have given considerable to have got in sight of Wittenberg instead. I had to cross the canal on a rickety draw bridge and when I had walked about three miles I came on a second collection of shanties, and a little ahead wrapt in the forest the roof of the Theological Sem. I never wanted home so bad in my life. To come so far just to be set down in the woods was too much. However I kept on and at last come upon the college buildings — finer and larger than I had expected, and instead of being off in the woods is just in the centre of the town. The houses are as fine as you can find anywhere and all have large old trees.

Princeton, New Jersey

Monday Eve, 1874
Dear Sister,

This is my easy day as I have nothing at all to do in the afternoon. This noon Cowan and I walked around the big triangle.

After dinner I went over Rocky Hill to Pennington about six miles off. By rambling around I have explored the country around Princeton pretty well.

I would like to know how, in the name of common sense, every one gets the idea that I am to be a preacher. The other evening Dr. McCosh (the President) asked me to come over to his house, and when I went he wanted to know what I intended to get at after commencement, and went on to tell how I ought, by all means, to be a preacher. I intimated that I didn't see things in that light and then he went on for about half an hour, about how my mother or my father would like for me to be a preacher, and how he thought I could do a great deal of good by being a preacher, etc. He wanted me to think about it and tell him my decision before I left. I am afraid my mind is just about as well made up now as it ever will be on that point. He seems to have been particularly pleased with my chapel stage contraption as every time he meets me he says something about it. I am glad I got into his good graces at first, as if I hadn't I wouldn't have got along so easily. He is one of that sort of men who go a good deal on first impressions, and if a fellow fizzes the first few times he will have rather a hard time all the way through.

Everybody thinks your new picture an improvement even on the other. Hart wants to know if you have got any more pictures of Fannie and Lula as he seems very much struck with them. Four or five fellows who have as usual been trying to show their skill as phrenologists have each agreed that Fannie had the "most intellectual head of any one of that age they have seen for a long time and they would be willing to bet that she stood first in her class all the time". Didn't have the statistics at hand so was not able to show them the true state of the case. Don't you wish we had that "Dunlap forehead" and then people might think we were awful smart too!

Reece says that he knows a fellow on the Cincinnati Gazette and that he thinks he could easily get me a place there in July. Have not much faith on Reece's ability in a good many things, but have a great respect for his "push," as he generally continues to do whatever he sets out to do. By the way, I can't help but think what a nice place it would be for Aunt Isabella to come to Princeton. House rent is cheap of course in such a place as Princeton. There are eight or nine hundred fellows I suppose in Princeton. All of them of course have to board at club — so there is no danger of running out of boarders.

Father never questioned doing what had to be done, but he was never interested in meaningless sacrifice. His mother sent word to take the first train home after commencement. He had other plans; he wrote his sister:

Am ever so much obliged for that $15. It is all I need. There is one thing I don't understand. You say that "Ma says to take the first train after you are through and come home straight." If the reason for that is that Grandma is worse, and it is absolutely necessary to be home immediately of course I would start right off. But if it only means that Mother wants to see me that is another question.
From what goes after, it makes me believe that it is because Uncle Samuel is there. Now I would like to see Uncle Samuel first rate, but I confess that I would like to see Niagara & the Hudson River just now better, and I believe you would too.

Reece, Cowan, Williamson, Wells Hart and I have been laying our plan all summer for our trip, and it would be the greatest disappointment in the world to have to give it up just for the sake of saving a day or two. I wouldn't give a snap for going alone back over the same road that I came by.

If there is any absolute necessity for my being home at just that time, telegraph as soon as you get it, and I will start at once. I wish you would speak to Will before you telegraph.
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The career of a journalist did not materialize after his graduation from Princeton in 1874. The following fall he entered Union Theological Seminary in New York.

From his room at 9 University Place he wrote his sister Alice:

No. 9 University Place, New York, 1874

Dear Sister,

I suppose that you would like to know how I am settled, so I will forthwith go on to tell. It is in any event a nicer situation than I had any idea of. When I got off here I invested 25 cts in a guide book with a large map of N. Y. in it, so I send you my old one. I made a big blot in it at the place where I am. It is just opposite Washington Square, the oldest park in New York, and which contains 9/2 acres several fountains, a good many trees and a lake (when there is any water in it). Right across the other side of the other street is the "University of the City of New York." The New York Free Academy is stuck in on one corner of the block we are in. The Cooper Institute with its museums and free training schools in art, and there are any number of law & medical schools scattered around rather loosely. So much for the general literariness of the neighborhood. As far as aristocracy is concerned — we are a square from 5th Avenue. Broadway runs within 3 blocks, Stewarts big store is about as far away as from our house to Uncle James. The Astor House, Astor Library, the Mercantile library, the Grand Central Hotel (where Fisk was shot) are all in sight.

During the following summer the theological students were sent out to small churches or groups of people to get a little experience. Father was sent to Kansas. It was that summer that he first tried preaching without notes. He was asked to conduct an extra service one Sunday evening. He had no sermon written but he enjoyed the experience. Afterwards although he usually wrote out his sermons he always preached without notes.

The following letter to his sister is from El Dorado, Kansas:

El Dorado, Butler Co., Kansas

Wednesday

Dear Sister,
Will begin my history of the frontier where I left off. Got to Wichita last Friday. Talk about this
dry warm climate. We had one almost continuous rain last week, and it is just pouring down
today. I made a mistake in bringing such a light overcoat. Found a great many nice people in
Wichita. Mr. Harson introduced me to everyone we met. Tuesday evening, when Mr. Harson & I
came home we found the Ladies Society in Session in his Parlor. They "were getting up a
Strawberry Festival. The President of the Society was a Mrs. West. She thought

my home was in New York, and asked if I had any relatives in Ohio. I said I had and she wanted
to know if I was a relative of Samuel Crothers of Greenfield & James Crothers. I told her that I
was and she said that she was well acquainted with a half brother of theirs, John Crothers, of
Oswego, 111. When I told her that he was my father she would hardly believe that I was "little
Sammie the baby"!

Wednesday afternoon as I was standing on Main Street watching a party of Mexicans, I saw a
fellow on horesback coming up at full gallop. From the way he came I thought he must be
another Mexican cattle man. When he got within a block, I recognized the classic form of
Scofield, (a classmate.) I never laughed so much as to see the frontier aspect he had assumed. A
better picture of an old fashioned Methodist Circuit Rider could not be imagined. He was on a
Texas pony, slightly afflicted with the Epizootic. Had a pair of Texas spurs, about the size of a
water wheel, a Mexican saddle, a big white bundle (containing his personal property) strapped
on behind him, and jumped off with the air of a man who had been forty years in the business.

Father was not well his last year in the Seminary and the doctor advised his leaving New
York immediately for a dry climate. The Presbyterian Board of Home Missions arranged for
him to go out to Nevada, where he could possibly start a church among the miners. He
spent two absorbing years in Nevada. He wrote:

Gold Hill, Nevada

Sunday Eve

Dear Mother,

Will try and answer all your questions, (1) They do take up collections. (2) Had one wedding and
two couples came for me when I was in Virginia. (3) I board at Gold Hill and room at Gold Hill
also. (4) Pay $12 a week for board room etc. (5) No. I would not buy stock. (6) Mr. Grahame is
coming to Virginia next week. (7) Sutro Tunnel stock is not on the market. Am afraid you
wouldn't get rich on it. (7) About the house. I would sell if I possibly could. (8) About the taxes. I
think I can pay them for you in about two months.

I have not taken any commission from the Board yet as I didn't want to bind myself to stay here
till I saw whether it would stick. I intend now to write on as soon as possible, and when the first
quarter is due, which will be in about two months after I write (I suppose) I think I can send you
at least a hundred dollars. I have got along a great deal better than I had any reason to expect,
in Gold Hill, but then you know it is a very different thing starting in with absolutely nothing
He said he married a young couple one morning at sunrise out on the hills. They had confided they were eloping and he was most sympathetic. Later in the morning the bride's father met him with "So you married them. No reason why they couldn't have been married in the front parlor--------just too damn romantic!"
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to begin with, and going to a church where they have Trustees and everything in working order. They have to pay thirty dollars a month for the Hall.

As soon as Gold Hill gets into working order I think it will be first rate. You can see that it is a big field for work. In Gold Hill there are seven or eight thousand people at the very least. The only churches are the Methodist that don't have a congregation which averages more than a dozen, and the Episcopal who have not many more. We have now a great many more adherents than either and a larger congregation than both put together.

When I came everything was blue but now times are brighter than they ever were before. People now think that the Comstock will last "for centuries," when I came they thought it would play out this winter. They have so far struck nothing in Gold Hill, but they expect to and all the mines are putting up new works and increasing the force of men. People who left are coming back again and the signs "to let" & "For Sale" are taken down & new houses beginning to go up again.

All the north end mines of Virginia have gone up. Yesterday the excitement was "Ophir" which went up from $60 to $100 in a few hours. I will give you a diagram of the position of the mines, as I see you are wanting to invest in stock.

Virginia City, Nevada
June 6th '78

Dear Mother,

Didn't think of getting letters so soon, so I did not go to the Post Office till today, and got both of your letters. I am feeling first rate. Have come to the conclusion that that half sick sort of feeling that I had when at home was all imagination.

Yesterday I climbed up to the top of Mt. Davidson. The view from the summit is grand. To the west the Sierras covered half way down with snow. Down below in Carson Valley, Carson City & Empire City. Beyond them Washoe Valley & Reno. Then to the East I could see far as the eye could reach — mountains, canons, alkali flats. &c.

In the fall of 1878 he received a call to the Presbyterian Church in Santa Barbara, California and he decided to leave Nevada the first of January, (1879).

He wrote his mother from Santa Barbara:

"Now is the winter of our discontent made glorious summer." I will proceed to give you an account of the last week. Monday — Got up at 1 P. M. Had a bad cold & slept late. Weather clear & cold. Went up to Virginia at 3 o'clock. Mr. Graham told me they expected me in Santa Barbara Sunday, so I would have to start at six o'clock if I wanted to stop at Davisville. So I jumped on a Gold Hill Bus, packed my trunk, made eight hurried calls and got to the depot at six o'clock in time for train.
Thursday, San Francisco — Got up at five A. M. At half past seven went to the wharf and on board the steamer "Senator". At eight we were going out of the "Golden Gate". Weather clear, sea smooth. Not a bit seasick. Sea gulls, mountains, passing ships &c. &c.

Friday — Coming into Santa Barbara found half the wharf stove out by a schooner which was wrecked in a tornado here day before yesterday. One man was killed in town. The Methodist Church had its steeple blown down.

Have been here only an hour or so, so I will not give more than passing impressions. Wide streets, fine houses. Gas, street cars, big hotels, &c., &c. Flowers in full bloom. Weather just warm enough to be pleasant. Trees overhanging the streets, &c., &c. Just the opposite of a Nevada Mining town.

Will write in full when I see more.

Ojai Valley, San Buenaventura Co., Cal.

Dear Mother,

Sent you a postal from Carpenteria day before yesterday. I started down on horseback. Had a beautiful ride. Took the road through "Casetas Pass" over the Mts. two or three thousand feet above the sea level.

When I got to the top of the Mt. I was told to turn off on a trail that went over through the woods & mountains & saved five or six miles, but I was also told that "few there be that find it." It was just wide enough for a horse. Just as I was jogging along on the level road again, the horse stumbled and fell, landing us both on the ground. No casualties, however, except that he skinned his knee & nose.

After winding through the mountains all at once I came to the Ojai. It is almost oval in shape, about ten miles by five. Look which way you will and the mountains seem to shut you in as by a great wall. It answers more perfectly to the "Happy Valley" of Rasselas, than anything which I have ever seen.

Am going tomorrow to San Buenaventura and the next day back to Santa Barbara.

In 1878 mother’s family, which consisted of Mrs. Bronson, one son, and two daughters, were members of the Presbyterian Church in Santa Barbara. They had moved to California from Connecticut eight years earlier. Father at twenty-one was socially painfully shy. Mother at seventeen was not at all shy. She worked up a little skit called "The new minister makes his first call," which was a little too good and she soon regretted it. Everyone rode horseback and before long they were exploring the canyons and mountain trails together.

Ministers in the Presbyterian Church are checked whenever there is a question of doctrine. Twice father was called up to the Presbytery for
examination. He was beginning to question the Westminster Catechism. He wrote his mother the following:

It was only about the end of the year that I thought of comparing my present views with those of the Westminster Catechism and I found that a great many of these articles seemed to me to have no foundation.

First in regard to the Bible itself. We are taught that all our beliefs, even in regard to common morality, the existence of the Soul, everything else, rest on the idea that the Bible is infallible. I asked myself, suppose I lay aside this notion I have received from education and look & see what remains, 1st the Bible itself remains just where it was before. History, prophecy, poetry, the history is evidently genuine history. If I can find that the prophecies are fulfilled then they are genuine prophecies. If the poetry is higher than any written by men, then I can believe it to be inspired. If the doctrines are such as appeal to one as true, then I can accept them. If a thing is true it does not make any difference who said it.

And I was convinced that the Bible was grand enough & true enough to stand this test. In regard to Christ, I would look upon him just as the people of His day were able to do. Peter & James & John followed Him because they loved Him, because they believed Him to be good & true. Leaving out all ideas of infallibility.

Taking the Gospels just as they are, I look upon them as the honest recollections of the disciples of Christ. Giving a correct idea of his life & teachings. This is all they claim to be and this seems to answer all purposes. In looking at the Bible thus I do not feel that I have less reverence for it than before. For it seems much grander & more worthy of thought.

As to Christ — I believe that the account given of Him in the gospels is true and I believe everything that he said of himself. I believe him to have been Divine. But as to the Orthodox doctrine of the Trinity, I prefer to leave the whole subject just where Christ left it. He asserts that He is one with the Father & also with his disciples. We of course understand the latter statement in a spiritual sense, why not the other also. I would quote with Uncle James "His ways are past finding out." The only difference is Uncle James thinks theologians in the past have found them out & know all about them. I don't think they knew any more about it than I do — which is nothing.

As to Future Punishment, I don't think there is any "justice" in requiring of any creature what he is utterly unable to perform & then roasting him eternally because he can't perform it. If I really believed that was the character of God — I would prefer to worship the Devil. I think this notion is exactly contrary to the teaching of Christ who teaches that God is "Our Father" and that "to whom little is given little is required".

I consider that the truths necessary to a Christian life are very few and simple, and that in laying stress on them & not on theological speculations of which we know nothing, we are doing nearer what he would have done.

I don't think Christianity is a matter of opinion but a matter of life and because opinions such as Uncle James holds seem untrue to me, I do not feel that either
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his Christianity or mine is at all affected by our different opinions. As to my ideas of the work of Christ, I send you a tract of Phillips Brooks, pastor of Trinity Episcopal Church, Boston.

I have preached here in Santa Barbara more than a year and we have some very orthodox people, but they all say I am orthodox enough for them and so if we had a longer opportunity to talk together you might find that we don’t differ so much as it might appear on first sight.

As for Unitarianism, I know that with the local prejudice that has been cultivated against it in Ohio, many people who don’t know anything about it look upon it as a kind of infidelity. I have myself none of this prejudice, and look on it as thoroughly Christian as Presbyterianism is. I send you a volume of sermons of Dr. Martineau, the leading Unitarian of England. I only ask you to read them and if you find in them anything so very anti-Christian, you are of a different spirit from what you were. I think you will like them.

I will take this to the mail & write another for tomorrow. So ends the theological part. I was glad to get Uncle James’ letter though he could scarcely expect it to be convincing.

Santa Barbara Nov. 1880

Dear Mother,

Got back last night from Presbytery. Rode yesterday sixty two miles from Mr. Howards's ranch on the Conjo. I went to Presbytery with the intention of being dismissed from the Presbyterian Church. But Judge Hall on behalf of the church here objected and the Presbytery decided that I ought to remain, and I did so. I stated however that it was only a matter of time.

I have felt that my whole religious belief was based more or less on what I now feel to have been a mistake. I had no idea of remaining in Santa Barbara or in the Presbyterian Church. The action of the Presbytery was unexpected and different from what it would be in the East . . .

I don’t think I have ever felt just so in my life before. I suppose that such a conflict must come to every one who is compelled to break away from the teachings of his childhood. There are some who like Henry Ward Beecher drift away so gradually and insensibly from their original beliefs that they themselves don’t know it, but in my case I felt I ought to try and start right from the beginning or rather as near right as I could find out.

Dr. and Mrs. Francis Peabody spent one winter in Santa Barbara, and it was through Dr. Peabody that later Father decided to come East to the Harvard Divinity School and enter the Unitarian Ministry. He returned to Santa Barbara to be married in September 1882 and they went directly to his first Unitarian Parish in Brattleboro, Vt.

Mother said she found Vermont very cold but Father persuaded her to continue their California ways and at least once a week all winter they broiled bacon or chops in the shelter of a stone wall on some hillside. Father’s mother came to live with them as soon as they were settled in Brattleboro.

After three years in Brattleboro, he was called to the Unitarian Church in St. Paul, Minnesota.
Soon after their arrival in St. Paul they had dinner with Mr. & Mrs. Charles Ames. He was chairman of the church committee. At dinner they were talking about old periodicals. Both mentioned Young Folks. Mr. Ames said, "I wrote to The Letter Box in the back of the magazine for an unknown correspondence and had a number of replies." Father said, "Did you ever live in California? I believe I answered yours." Mr. Ames then went upstairs and came down with an old note book. He turned over the pages and there it was — Samuel M. Crothers, Greenfield, Ohio. "I take my pen in hand from this inland town to let you know that I am not in the least acquainted with you, but I would have you know that I am of a fiery and resolute disposition." This was the beginning of a lifelong friendship, in spite of the early deception.

Father had eight stimulating years in St. Paul, preaching throughout Minnesota and the neighboring states to groups of people who were just starting churches of their own. These were usually Sunday evening services in rented halls. Occasionally Bronson or I being too small to require a railroad ticket were taken along against Mother's better judgment.

It was in 1893 that Father seriously considered coming east. He was in Boston for the Unitarian May meetings and wrote Mother:

Boston, Mass. 1893

May Friday, P. M.

Had a beautiful evening yesterday with Prof. Everett & a sister of Prof. Agassiz. Late in the evening Edward Hall came in & stayed till 11 o'clock.

This morning a Trustee of the 1st Parish Church Cambridge (this is in strict confidence not to be mentioned to any one) came on behalf of the Parish to know, in case they should wait till next fall & then give me a call, if I would "consider." The upshot was that I said I would talk with you about it.

Do you know I feel like Sancho Panza "I have given up all thoughts of being a Governor but I still have an ambition to be an earl." Somehow Cambridge seems different — no ritual, no traditions of the stiff kind, perfect intellectual freedom. And it is just the home like place you would love ....
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I will be back by Friday. A day or two won't be so much more & it will mean a good deal to have them here.

Truly,

S. M. Crothers

Isn't that funny it was automatic, but I do love you, dear, more than anything in all the world.

On his return to St. Paul he received a "follow up" letter from President Eliot, who did not encourage indecision.

Harvard University,
My dear Sir, —

As a member of the First Parish in Cambridge, I was very glad that you received last Tuesday a unanimous call from the Parish. I venture to say a few words on the subject, as President of the University. I believe it to be for the interest of every denomination to have a vigorous church and an influential minister close beside the University. The traditions of the University, and the present mode of carrying on the Chapel, give a free field for all the various communions and for all their local representatives. The pulpit of the First Parish ought to be by far the most influential liberal pulpit in the country.

The minister of that church ought also to be a contributor to the work of the Divinity School. Taking the whole situation into account, is it not clear, that the opportunities in Cambridge for giving direction to the minds of young men who are hereafter to hold places of influence all over our country are unique?

You are invited to this important work absolutely without suggestion or responsibility on your part. Others take the responsibility of saying that you are fit for this work. I venture to submit to you the proposition that no man has a right to decline such a proposal, unless for lack of health or strength, any more than an officer on the battle field has the right to decline a higher command to which he is assigned by his superior. In accepting, you give effect to the act of others; in declining, you would take on yourself the whole responsibility of withholding a service which good judges think you competent to perform.

Very truly yours,

Charles W. Eliot

Father was thirty-six when he received this vigorous call to the First Parish Church. In September the family arrived bag and baggage from Minnesota.

His thirty-three years in Cambridge were absorbingly interesting and happy ones. May I add one more letter from President Eliot:

Cambridge, Mass.,
18 November 1924

Dear Mrs. Crothers:

I thank you warmly for your note of November 13th. The Service on November 7th seemed to me, as to you, beautiful and comforting. I am grateful to you for telling me that Mrs. Eliot and I have contributed in some measure to making Cambridge an interesting and stimulating place for you and Mr. Crothers to live in during the past thirty years; and we are glad for ourselves and for Cambridge, as well as for you.

I inquired Sunday whether any progress had been made towards making an arrangement satisfactory to Mr. Crothers about an assistant minister or a secretary to relieve him of administrative work in the Church; but the answer I received was that no progress had been made. Is that because Mr. Crothers is not clear in his mind as to just what he does want, or is it
because he does not see the right person for his assistant or colleague? If he does see the right person, has the Committee in charge of the matter made vigorous efforts to get him?

Some years ago I proposed the name of Samuel M. Crothers as a member of the Saturday Club, and stated my grounds for believing that he was a very suitable person for membership. He was duly elected; but several years later I was a good deal amused to learn that a considerable number of the members of the Club had never discovered that he was a minister. They had voted for him and enjoyed him at the monthly luncheons just as a man of letters!

Sincerely yours

Charles W. Eliot
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CHARLES WILLIAM ELIOT
Anecdotal Reminiscences

BY JEROME D. GREENE

Read May 25, 1950

THAT one should be reading a paper before an historical society about President Eliot is significant of a fact, almost bewildering to us older folk, namely, that the life and work of that great man, still so vivid in our memory, have for a new generation assumed the aspect not of contemporary awareness but of history. The Harvard Memorial Society, founded in 1895 by my classmate, Arthur Train, under the presidency of Justin Winsor, held an annual dinner in 1934 at which the undergraduate president began his speech with the words, "Last March, when we celebrated the hundredth anniversary of President Eliot's birth — or was it the two hundredth?" — he was not sure which! The one man who would not have been surprised by that was President Eliot himself. He remarked more than once that the name of a college president was "writ in water," the metaphor used by Keats of himself, and by Shelley of Keats. Only the other day I was told of a normally well-informed young person that such names as George William Curtis and Charles Eliot Norton meant nothing to him. Thus, for the general community, time erases memories that once seemed secure; and history has to take the place of contemporary knowledge. Happily, for many of us, that time has not yet come, so far as President Eliot is concerned.

Dr. Fleming, the discoverer of penicillin, at a recent Harvard Commencement, made an autobiographical address, the theme of which was the element of chance in determining the successive phases of his own career, culminating in the accidental dropping of a bit of mold from his laboratory ceiling on a slide bearing bacteria, with sterilizing effect. This factor of chance has probably influenced the lives of most people, and it was certainly the factor leading to my employment by President Eliot. In June, 1901, I attended a reunion luncheon of my class and sat next to a classmate who remarked, "I understand that Ed Warren [later famous as Professor E. H. Warren of the Law School] has been offered
and is seriously considering the position of Secretary to President Eliot." Now Warren, who
was one of my closest friends, and my roommate in the Law School, graduated at the top of
his class and was making a promising start at the New York bar, and I could not imagine his
exchanging his prospects in New York for those of a clerical position at Harvard. There must
have been more to that position than I had imagined. So I called on President Eliot, learned
that Warren had declined the appointment, and after two interviews I was given the job.
Before accepting it I said to him, "There is one thing that might be a bar to my giving
satisfactory service — I am married." I thought it might become my duty to be free at all
times for work in evenings and perhaps on Sundays, or to accompany the President in
travel about the country. "Why should that be a bar?" he almost thundered in reply. "Have
you a baby?" Had I known as much as I did later I should have known that telling him that
at the age of twenty-five I was already married was probably the strongest point I could
have made in my favor. He believed in early marriage and was wont to reproach his young
relatives by warning them that too late a postponement of marriage would deprive them of
the pleasure of seeing their grandchildren.

As for accompanying President Eliot on journeys, no man had less use for a suite or retinue.
In eight years I travelled with him only twice. The first time it was to take some speaking
engagements he could not meet on his route through the South, and the other time it was
through sheer kindness to me on his part. He had accepted an invitation to speak in
Montreal and Ottawa where he was to be the guest of the Governor General, Lord Grey. I
was convalescing from appendicitis and the President thought the trip would be beneficial
and pleasant for me. I was forbidden to carry anything heavy so whenever it happened that
the services of a porter were not immediately available the President carried my bag as well
as his own, while I was allowed to carry his umbrella. Our two-day stay at Government
House was very pleasant and we both found the brief experience of vice-regal splendor and
formality amusing. The question of tips to the servants of vice-royalty troubled us a bit but
we managed to surmount that difficulty.

On two occasions President Eliot asked me to act as his proxy for the acceptance of medals
awarded to him. One of these medals was that of the Roosevelt Memorial Association and I
received the medal at the

White House, at the hands of President Coolidge. When I got to the East Room I found a
number of members of the Association, including relatives and friends of Theodore
Roosevelt; and then, somewhat to my surprise, Elihu Root and Oliver Wendell Holmes, who
were also to receive the Roosevelt medal. Presently those great men and President Eliot’s
proxy were lined up at the middle of the room. A door swung open and President Coolidge
appeared, with his military and naval aides. Standing about ten feet in front of us he
addressed himself first to me as if I were President Eliot in person, using the second person
singular and referring to "your" great services to education. Similar eulogies of Mr. Root
and Mr. Justice Holmes followed, and then we all adjourned to the south portico for
photographs.

The other occasion was that of the conferring of the Civic Forum gold medal at the Town
Hall in New York, where I was then living. I asked President Eliot what I should say on his
behalf in accepting the medal, but he said he preferred to have me write the speech. This I
did, saying, among other things, that the medal would be cherished by President Eliot and
his descendants. I sent him a copy of the speech with my report of the proceedings, and in
acknowledging it he said he approved of all but one statement, namely, that he would
"cherish" the medal. "I have found," said he, "that the only way to cherish a valuable gold
medal is to place it in a safe-deposit vault at the Charles River National Bank."

The resolution of the Board of Overseers recommending the appointment of a secretary to
the President had, indeed, invested the position with at least potential importance; for it
defined the position as a permanent one, and authorized the President to delegate to the
secretary such duties as he saw fit to delegate. I began work in University Hall without one
word of instructions, the President having gone to Northeast Harbor for the summer. So I
applied myself to absorbing the contents of the University Catalogue, as the best way of
beginning to know the organization and personnel of the University. But a fortnight later
my wife and I were invited to Asticou Foreside for a two-weeks' visit during which began an
acquaintance and intimacy that were to last as long as Mr. and Mrs. Eliot lived. During the
summer President Eliot relieved Mrs. Eliot of the daily ordering of provisions. I woke up
after my first night at the Eliots' to the sound of conversation on the porch. I looked out of
the window, and there was the President, in his dressing gown, discussing

meat with the butcher. Work at Asticou, always light, was subject to President Eliot's
announcement on any clear and promising day, that a picnic was in order, the hour of
departure being fixed. One or two carriages, with the President often driving, carried family
and guests to the chosen destination — Great Head, Pretymarsh, Seal Cove, or other
familiar picnic sites. Picnics were rarely arranged days ahead. The weather prospects in the
morning settled the question one way or the other.

As guests in the Eliot house or staying in the neighborhood, college presidents were such
frequent visitors that it used to be said that you could find one under every blueberry bush.
President Hadley of Yale liked to tell a story about being offered at breakfast the choice of
coffee or Postum, the Eliots being addicted to the latter. He told them, on the authority of a
Yale chemist, that Postum contained an ingredient of real coffee. Thereupon, Hadley said,
Mr. Eliot reverted to coffee, while Mrs. Eliot abjured both noxious drinks. But the sequel to
this story was a painful one for President Hadley; for having told it pretty freely, he
received one day a lawyer's letter from the manufacturers of Postum in Battle Creek,
informing him that Postum contained no coffee and that he would be held liable for
damages unless he stopped telling the story. I think the Eliots went back to Postum.

President Eliot had strong views about the habitual drinking of stimulants, whether
alcoholic or not. He was especially sensitive to coffee. On the day following an after-dinner
speech in New York I asked him how he got along. "Badly," said he, "I was garrulous and
diffuse — the fact is, I was intoxicated. I had just drunk a large cup of coffee." One may
doubt whether his audience shared that opinion. It had some support, however, from his
brother-in-law, Francis G. Peabody, who told me that when he was an undergraduate Mr.
Eliot called on him in his college room one day and was so talkative Peabody thought he
had been drinking. So he had, but then too it was a large cup of coffee.
Moderate and unhabitual use of stimulants President Eliot considered harmless and sometimes beneficial, a doctrine that proved painful to his audience when he uttered it at a temperance rally to which he had been invited. His candor was sometimes thought to exceed the limits of tact, but the forthrightness and dignity of his utterance so far disarmed offense as often to lessen the shock to those who might have passionately dis-agreed with him. In the words of Lavater, the Swiss poet and mystic, "He who when called upon to speak a disagreeable truth tells it boldly and has done, is both bolder and milder than he who nibbles in a low voice and never ceases nibbling." President Eliot never nibbled at the truth.

President Eliot was fond of sailing and gave endless pleasure to his guests aboard his sloop, the "Hearty," skippered either by himself or by his man Orrin Donnell, that sturdy and laconic son of Maine whose wife was the daughter of John Gilley, lighthouse keeper on Baker's Island, one of the "Forgotten Millions" whom President Eliot has caused not to be forgotten.

Once a boy and girl in a motorboat without sail or oars were faced with a stalled engine off Baker's Island. The occupants of a sailboat nearby, seeing that the motorboat was in trouble, and was being rapidly carried out to sea by the tide, hastened to the rescue. The boy and girl clambered aboard the sailboat and, their craft having been taken in tow, were introduced to the skipper and his two guests. They were overawed and somewhat embarrassed to find that their rescuers were the President of Harvard University, the President of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and the British Ambassador, James Bryce.

Mr. Bryce, whom we knew later as Lord Bryce, and Mr. Eliot had been friends and correspondents since the time in the 'seventies when Bryce was making his study of the American commonwealth. He had visited the Eliots on several occasions. Being a great walker, he enjoyed the many fine walks and glorious views on Mount Desert. I think it was on one of these walks that I heard Mr. Bryce refer to Dr. Cook's false claim of having reached the North Pole and he remarked that it was only then that he attached significance to the fact that when he himself climbed Mount Ararat — the first recorded ascent of that mountain since the Ark ran aground there — he was without witnesses, for his Turkish guides or porters had abandoned him some distance short of the top.

Among the public men with whom President Eliot had frequent correspondence were two Presidents of the United States, Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson. During the early stages of the First World War, when President Eliot's speeches and writings were among the first to support the cause of the Allies, he, like many others, was distressed by Wilson's slowness to recognize and assert our vital interest in the war. He wrote very frankly to Mr. Wilson, who replied in defense of his inaction that his opinions were "very deeply rooted." President Eliot rejoined that there was such a thing as
having one’s opinions too deeply rooted. After Mr. Wilson’s death Mr. Eliot wrote an article about him in somewhat eulogistic terms and asked me to read the manuscript before it was sent to the editor. Having myself felt a "deeply rooted" antagonism to President Wilson because of his intellectual obstinacy and his unwillingness to expose himself to advice from men of opinions contrary to his own, and partly because of his humiliating treatment of Ambassador Page, for whom I had the utmost respect and affection, I expressed myself pretty frankly to President Eliot. While he did not deny the force of my criticisms he thought they were outweighed by Mr. Wilson’s ultimate leadership in defining the issues of the war and in promoting the League of Nations; but he made me the concession of prefixing to a final laudatory statement the qualifying phrase, "In spite of certain fierce and unlovely qualities."

At the close of the Russo-Japanese War in 1905, when President Roosevelt’s mediation was accepted by both parties and the Portsmouth peace conference was about to take place, Mr. Roosevelt sent to President Eliot through the ordinary mail letters the Oyster Bay imprint of which clearly disclosed their source, letters of such astonishing frankness and indiscretion as would, if published, have gravely compromised his position as mediator.

On quite a different occasion there was correspondence between Eliot and Roosevelt that momentarily interrupted their generally good relations. It was near the end of Mr. Eliot’s presidency that a serious case of college discipline occurred. Two undergraduates purloined a reserved book from one of the departmental libraries where, during a period just before the final examinations, books were reserved so as to give all students concerned a fair chance to share their use. One of the boys in question passed a book through a window to a confederate outside. Both boys were caught in the act and gave false names when asked to identify themselves. The faculty suspended both youths, a normal penalty which became a tragedy when they proved to be valuable and probably indispensable members of the varsity crew squad, about to go into final training at New London. This brought down on President Eliot a telegram from Washington signed by two eminent graduates, Theodore Roosevelt and Robert Bacon of the Class of 1880. The telegram complained of the severity of the punishment inflicted, as one that also penalized all the alumni of the College, whose hopes of a victory over Yale were thus destroyed.

President Eliot briefly replied by a telegram explaining that the two culprits had done a dishonorable thing, that they had given false names when caught, and were proper objects of severe discipline. Mr. Eliot added that it was the duty of the University — to which Mrs. Eliot suggested adding the words "and of the Alumni" — to inculcate a high sense of honor in the undergraduates. This was a sharp enough rebuke, even as a private telegram to the President of the United States and the Secretary of State; but when both telegrams were published word for word in the New York World the next day, the rebuke became a stinging whiplash. Mr. Eliot at once telegraphed Mr. Roosevelt regretting the publication and disavowing responsibility for it; but I am sorry to say that Mr. Roosevelt did not take the disavowal very graciously. On the contrary he suggested to the newspaper reporters that President Eliot’s disavowal was in the nature of a diplomatic denial (a device, I may add, with which Mr. Roosevelt was not wholly unfamiliar), and anyway, the disclosure was
probably made by Mr. Eliot's entourage. As I was the entourage I flattered myself that I
had been duly qualified as a member of the Ananias Club, which consisted of some more or
less respectable people who had been called liars by Mr. Roosevelt. Mr. H. H. Rogers, the oil
magnate, who cordially hated Mr. Roosevelt, derived such pleasure from the two telegrams
that he pasted them on his shaving mirror as a sure way of starting every day in a happy
mood.

In spite of the loss of two Varsity oarsmen Harvard won the boat-race. This produced in
New Haven after the race the natural query, "How could President Eliot have known that
the two substitute oarsmen were better than the men they replaced?"

It was nearly a year later that another disclosure of the contents of a private telegram led
to an investigation that convicted a local telegraph operator of habitually selling to the New
York World choice bits of news he found in private telegrams. The offending operator was
of course discharged. As for my personal grievance against Mr. Roosevelt, it did not rankle
too badly and I did nothing to vindicate my honor and thus to terminate my membership in
the Ananias Club.

In 1909 President Roosevelt was elected President of the Harvard Alumni Association to
preside at its annual meeting on Commencement Day. He was then on his African hunting
trip and as acting secretary of the Alumni Association I notified him of his election. His
acceptance was written at Khartoum. Later, during his visits to the crowned heads of
Europe, he sent me a letter from Stockholm, making no specific reference to
Commencement, and saying "Tell me just how you think I ought to act. I will be more than
grateful. Give me the directions and I will follow them to the letter." I have preserved the
letter and framed it, to the utter confusion of future historians who will have seen no other
evidence of Mr. Roosevelt's subservience to the dictation of any man alive.

President Eliot was a militant Unitarian. His understanding of the part played by the
minutiae of doctrine and by emotional and esthetic feeling in the adherents of other faiths
was limited; but his admiration and respect for their outstanding leaders like Phillips
Brooks, Bishop Vincent, and George A. Gordon was hearty and outspoken. A few years ago
Bishop Sherrill received from Phillips Brooks's heirs a collection of his papers, among which
were a number relating to Harvard College. These the Bishop kindly sent to me as being of
interest for the Harvard archives. Among them I found some interesting correspondence
occasioned by the Corporation's invitation to Phillips Brooks to leave Trinity Church, where
his great reputation as a preacher was made, to become permanent minister to the
students of Harvard College. In opposition to an eloquent plea from President Eliot,
magnifying the potential influence of Mr. Brooks on the thousands of promising youth
passing through Harvard, there was an almost fiery letter from Henry L. Higginson, not then
a member of the Corporation, imploring Mr. Brooks to pay no heed to Harvard's invitation.
Mr. Brooks finally declined the appointment. All this was a few years before a Board of
Preachers to the University was appointed. Mr. Brooks, as Bishop, served on that Board for
five years, until a year before his death in 1893. On the day of his funeral in Trinity Church,
which overflowed into Copley Square, the funeral procession, by request of the Harvard
undergraduates, passed through the Yard on its way to Mount Auburn. The driveway from
the entrance in front of old Gore Hall to the new Johnston Gate was lined with students and
Faculty, standing with bared heads. There could not have been a more spontaneous and impressive demonstration of grief and respect.

If I may digress, for a moment, among Bishop Brooks's papers was an envelope marked "Class Day, 1855." It contained the dusty fragments of the sprig of flowers Brooks had managed to win in the free-for-all struggle of the seniors around the Class Day Tree in the Holden-Hollis-Harvard quadrangle, a contest in which Brooks's height and splendid physique must have given him no little advantage. I took the envelope of shrivelled flowers to the Gray Herbarium where a microscopic examination identified them as syringa and a double rose. Apparently there was no young lady with a claim to the trophy, so it has remained for ninety-five years as a treasured souvenir, now to continue its inevitable disintegration in the Archives of the College.

Returning to my early experience as Secretary to the President, my appointment must have been a disappointment to those correspondents whose letters in the form of inquiries or requests of one kind or another were really sent with the object of getting the President's autograph, for I rarely composed a letter for him to sign, nor did he descend to the modern custom of using ghost writers. I answered and signed about three-fourths of the mail myself, at the President's direction, appending a signature that had not the slightest interest for autograph hunters. A frank request for an autograph with an accompanying slip on which it could be written, and a stamped and addressed envelope, was always honored.

President Eliot's method with the mail, except those letters which obviously did not need his personal attention, was to write on the top margin or to tell me in two three words — often only yes or no, the gist of the reply. This I had to expand as politely and fully as necessary. I remember one letter requesting what the writer called "a gem of thought," to be written on a slip of paper that was to be sold or raffled at a ladies' bazar. Two words sufficed to indicate the answer — "No gem!" Another letter read like this: "Dear Sir, I understand that you are one of our country's greatest thinkers — what was your greatest thought?" I am afraid that letter had to go into the "Unanswered" category.

It is an excellent practice for anyone having a large mail to keep a "Funny File," into which one puts a carefully gleaned selection of amusing letters or episodes. I had such a file in University Hall, but unfortunately, after it had been borrowed for the entertainment of Mrs. Eliot's sewing club, "The Bee," it was lost somewhere between 17 Quincy Street and University Hall and has never been found. That was a tragedy, indeed. Relying on my memory I have given two extracts from that file. I remember another letter that came sometime after President Eliot's retirement in 1909. It was from an old gentleman in Kentucky who had recently heard President Eliot speak at a public meeting there. The writer began by saying he was ninety-two years old, expressed his admiration of the address, and ended by saying, "Since hearing you I have wondered whether I too might spend my declining years as a lecturer at old Harvard." Needless to say, President Eliot had no such ambition for himself. On the contrary, he tried to resign at
seventy, but the Corporation would not let him and it was not until he was seventy-five that they yielded to his insistence. He often spoke of his dread of being, as he expressed it, "caught in office" with his powers impaired. He was not like the Bishop of Sangrado who, having hired a secretary to warn him when his sermons should begin to deteriorate, received the unwelcome warning some years later and wrathfully exclaimed, "That shows how little you know! As a matter of fact I preach better now than I ever did!"

President Eliot had hardly less dread of surviving the impairment of his powers than of being "caught in office." Happily he was spared the prolonged mental and physical incapacity he so much feared and continued almost to the last his enjoyment of those "durable satisfactions" he had so richly earned.

President Eliot had a strong conviction of the merits of Harvard's organic and administrative structure — a conviction so strong that in his essays on university administration he expressed in general terms a picture of the Harvard system and personnel so recognizable as such that it afforded some amusement to other institutions no less confident of the adequacy of their own organizations. He had a deep and sincere respect for the governing boards and faculties of Harvard University, even though he could not always bring them to his own way of thinking. A popular notion that he dominated them in an autocratic sense had no foundation whatever. What gave that impression was the fact that to the merits of his ideas he added an extraordinary capacity for lucid, ob-

jective, and persuasive statement. Thus he achieved many, but by no means all, of the things he wished to accomplish.

His faith in the persistence of the liberal Harvard tradition was not to be shaken. If Harvard College was going to the dogs, it had been doing so for centuries, without arriving at that destination. After his retirement a graduate who was alarmed by certain changes in the former order of things in the University went to pour out his grief and anxiety in what he hoped would be a sympathetic ear. "Mr. Eliot," said he, "Harvard College is on a toboggan slide!" President Eliot, who felt no such apprehension himself, calmly remarked, "Mr. So-and-So, if Harvard College is ever on a toboggan slide there will be those who will spread ashes on it."

While the Charter and Statutes of the University placed all power in the hands of the two governing boards, that power was very largely delegated to the faculties; and their exercise of it had no stronger champion than the President. He never tried to rush measures through the Corporation or the faculty, or to suppress debate. On the contrary his patience during prolonged or futile debate exceeded that of the faculty itself. He told me of an incident in the 'eighties when he stood by the faculty, to the wrath of a railroad president who had quite another view of a president's authority. A leading Boston lawyer appeared at 17 Quincy Street one evening and said he had come on behalf of a client who wished to protest against the action of the faculty in suspending his son for inattention to his college work. President Eliot asked where the client was. "He's at the front door in my buggy," replied the lawyer. "Then bring him in!" said Mr. Eliot. The lawyer shortly returned with the railroad president, who began at once to storm against the absurdity of suspending his son, who, he said, had nothing else to do if he left Cambridge. Mr. Eliot replied that the matter of discipline rested wholly with the faculty and that he could not possibly interfere with its
decision. This was nonsense, in the railroad president's view. "Look here, Mr. Eliot," he said, "you are the head of a university and I am the head of a railroad, and we both know that what we say goes." "Not so, in my case," said Mr. Eliot. "The faculty has exclusive powers in such matters and I shall not interfere." "Very well," said the railroad president, "I have two other sons, but neither of them shall go to Harvard College," and off he went, in high dudgeon.

There are two sequels to this story. The first is that both those sons are graduates of Harvard College. The other is that many years later President Eliot attended a dinner of the National Civic Federation in New York, at which the old railroad president presided. When he introduced President Eliot for an after-dinner speech, he presented him as "the first citizen of the Republic." The old wound had evidently healed.

It may have been at the same dinner of the National Civic Federation that President Eliot had an encounter with Mr. Samuel Gompers, President of the American Federation of Labor. Some weeks earlier there had been a teamsters' strike in Boston, attended with much violence. One teamster who had remained loyal to the company, a married man with children, had been badly beaten up. President Eliot voiced his indignation at this cruel behavior of the strikers and publicly characterized the "scab" as a type of "twentieth-century hero." Of course this brought a blast from the unions. Mr. Gompers, at a meeting of the American Federation of Labor in New Orleans, denounced President Eliot as a combination of Judas Iscariot and Benedict Arnold, an epithet more caustic than relevant. In New York for the dinner of the Civic Federation, President Eliot was standing in an anteroom when in walked Mr. Gompers. Seeing President Eliot, he strode towards him, with hand outstretched and a cordial smile. As Professor A. S. Hill said of Mr. Eliot when, not much to his liking, an honorary degree had to be conferred upon Prince Henry of Prussia, the Kaiser's brother, "Mr. Eliot froze to the occasion." Easily divining the reason for that frigidity, Mr. Gompers, unabashed, cheerfully exclaimed, "You mustn't mind what I said about you, Mr. President; I have to get off something like that now and then for the boys."

President Eliot incessantly wrote and spoke against the resort to force and violence by either party to a labor dispute, as he did to war as an instrument of national policy. Shortly after the teamsters' strike and President Eliot's defense of the scab, the Boston Central Labor Union, voicing the rabid indignation of its constituents, invited, or perhaps challenged, him to defend his position before a public meeting in Faneuil Hall. Those of us who had seats on the platform behind President Eliot could not but sense the atmosphere of bitter antagonism, and even feared there might be an unpleasant demonstration; but the presiding officer established the note of impartiality and fair play, and President Eliot, by sheer force of courage, unmistakable good will and the dispassionate cogency of his speech seemed completely to disarm the hostility of his hearers so that they listened with respect and applauded at the end. I always thought it one of the great moments of President Eliot's life.
I come now to an incident which President Eliot called the funniest in his experience as President of the University. It relates to a play which some of you may remember, called Brown of Harvard. The leading part was played by Henry M. Woodruff, a onetime Harvard undergraduate, and the play purported to give a picture of Harvard life, with scenes, romantic or otherwise, that bore no resemblance to anything but a cheap, popular notion of what college life was supposed to be. The first performance at the Majestic Theatre in Boston was attended by a large number of undergraduates who proved to be amply supplied with fruits and vegetables with which to express their dramatic judgment. The bombardment began in the first act and was so violent that the curtain was rung down and the performance closed. It was, of course, a disgraceful exhibition of collegiate manners. Not least disturbed by it was Major Henry L. Higginson, who suggested that the presidents of the three upper classes should appear on the stage of the theatre the following evening and apologize to the actors and to the audience of the second performance. President Eliot was away in Bermuda. Dean Briggs and I conferred on the desirability of complying with Major Higginson’s suggestion. Neither of us thought it quite met the situation but in deference to him the young class presidents were persuaded to make the apology. At this juncture I received a cable from President Eliot in Bermuda containing just two words: "Regret Brown." It mystified me because it did not seem in character for the President to intervene during his absence in a matter with which the College authorities were presumably able to cope without his help. On the other hand my only inference was that the Majestic Theatre affair had been so played up by the Bermuda papers that the President wanted us to know that he considered the case very serious and that an official expression of regret should be issued. I therefore wrote a note to Harry Woodruff, whom I had known as an undergraduate, saying that I had received a cable from President Eliot expressing his regret. The next morning Woodruff's manager called me on the telephone and asked permission to publish my note. This I refused, for the rather feeble reason that I could not consult President Eliot who by that time was on the high seas, on his way home. In that refusal I built more wisely than I knew. I wrote a letter to Mr. Eliot to meet him on his arrival in New York, telling the whole story, including my apology. Then, finding that he was not returning immediately to Cambridge, and having some urgent matters to bring to his attention, I took a midnight train to New York, and presented myself at his hotel room at seven o'clock in the morning. He had received my letter, and greeting me with a broad smile, the letter in his hand, he said, "Jerome, this is the funniest thing that has happened to me since I have been President of Harvard College! Didn’t you forward to me an invitation to deliver the Commencement address at Brown University?" "Regret Brown" — that was all. He had never heard a word about the Majestic Theatre affair. The invitation from Brown University had been sent to President Eliot direct, and not through me, but the story has been told so many times at alumni gatherings and has given so much pleasure at my expense that I have rarely had the heart to explain that it was not my memory that was at fault.

I have often tried to define President Eliot’s sense of humor to my own satisfaction but have never quite succeeded. He was intolerant of facetiousness and of manufactured jokes, and inclined to be critical of attempts to lighten a serious discourse like a sermon or an academic report with bits of levity or witticism. This revealed a rather austere sense of propriety that limited his tolerance of flippant humor, but did not exclude his enjoyment of
humor on a higher level. In what must almost be called a spirit of mischief he once drafted a citation for an eminent divine who was to receive an honorary degree. Not every honorary degree voted by the governing boards had his unqualified approval. In this case he wrote, while Mrs. Eliot and I were standing by, a citation in which he said of the candidate that he had "steered a skilful course between the Scylla of conservatism and the Charybdis of liberalism." To Mrs. Eliot's and my horrified protest he yielded with a smile and proceeded to write a more amiable tribute. I have fortunately forgotten the name of this victim of his playful malice.

Some of the most amusing remarks attributed to President Eliot were those in which he mentioned trivialities in the stately language of serious discourse. Sometimes he was conscious of the incongruity and knew it was found amusing by others; but the fact remained that the words he used were his natural vocabulary and were not always intended to be what is called funny. Occasionally, in meetings of the Corporation, when its then youngest members, Charles Francis Adams and Nelson Perkins, used some of the picturesque lingo of sport or of the street, he would say by way of mild reproach, "Mr. Adams [or Mr. Perkins], exactly what do you mean by that?"

A lady of his acquaintance living in the favored part of Cambridge where we are meeting today once asked him why he lived on Quincy Street. "Why not?" said the President. "Because it's so far away," said the lady. "Far away from what?" replied Mr. Eliot. There would seem to be plenty of humor there for us, but it reminds one of Phillips Brooks's exclamation to Leighton Parks when the latter said he was much impressed at his first service in Appleton Chapel by seeing President Eliot standing erect in the front pew, lustily singing "Am I a soldier of the Cross, a follower of the Lamb?" "Oh," said Mr. Brooks, "he was just asking for information."

President Eliot abhorred extravagance and inaccuracy of speech and regarded superlatives with grave suspicion. The lapidary terseness that made his inscriptions famous was characteristic of his style. If two words would convey his thought he would not use a dozen. Thus when he told me the story of his being waked up in the middle of the night by a student at his front door who was afflicted with religious mania, and who said he had seen a vision in which he was told that President Eliot was prepared to accept Jesus Christ as his Saviour, I asked the President how he had replied, he simply said, "I told him he had been misinformed."

No one intimately associated with President Eliot could understand a prevalent notion that he was cold and unemotional. A short time after I entered his service one of my college contemporaries, meeting me in the Yard, asked me if I had a gas heater in my office. He thought I would need it in that frigid environment. Many a faculty family could tell of instances of the President's prompt and thoughtful offers of advice or practical help when death, sickness, or other emergency occurred, the President seeing to it that a helping hand, his own or another's, was supplied if needed. A young administrative officer whose house was left without fuel during the coal strike of 1902 will never forget the immediate
removal of parents and newborn baby to 17 Quincy Street until other heating devices were installed for the rest of the season.

I am sure that such sympathetic understanding of the personal and private side of academic life had much to do with President Eliot's success in attracting distinguished or promising scholars to Harvard. He knew that when a teacher was asked to tear up his roots where he had been living, something more than the allurements of a Harvard appointment and opportunities for scholarly work had to be taken into account. Important family considerations were involved, the availability of a suitable house in a congenial environment, good schools for children, and the cultural and recreational advantages of this community. The result was that his letters of invitation were very seductive and supplied the practical information the recipient and his wife needed in making up their minds. More than that, they disclosed a humane interest on the part of the President that must have counted for much.

President Eliot's insistence on the right word, both apt and precise, was an outstanding quality of his speech and writing; and he was not always tolerant of the shortcomings of others in this respect. As I have intimated, he rarely found use for a superlative and he disliked the exaggerations of ordinary conversation such as awful, terrible, fierce, and the like. On acquiring a piece of woodland in New York I told President Eliot that it was the first time in my life that I had actually owned a blade of grass or a twig, and that my wife and I had a lot of fun in fixing up the place and roaming our own woods. "Not fun," said Mr. Eliot, "profound happiness." Well, it was a lot of fun too.

I have intimated that President Eliot approved of early marriages. Even in the case of improvident ones distressing to parents and other relatives he urged it as a duty on the two families involved to make the marriage a success and to be generous in moral and financial support. An elderly couple from a city in western New York once burst into his office, accompanied by a young grown-up daughter. It appeared that their son, an undergraduate, had married his landlady's daughter. The parents were beside themselves with grief and indignation and demanded President Eliot's advice on the procedure for securing an annulment. They were determined to spare neither trouble nor expense to upset the marriage. The President, who had some knowledge of the circumstances, informed the parents that the landlady and her family bore a good reputation. The young couple were honestly attached to each other and he thought the prospects of a happy marriage were not unfavorable. So far

from being appeased the parents' fury was redoubled and they flatly rejected the President's suggestion that they might try to make the marriage a success. Abandoning hope of any help from the President, they hurriedly left the room. As they led the way out the daughter, who had remained silent during the interview, fell a little behind and whispered to the President, "Thank you very much, Mr. Eliot, they'll come around all right," an example of the sense of parental responsibility sometimes felt by our grown-up children.
One characteristic of President Eliot’s view of human nature was an optimistic belief in what he called the capacity for moral recuperation in boys who go wrong. He told me of an extreme case. An undergraduate was caught stealing from the pockets of fellow-students in gymnasium lockers. He was summarily expelled. Perhaps twenty-five years later, on a visit to a Harvard Club in a western city, arrangements had been made for the President to stay overnight at the house of a leading citizen, a member of the club. On meeting his host Mr. Eliot recognized him as the man who had been expelled. The recognition was mutual and silent, but there was no constraint on either side. For his part, the host clearly welcomed the chance of demonstrating that he had made good.

With this story I shall bring these anecdotal reminiscences to an end. If some of them are new to you, the traits they illustrate I am sure are not unfamiliar. Anecdotes are not a substitute for conversation and they certainly are not biography; but they may help to make recollection more vivid and illuminate some aspects of a great and beloved figure that will remain as one of the glories of our Cambridge heritage.

Forsan haec olim meminisse juvabit.
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HISTORICAL ASSOCIATIONS
OF CHARLESTOWN AND CAMBRIDGE

BY CHARLES F. WHITING

Read October 24, 1950

In order to determine the causes which brought about the settlement of Charlestown, Massachusetts, by Englishmen, it is necessary to make a brief survey of the explorations of America, of the Puritan movement which forced emigration, and of the patents or grants of conflicting territorial limits.

EXPLORATION OF AMERICA

The discovery of America and closely following explorations had been underwritten by governments acknowledging the authority of the head of the Catholic Church to dispose of all the kingdoms of the earth. Spain claimed title to the entire new world on authority of his Holiness Alexander VI. This right was recognized by Protestant princes only so far as it was backed by discovery and possession. Thus, through enterprises under her own auspices, England laid claim to the North American continent northwards from the Gulf of Mexico to the limits of like claims of other governments. The rights of Indians to the territory were ignored in general then, as later they were to be by the United States in the disposal of the public domain in the West.

English expeditions began with John Cabot, 1497, and his son Sebastian, 1498, of Bristol, England, who explored the coast from Cape Breton to Albemarle Sound off North Carolina and claimed it for England. Elizabeth’s "Sea Kings" were to play an important part in
discoveries. In 1562, John Hawkins carried out an exploration in the West Indies, resulting in discoveries and trade. Sir Francis Drake, in 1572, a relative of Hawkins, set out with the avowed purpose of pillaging Spanish possessions in America, crossed the isthmus connecting North and South America and seized treasures from pack trains from Peru. Five years later he made another voyage, sailing down the coast of South America, around the Cape, up the west coast, and eventually crossing the Pacific to consummate the second voyage around the world.

In 1576-77, Martin Frobisher sought a northern passage to India. John Davis undertook a similar mission in 1585. It was not accomplished till 1850 by Captain McClure.

Financed by the Earl of Southampton, a friend of Shakespeare, an expedition was undertaken in 1602 by Bartholomew Gosnold, who made detailed examination of the New England coast, bestowing the first English name on any place in North American territory — Cape Cod. Islands to the south, Gosnold and Martha’s Vineyard, were located but no settlement was made, although fishing and trading were carried on off Newfoundland. Largely from the favorable reports of his findings, there came, five years later, a charter from which the ultimate settlement of America is dated.

Exploration of the coast was undertaken in 1605 by Weymouth, who went northerly as far as Maine. George Popham, a kinsman of Sir John Popham, Chief Justice of England and leader of the Plymouth Company, endeavored to make a settlement at the mouth of the Kennebec in Maine but was defeated by the cold of winter.

No further attempts were made in territory to be known as New England until 1614, the year of the three months’ exploration by Captain John Smith, previously (1607) associated with the settlement of Jamestown, Virginia. This was the most detailed survey made to this date. It resulted in the establishment of the names of New England, Cape Elizabeth, Cape Ann, Charles River (from the name of Prince Charles, later Charles I), and Plymouth. In earlier expeditions by Sir Walter Raleigh, out of compliment to Queen Elizabeth, the Virgin Queen, the name Virginia was applied to that part of North America under British claim. This was loosely defined as the territory along the Atlantic coast north of Florida to Canada.

In the period of Elizabeth, Holland freed herself from Spanish dominion. At this time England had a population of about 5,000,000 and Holland a like number. Holland possessed greater wealth. Together, they overcame the Spanish Armada which threatened the overthrow of England. With the Spanish fleet eliminated and with Spain weakened instead of strengthened by the acquisition of vast American treasure, clearance was made for expansion both by England and Holland.

PURITANS

The way for the settlement of America by Englishmen was prepared by the religious controversy. In the sixteenth century under Henry VIII and Elizabeth, England, which had
acknowledged the Roman Church for 1000 years, turned to Protestantism and the establishment of the Church of England with the King as head. England had never been wholly loyal in its attachment to the Roman Church. Control by a foreign power had never been agreeable to the English. Henry VIII made marital affairs the occasion for revolt against the papacy, but the country really was already half Protestant anyway. While "Bloody" Queen Mary attempted to suppress the new religion, she could not turn the tide. Elizabeth gave toleration to the cause, which now made great headway.

In the development of religious thought the art of printing, invented by Gutenberg in 1450 in Mainz, and improvement in paper making played a large part. The protest of Martin Luther (1517) could easily be spread to British shores. Likewise the Bible, translated into English by Wickliffe in the fourteenth century, could be given wide circulation. In 1611 came the King James translation. From it readers could contrast the pure teaching of Christ with doctrines developed by theologians.

The seventeenth century, under the Stuart kings, was to witness the struggle for liberalization of the established Church of England and for toleration of those who desired modification. The Pilgrim body desired complete separation, the Puritans a simplification or purification. In the development of Puritan principle and policy the University of Cambridge, and principally Emmanuel College, took a leading part. This university on the east coast was closer to the liberalizing influence of Holland than Oxford. Here many of the leaders were educated, and among them were thirty-five prominent scholars of the emigrants to America.

King James hoped to break down the Puritans and promote episcopacy, and those dissenting from the established forms were persecuted and treated as sinners. At length in 1607 Robinson led a group of separatists, numbering one thousand, to refuge in Delft, Holland, whence they subsequently, in 1620, departed for America. The Puritan emigration came later, in 1630, under the leadership of Governor Winthrop, who

wrote that, despairing of progress, they became pressed, "after sorrowful reluctance," to emigrate to pursue their purpose for a religious and civil commonwealth. "We leave our dear Mother, the Church of England, not loathing the milk wherewith we were nourished there, but blessing God for the parentage and education, and as members of the same body shall always rejoice in her good." And again he wrote, "Whereas God has always gathered his churches out of the world, now the world or civil state must be raised out of the churches."

While the Puritans fled from England to avoid religious persecution, their purpose was not to make a settlement for freedom of worship, but to set up their own religious state to which all comers must conform, and only church members would have the right to vote. Those who had conflicting views, Quakers and Anabaptists, were dealt with harshly but with no more severity than obtained in mother England. Archbishop Laud remarked of the Puritans, "They do but begin with the Church that they might have the freer access to the State."

COLONIZATION
The first settlement in America by the white race was in 1565 at Saint Augustine, Florida, by the Spanish.

By the end of the sixteenth century, colonization of America had become avowed English policy. The first patent granted was in 1583 by Elizabeth to Sir Humphrey Gilbert, whose men perished in Newfoundland. Sir Walter Raleigh, a half brother, took over the patent but his efforts at settlement also ended in failure. When Elizabeth died in 1603, not one white settler was known to exist north of the Gulf of Mexico.

At this time, joint stock companies, the gathering of the capital of individuals into one fund to be employed for profit, were devised. A conspicuous example and one to become famous was the East India Company.

On April 10, 1606, King James I granted a patent to a great joint stock company formed for the establishment of two colonies in America: for a southern colony between North Latitude 34° and 38° — from Cape Fear off North Carolina to Richmond, Virginia — and for a northern colony between North Latitude 41° and 45° — Long Island to Canada. The parent stock company had two subsidiary companies: the Virginia

or London Company for the southern settlement and the Plymouth Company for the northern settlement. The western limit of both was presumed to be the Pacific Ocean, and the intervening territory was to go to the company making the first settlement. Local government was assigned to a council in America, but general supervision resided in the council in England. The settlement of Jamestown, Virginia, in 1607 by the London Company was the first permanent settlement in America by Englishmen.

The Pilgrims who had fled to Holland were not content to be absorbed into that country, and turned their thoughts to America where they could establish their concept of a Christian state. From the London Company they obtained a grant of land and a loan of 7,000 pounds. The group from Holland, sailing in the Speedwell, were to be joined in England by friends in the Mayflower. However, leaks in the Speedwell caused a consolidation in the Mayflower and delayed the start, so that arrival in America occurred in the bleak month of December. Bad weather caused the ship to go off reckoning and to make Cape Cod and Plymouth instead of the Delaware River as intended, and so the settlers landed in an area outside their grant and within the limits of the Plymouth Company, which, however, the following year gave them a suitable title. Since King James had denied a charter to the Pilgrims — an instrument containing powers of government—they had on the voyage in the Mayflower drawn up a compact of government.

The original patent of the Plymouth (England) Company had been made in 1606 to Popham, whose settlement at the mouth of the Kennebec failed from the cold of winter. This patent was superseded by one in 1620 to the Council of Plymouth (in Devonshire) to plant and govern New England in America, the territory to be between North Latitude 40° and 48° (Philadelphia to Canada), "and throughout the main from sea to sea." This was the foundation of all later grants in New England. From it the Pilgrims received the grant confirming their settlement.
Action toward settlement to the north of Plymouth was presently to arise. Sir Ferdinando Gorges, an active member of the Plymouth Company, was responsible for the making of loose grants which were to become the subject of dispute and conflict. One such was to Robert Gorges his son in 1632, embracing the northeast side of the Bay of Massachusetts, an area including the site of Charlestown. He sent out

Thomas Weston heading a group who landed at Wessagusett (Wey-mouth) and who remained about a year. Also Gorges’ agent, Thomas Morton, undertook to form a royalist and Episcopal settlement on the bay, but it had an ill-fated experience at Merrimount. Captain Wollaston stopped briefly at Quincy but moved on to Virginia.

Among those landing in the Bay of Massachusetts under the sponsorship of the Gorges were three men of significance who about 1625 set out singly from Wessagusett (Weymouth) to find habitation. One was Samuel Maverick, who established himself at Noddle Island, East Boston; another was William Blaxton (Blackstone), to be the first white inhabitant in Boston, a place called by the Indians “Shawmut” (Living Abundant Waters); the third was Thomas Walford, the smith, who took up abode in Charlestown (Mishawum to the Indians). These three men, agents of Gorges, were relied on by him to establish claim to the territory. They were in advance of and no part of the Puritan body.

Blackstone took up abode on the hill later known as Sentry, still later as Beacon Hill, on its westerly slope near Spruce and Mount Vernon Streets. His water supply is thought to have been the spring in Louis-burg Square. He may have received a grant from the Gorges, although the location was not within the limits of their authority. He was referred to in 1629 as an agent of John Gorges. He at once entered into friendly relations with the Indians and made satisfactory terms for occupancy of seven to eight hundred acres and established a title which proved satisfactory to Governor Winthrop a few years later.

Blackstone was born at Horncastle Parish, near Salisbury, Lincolnshire, England, March 5, 1596. He was graduated from Emmanuel College, Cambridge, and his signature appears on the college records as “William Blaxton.” He took orders, but being a nonconformist and detesting prelacy, he was without a cure. However, his connection with the church was manifest from the canonical coat he wore. He was scholarly, retiring, a lover of flowers and fruit. He was of independent nature, with courage of conviction but hospitable and amiable, winning both savage and Puritan.

Thus he carried on a hermit’s life in Boston, baffling though it be that a man of his culture and grace desired to live apart in the unbroken wilderness. He perceived that disaster might well befall the newcomers to Charlestown on account of lack of good water, and he invited Win-

thorp to move across the river to the peninsula of Shawmut where there were springs with abundance of water, as at Spring Lane at the foot of School Street. This offer was accepted
and Winthrop took up residence on the north and east sides of the peninsula, while Blackstone remained on the westerly.

Blackstone maintained friendly relations with the newcomers, made them familiar with their new environment, and accepted citizenship from them in 1631, taking the oath of freeman. But the rigors of the Puritan were not congenial to him, and pressures arose, and claims of title which found their way to court. In 1633 it was "agreed that Wm. Blackstone shall have 50 acres of ground set out for him near to his house to enjoy forever." But affairs did not improve. He wore his canonical gown, he did not belong to the church of the Puritans, he was "without," not of the Lord's people. He had left England to flee from the Lord Bishops and he now decided to flee the Lord Brethren. He accordingly, in 1635, sold his property to the Puritans, Boston Common comprising a part of it, and departed on his brindled bull trained to saddle, not to the north to Maine as expected, but southerly to Rhode Island. He remarked, "I looked to have dwelt with my orchards and my books and my young fawn and my bull, in undisturbed solitude. Was there not room enough for all of ye? Could ye not leave the hermit in his corner?"

In Rhode Island, he made his home on a high bank of a river, subsequently to bear his name, six miles from Providence in the locality called Attleboro, Lonsdale, or Rehoboth. After his death in 1675, King Phillip's war broke out, and in its course his house and contents were burned, including his collection of manuscripts presumed to have contained valued writings of his life and thought. A memorial has been placed in Rehoboth. His name is borne by a river in Massachusetts, a bank in Providence, and two banks and a street in Boston. The location of his hut in Boston is marked by a plate at Beacon and Spruce Streets, which recites, "The place of his seclusion became the seat of a great city."

THOMAS WALFORD

Thomas Walford, first English settler on the site of Charlestown, was a blacksmith (one of the "common people"), a member of the Church of England. He built for a home an English house, palisaded
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and thatched, on the slope of Breed's Hill in the wilderness, and with his family dwelt in rude independence. He liked not the ways of later comers and chose not to conform to their rigid authority, especially in regard to Sabbath observances. So it came about that he was brought into court with the following charge: "Thomas Walford of Charlton is fyned forty shillings and is enjoined, he and his wife, to departe out of the lymits of this pattent before the twentieth day of October nexte, under paine of confiscation of his goods for his contempt of authorite, and confrontage of officers."

The fine Walford paid by killing a wolf, but order of banishment seems not to have been enforced, for twenty-eight months later the court again ordered that his goods be sequestered to satisfy debts owed. As late as January 9, 1634, his name appears in the list of Charlestown inhabitants. Soon after he departed and made his way to Portsmouth, New Hampshire, where he lived until his death in 1660, a selectman and warden of the church.

The failure, after Plymouth, to establish colonies brought about the realization that better men must be selected to make effective settlement. Accordingly, March 19, 1628 (in the
reign of Charles I), by the council of Plymouth (Devonshire, England) a patent was granted the Governor and Company of Massachusetts Bay in New England, comprising Captain John Endicott, Humphrey, Whitcomb, and others, for the tract lying three miles north of the Merrimac and three miles south of the Charles River, and from the Atlantic to the Pacific. Of this group also were John Winthrop, Isaac Johnson, and Sir Richard Saltonstall. This patent was of far-reaching consequence since it provided that the patent itself and the government were to reside in New England and not in England as was the case with the Plymouth colony. This grant is seen to be in conflict with one made to Gorges, Mason, and Oldham, covering land between the Kennebec and Merrimac. An adjustment was made later, restricting Gorges to the area between the Kennebec and the Piscataqua rivers, Mason to the area between the Piscataqua and the Merrimac, and Endicott to the area south of the Merrimac.

Endicott, first governor, was sent ahead in the Abigail, and arrived in Salem, September 6, 1628, with a band of about sixty people. He was to serve as agent until Winthrop should arrive. He was joined soon after by Higginson with three hundred and eighty men, eighty women, twenty-six children, a hundred and forty head of cattle, forty goats, arms, ammunition, and tools, all in six or seven small ships. Here was preparation in earnest for a successful settlement.

In view of the serious conflict of claims to territory around Massachusetts Bay, Captain Endicott was instructed the year following his arrival in Salem to explore the bay and improve the patent of the company. Accordingly, a small group was sent out in the spring of 1629, including three Sprague brothers, Ralph, Richard, William, and three or four others, to make a settlement at the junction of the Charles and Mystic Rivers, at the place called Mishawum (Great Spring) by the Indians and, by the settlers, Charlton or Charlestown. The land was purchased from the Indian possessors, the Aberginians, of whom Wonahaquahem, or Sagamore John, so called, was chief.

Salem was not regarded as suitable for the capital of the colony and Thomas Graves, an engineer, was sent to lay out the town of Charlestown, the original map of which has been preserved. The "Great House" in the square was planned and made ready to be the residence of the governor and the seat of the government. Thus Charlestown became the oldest settlement in the present limits of Boston (Blackstone was but a hermit). It originally extended eight miles into the interior, but by the setting off of fourteen towns was eventually reduced to a mere square mile of territory. Meanwhile, John Winthrop, a lawyer, educated, noble, and high in promise, had been made governor and embarked from England in the Arbella, named for the wife of Isaac Johnson, a member of the company. After a long voyage of eighteen weeks, with a fleet of seventeen ships and eight hundred persons, he arrived June 12, 1630, in Salem. (The first of the fleet to arrive made a landing at Nantasket.) He explored Massachusetts Bay, the Charles River, and the Mystic River as far as the spot in Somerville to be called Ten Hills, which became his summer residence. In July he came to rest and settled at Charlestown, the place made ready for him. Later arrivals scattered to Medford, Dorchester, and Cambridge. Saltonstall moved up the river to Watertown.
 religious tradition, an early ceremonial on July 8, 1630, was a Thanksgiving for a safe arrival. Shortly thereafter, on July 30, a covenant for a church was signed. On August 27, John Wilson was appointed teacher and Increase Nowell ruling elder.

As Winthrop's party left England, no bitterness nor indignation was expressed by them, nor any pride in their anticipations. They parted as a dutiful child from a severe but venerated parent. While this emigration was to become an unprofitable speculation financially for the Council of Plymouth, and while its patent was subsequently surrendered to the crown, it was to become a great political achievement.

It had been expected that Charlestown would be the seat of the government of the colony. However, disease appeared and made serious inroads; water was scant, and distress developed. At this juncture, William Blackstone extended his invitation to move across to Shawmut. Accordingly, a migration began, and by November the governor, minister, and many others had departed. Church services were held for a time alternately on both sides of the Charles. The name Shawmut gave way to Trimontaine (three peaks of Beacon Hill), and finally to Boston, on order of Winthrop and the Court or governing body. Services in Boston were held at a site on what is now State Street near Congress Street, and by 1632 the first meeting house was completed for the First Church of Boston (now at Berkeley and Marlborough Streets).

There was difficulty in transportation by ferry, especially in winter, and it became desirable for the Charlestown residents to have their own church. Accordingly, the Charlestown group, numbering thirty-five, and one fourth of the total, was dismissed, and on November 2, 1632, signed a covenant for a church. Thomas James was ordained pastor and services were held in the "Great House." The church which first gathered here July 30, 1630, was the fourth established in New England. The first had been at Plymouth, the second at Salem, the third at Dorchester (organized in England). For 171 years, or up to 1801, this original church was the only one in Charlestown.

The removal of Winthrop and his followers from Charlestown to Boston in the fall of 1630, and the interrelation of the two communities, made new transportation facilities across the river imperative. The only practicable means was a ferry, and therefore one was authorized and was established the next year, about on the course of the bridge used by the Elevated Railroad. The ferry became Boston's connection with Cambridge, Watertown, and other settlements north and west and through to Connecticut. Edward Converse was for a
consideration authorized "to charge two pence per person, or a penny a head if there were
two or more; sixpence for a horse or a cow, and man going with." Difficulties arose over
rates, free lists, counterfeit tokens, etc.

As a means of relief, the General Court in 1640 granted to Harvard College the operation
and revenue of the ferry on the terms of statutory toll charges. This was the only income of
the college for a time, and for a considerable time was a substantial one. Competition
resulted from the "Great Bridge" of 1662 (replacing a ferry at the foot of Dunster Street),
connecting Cambridge and Brighton, but income continued until 1785, when the
Charlestown ferry was replaced by a toll bridge hailed as the wonder of the New World.
Celebration of the opening of the bridge was unsurpassed by any celebration hitherto; eight
hundred people sat down at a banquet at two tables three hundred feet long. In
compensation to Harvard College for loss of the ferry income, the bridge company (John
Hancock, proprietor) was bound to pay two hundred pounds per year for forty years. In
1828, a new bridge, the Warren Bridge, without toll charges, was built alongside, and
caused the failure of the Toll Bridge Company and a termination of annuities to the college.
In 1846 the Commonwealth granted Harvard College $3,333 in lieu of five years' income.
(In 1793 the bridge between West Boston and Cambridge was built. In 1809 a bridge was
built from Prison Point to Cambridge. Prior carriage travel was via the Neck.)

JOHN HARVARD AND HARVARD COLLEGE

The Reverend John Harvard was admitted an inhabitant of Charles-town August 1, 1637,
then a settlement of a hundred and fifty "comly and faire houses," and on November 6, with
his wife, was made a member of the church, whereupon he took the oath of freedom. He
may have been led hither from England by Nathaniel Eaton, Reverend Thomas James,
Reverend Zechariah Symmes, and others, fellow members at Emmanuel College,

Harvard was "sometime minister of God's word," and became assistant teacher or Elder to
the Reverend Mr. Symmes. He was esteemed for

his scholarship and piety and was placed on an important committee "to consider some
things tending toward a body of laws."

A generous grant of land was awarded him, a hundred and twenty acres lying in the present
limits of Winchester. Only Increase Nowell, the first signer of the covenant of 1630, and
Governor Winthrop received a larger one. In the terms of the Massachusetts Bay Colony,
"those coming over at own cost" were granted fifty acres, and each stockholder of the
company was given two hundred acres. By agreement "each inhabitant was to have two
acres for himself and two acres to plant."

John Harvard was born in 1608 in Southwark, England. He attended Emmanuel College as
Sizar (recipient of scholarship) and received the degree of B.A. in 1631 and M.A. in 1635. In
1630, while in college, he married Ann Sadler, the sister of a fellow collegian. In legal
documents he was referred to as "Clerk," the equivalent of one with holy orders, but he had
no church living. He was scholarly, and he had a comfortable amount of property through
inheritance from his father, a butcher, and from his mother, an innholder. He set out for America not for adventure or profit, but for the satisfaction of religious principles.

His residence in America was to be short, about a year, since he was "taken with a consumption" and died September 14, 1638. On his death bed he bequeathed to the college, in an unrecorded will, one half of his estate (total estate 1700 pounds), together with his library of four hundred books. The gift of money was twice the grant of four hundred pounds by the Great and General Court, though half of the total tax levy of the colony. When the college was authorized September 8, 1636, attempt was made by Hugh Peters, minister at Salem and successor to Roger Williams, to have it located in Salem. On November 15, 1637, the Court, John Winthrop, Governor, ordered the college "to bee at Newtowne." This place up the river from Boston had been selected in 1630 to be the capital of the colony since it was away from attack. Winthrop had built a house there, but had soon removed to Boston, which was determined to be a more convenient and suitable capital. On May 2, 1638, the Court ordered Newtowne henceforth to be called Cambridge. The site on the Charles River suggested the river location of the mother college in Cambridge, England.

These books, save one, were destroyed in the fire of 1764 in the college library in Harvard Hall.

In First Fruits John Harvard is described as "a godly gentleman and a lover of learning." The epitaph by Reverend Thomas Shepard runs: "The man was a scholar and pious in his life and enlarged toward the country and the good of it in life and death."

The exact burial place of John Harvard, presumably on Town Hill, was lost track of; however, graduates of the college provided a memorial shaft in the ancient burial ground, Phipps Street, Charlestown (oldest stone, 1654), on high ground with a westerly view toward Cambridge and the college. The dedicatory address was given September 26, 1828, by Edward Everett, the prayer by Reverend James Walker, pastor of the Harvard Unitarian Church, Charlestown, later president of the College.

Edward Everett said: "... he, the first to perceive with a prophetic foresight, and to promote with a princely liberality, considering his means, that connection between private munificence and public education — and well does the example of Harvard teach us, that what is thus given away, is, in reality, the portion best saved and longest kept. Here neither private extravagance can squander, nor personal necessity exhaust it. Among those in the graves about, not a few, in estimate of their day, placed above the humble minister of the
Another memorial to John Harvard and with Charlestown association is the idealized sitting figure in bronze presented to the college in 1884 by Samuel J. Bridge, a resident of Charlestown. This was designed by Daniel C. French and was located for many years in the delta west of Memorial Hall. In recent years it has been suitably placed at the front of University Hall. On the acceptance of this gift President Eliot gave a brief and eloquent biography.\(^5\)

Still another, and the main memorial is the John Harvard Mall in City Square, Charlestown. This covers about an acre of ground, including the residence site. It combines space for recreation and play, and on its walls are legends of Harvard and of Charlestown. It is a gift, made May 8, 1943, of a loyal and generous alumnus, Allston Burr, to the college, which in turn presented it for perpetual use and care to the city of Boston. It is designed by the skilled hand of Arthur Shurcliff, landscape architect. At this spot, July, 1629, the first settlement was planted and hither came John Winthrop in 1630 with his company who crossed the sea in the Arbella and other ships. Under a great oak on the hillslope the first public worship of God was held and the Charlestown Church Covenant was signed. The first meeting house, 1783, by Bulfinch, and the first public school house were erected near by. By 1635, a windmill was built on the hill to grind the grains harvested near by. No other hill in New England save the hallowed burial hill in Plymouth has a more varied and rich history.

On one of the faces of the monument in the Mall is inscribed from First Fruits of New England: "One of the next things we longed for and looked after was to advance learning and perpetuate it to posterity, dreading to leave an illiterate ministry to the churches when our present ministers shall lie in the dust. And as we were thinking and consulting how to effect this great work, it pleased God to stir up the heart of one Mr. Harvard, a Godly gentleman, and a lover of learning, there living amongst us to give the one hafe of his estate, it being in all about 1700 pounds, toward the erecting of a Colledge, and all his library."

On another face of the monument is part of the address of acceptance by Charles William Eliot, president of Harvard College, made on October 15, 1884, at the unveiling of the statue of John Harvard on the college grounds: "He will teach that one disinterested deed of hope and faith may crown a brief and broken life with deathless fame. He will teach that the good which men do lives after them fructified and multiplied beyond all power of measurement or computation. He will teach that from the seed which he planted in loneliness, weakness, and sorrow, have

\(^5\) Mr. Bridge was also the donor of the monument on Cambridge Common of Puritan John Bridge, one of the early settlers.
sprung joy, strength, and energy ever fresh blooming year after year in this garden of learning and flourishing more and more as time goes on in all fields of human activity."

BUNKER HILL

The British troops returning from Concord and Lexington passed through Charlestown, but without serious disturbance. At this date Charlestown was a settlement of about four hundred houses and of two to three thousand inhabitants. The inhabitants were terrified by the show of force, and began a departure which became almost complete at the time of Bunker Hill Battle.

The Committee of Safety of the province, of which General Artemus Ward was military head, with headquarters in Cambridge and with university buildings for barracks, were considering protective measures and, to that end, the fortification of hills surrounding Boston. Colonial troops encircled the besieged British. Royal Governor General Gage was becoming aware of the plan, and in order not to be outwitted the Committee of Safety decided on immediate action to fortify Bunker Hill.6

General Ward believed his committee's course ill-advised since it would draw the British into battle, for which the colonists were not adequately prepared. His views, however, did not prevail and he carried out the committee's order to proceed to fortify Bunker Hill. After prayer by President Langdon of Harvard College on the evening of the 16th of June, 1775, Colonel Prescott with 1200 men set forth from Cambridge, skirting the marshes, by Inman's Woods and Cobble or Prospect Hill, via the old Indian trail, for Charlestown. On arrival there, and on opening the orders, a conference of the leaders was held, and instead of taking a position on Bunker Hill, the highest of three hills in the town, selection was made of Breed's Hill, nearer the Charles River and in closer view of the expected approach of the enemy. General Israel Putnam, on a white horse and the only mounted Colonial officer, took command of the field, Prescott of the redoubt, which was set up after midnight on plans made by Colonel Gridley. As work on the breastwork proceeded through the night, the colonists could hear from the British ships below, six in number, the watch cry of "All is well."

6 The name Bunker Hill is a corrupted anglicized version of the name of "Boncoeur," a Huguenot refugee in the exodus from France, a settler on the slope of the hill in Charlestown.

A reproduction of the battle scene is to be found at the First National Bank, Boston, also at the Summer Street office of the Charlestown Savings Bank.

As Governor Gage observed the preparations, he inquired from his friend regarding the man Prescott seen atop the breastworks on the hill, "Will he fight?" "Yes, Sir," said Willard, his
brother-in-law. "He is an old soldier and will fight as long as a drop of blood remains in his veins."

Meanwhile, within the colonial forward lines the men, weary and hungry, faltered on seeing the new British plan of attack, but were reassured by Putnam, who had the confidence of the army. The heat was intense, ammunition limited, food scant, but beer was available. Additional supplies and reinforcements had for hours been sought from General Ward in Cambridge, but they were too little and too late, and many never reached the lines. Ward held back till assured that Cambridge with its stores was not an object of attack, and then released all but his own regiment. However, Prescott did the best with material at hand and with the odds against him in this first encounter of untrained provincials with the seasoned troops of Great Britain. He withheld fire, save of an occasional enthusiast, till the enemy was within eight rods. "Wait," he said, "until you can see the whites of their eyes." Meanwhile, shells from shore and ship had set Charlestown afire. By the end of the day of the three hundred homes there were left but one or two.

Prescott had not done enough to satisfy himself, though he had done enough to satisfy his country. He had not indeed secured victory, but he had secured a glorious immortality. "The modesty of Prescott, sterling patriot, not less remarkable than his heroism," were the words of Edward Everett in the Bunker Hill oration of 1850.

For the building of Bunker Hill Monument commemorating the battle, Solomon Willard, in charge, selected Quincy granite. To move the stone from the quarries to the Neponset River, where it was conveyed by barge to the monument site, rails were laid for horse-drawn vehicles. This railway was the first to be established in America.

An association was created to care for the grounds and to provide memorial celebrations. Of this association, our late respected and beloved member Reverend Samuel A. Eliot was for many years the president.

COLLEGE PRESIDENTS AND PROFESSORS

The connection of Charlestown with the establishment of colleges is truly remarkable. No fewer than five were associated with residents of Charlestown:

Carleton College, Northfield, Minnesota. William Carleton, of 12 Harvard Street and later of Monument Square, a self-made man, acquired wealth in the manufacture of lamps and gas fixtures. He made in 1871 a gift of $50,000 to the college known as Northfield, which was then given his name. Up to this time this was the largest gift to a Western college.

Doane College, Crete, Nebraska, 1872. Thomas Doane was an engineer in charge of the construction of the Hoosac Tunnel through the Berkshires of western Massachusetts for the Fitchburg Railroad Company. He was concerned in other railroad projects across the country, and while engaged with the C. B. & Q. R. R. in Nebraska became interested in the needs of that state in education. He became the benefactor of Doane College by a gift of $50,000.
Colby College, Waterville, Maine. Gardner Colby, engaged in the dry goods business in Boston, in memory of his mother, who brought her family from Waterville, Maine, to Charlestown, gave in 1864 $ 50,000 for the aid of Colby College. Subsequent gifts made an aggregate of $200,000. J. Seely Bixler, once of Harvard Divinity School, is now president.

Tufts College, Medford, Massachusetts. Charles Tufts was the owner of farm property in the limits first of Charlestown, later of parts of Sommerville and Medford. A large portion of his farm, a hundred acres on Walnut Hill, he gave for the founding in 1847 of Tufts College, from the beginning intended to extend Universalist principles. Richard Frothingham, historian of Charlestown, was lecturer at Tufts in history, also a trustee and treasurer.

Not only is Charlestown represented among founders of colleges but also among presidents and professors of colleges:

Edward Everett was president of Harvard College 1846-49.

Reverend James Walker, pastor of the Harvard Unitarian Church, Alford Professor of Natural Religion, Harvard, 1839-1853, was president of Harvard College, 1853-60.

Cornelius C. Felton, first an able and popular professor of the Classics at Harvard, was later its president, 1860-62. Felton Hall, a dormitory for students in Cambridge, was named for him, also Felton Street.

Reverend George E. Ellis, minister of the Harvard Unitarian Church, succeeding James Walker, was called to a professorate in the Harvard Divinity School.

Joseph Lovering, a teacher in a private school in Charlestown, and known to President Walker as a schoolboy, occupied a chair at Harvard for fifty years, from 1838 on, in mathematics and natural philosophy.

R. E. Greenleaf, of the Class of 1877 at Harvard, became assistant professor of botany.

Walter Hastings made a gift to Harvard from which was erected the dormitory bearing his name. His portrait hangs in Memorial Hall.

Reverend Wilbur Fisk, first minister of Trinity Methodist Church in Charlestown, incorporated in 1820, was made president in 1830 of Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut. He was a leader in broadening the outlook of Methodists toward learning.

Reverend Charles R. Brown, pastor of Winthrop Congregational Church, Green Street, was the beloved dean of the Yale Theological School and a frequent preacher in Appleton Chapel at Harvard.

Reverend E. C. Herrick of the Baptist Church, a graduate of Colby, became head of the Andover-Newton Theological School in Newton, Massachusetts.

Streets in Cambridge named for college presidents are: Brewster, Holyoke, Quincy, Everett, Sparks, Walker, and Felton.
Edward Everett. Edward Everett (1794-1865) was born in Dorchester but lived some years in Charlestown, first at the top of Winter Hill, then in Charlestown limits, and later and during the period of his governorship at 12 Harvard Street, in a house still standing. He was active in the affairs of the town and attended the Harvard (Unitarian) Church in the pastorate of Reverend James Walker. At the seventy-fifth anniversary of the Battle of Bunker Hill he delivered an eloquent address.

He graduated from Harvard College in 1811 with high honors and was the youngest of his class. After study for the ministry, he was called at the age of twenty to the Brattle Street (Boston) Unitarian Church, which comprised one of the most fashionable congregations in the city.

At the end of a year he was offered the chair of Greek literature in Harvard and immediately went to Europe to spend four years in preparation. He was given the degree of Ph.D. in Gottingen, Germany, the first such degree given to an American. On his return, Emerson was one of his pupils.

An address given by him at the Phi Beta Kappa meeting of 1824, held in the First Parish Church building, then in the Harvard Yard, at which General Lafayette was present, launched Everett on the political phase of his career, resulting in service in Congress from 1825 to 1835. His intense devotion to the cause of unity for the country led him to defer to Southern feeling on the question of slavery. In 1836-39 he served three terms as governor of Massachusetts, a record without conspicuous results. In 1841-1845 he was minister to the Court of Saint James, an office filled very acceptably to the British, but with some criticism at home.

A call to the presidency of Harvard College in 1846 provided an opportune withdrawal from politics. In reference to the college, he adopted English usage and referred to the "university" at Cambridge. The disciplinary and other duties of the office were not to his liking and he resigned in 1849. While in Cambridge, he made associations in civic life, and designed the seal of the city with its motto: "Literis antiquis, novis institutis, decora. (Founded 1630, a city 1846.)" A street in the city is named for him.

On Webster's death in 1852, Everett filled the unexpired term of four months as Secretary of State, and followed this immediately by fifteen months in the Senate of the United States. The slavery issue was at high pitch. While he was opposed to slavery, he deprecated the agitation of abolitionists, fearing it would threaten the stability of the union. There was a strain of timidity in the man which unfitted him to play the fighting part the times demanded. He became uncomfortable in the office and resigned. His passion for this great experiment in government was so great he could not bear the thought of its dissolution. In Everett's Gettysburg address, 1863 (two hours long — Lincoln's was ten minutes), he spoke of influences that "... led me perhaps too long to tread in the path of hopeless compromise in the fond endeavor to conciliate those who were predetermined not to be conciliated." However, when the Civil War broke out and the union was at issue, he gave without delay whole-hearted
support to the government. Using his undiminished oratorical power, he traveled through the north, exhorting people to action.

He was of scholarly mind, his diction was finished, he was of radiant beauty, he possessed a wide range of knowledge though he was not original in thought, and he was an ardent American and advocate of the great American experiment in democracy. Much as he owed to his country, he did not die in its debt.\(^7\)

James Walker, August 16, 1794-December 25, 1874, was born in Woburn, Massachusetts (now Burlington and then a part of Charlestown). He prepared for college in a Groton school, later Lawrence Academy. He graduated from Harvard College in 1814. He studied divinity in Cambridge under Henry Ware and was licensed to preach in 1817, ordained in Harvard Church, Charlestown, 1818. In controversy between the Trinitarians and Unitarians, he immediately became a leader among the "liberals." He was an organizer of the American Unitarian Association in 1825. In 1839 he resigned his pastorate to become Professor of Natural Religion, Moral Philosophy, and Civil Polity\(^8\) at Harvard. He became president of the University in 1853. His administration was competent but uneventful. He attempted to retire in 1858, but was requested to remain on grounds of public duty. In 1860 he resigned on plea of advancing years. Though a theological liberal in the 1820's who regarded Theodore Parker as a "phenomenon," Walker was temperamentally conservative and cautious and kept clear of reform activities. He was erudite but not original. Provincial, he traveled out of New England only twice, to deliver ordination sermons in Baltimore and in Cincinnati. His sermons, closely knit and sententious, were criticized for lacking decision, due to covering all sides; but he won men through his sincerity, his dialectical powers, his great physical vitality, and, above all, his handsome and commanding presence. As a preacher he was regarded as second to Channing. President Eliot remarked that as he listened to Walker's preaching, he felt that a prophet was speaking. His years of retirement were spent in Cambridge, an honored and dignified figure. He left a library and $15,000 to the college.

\(^7\) A bust of Everett is placed in Memorial Hall. Everett was the last of nine presidents to live in Wadsworth House; his portrait is in a room at the southeast corner. In 1822, when a professor, he lived at Fay House. With Sparks and Longfellow he had lodgings in the house of Mrs. Craigie, Brattle Street, who had suffered financial reverses.

\(^8\) This chair was established by John Alford of Charlestown. It was occupied by Francis Bowen, succeeding Walker, also a man from Charlestown.
professor for thirty years in Greek language and literature. He took a scholarly survey of the whole field of Hellenic literature, language, manners, character, and genius. He was no literary recluse; he was companionable, and interested in education down to the district school. He was intimate with Longfellow, with whom he was coming and going at all hours. There was no more genial temperament, no warmer heart; good nature beamed in his eye, kindness of heart was in every word and every look and encircled his countenance with a halo of cheerfulness.

Other figures of Charlestown of prominence in a wide field may be mentioned:

1. Samuel Finley Breese Morse: son of Jedidiah Morse; inventor of the telegraph; artist — portraiture of high rank; distinguished in two careers.

2. Moses Dow: publisher of the Waverly Magazine; proprietor of Waverly House, elegant hostelry of its day.

3. Charlotte Saunders Cushman: the second American to go abroad for engagements on the stage; most powerful actress America has produced.

4. Joshua Bates: of financial firm Baring Brothers of London; benefactor of Boston Public Library, where the reading room bears his name.

5. Thomas Starr King: Universalist and Unitarian preacher, Boston and San Francisco; savior of California to the union; lover of nature — his name has been given to peaks in the White Mountains and in Yosemite Park.

6. Samuel M. Felton: brother of Cornelius, president of Harvard; engineer, constructor of Fresh Pond Railroad to transport ice from Fresh Pond to wharves in Charlestown for export; connected with railroads of the West.

7. John Boyle O'Reilly: patriot of land of his birth and of his adoption; in the words of Thomas Wentworth Higginson, "He reconciled in this community the Roman Catholic Irishman and the Protestant American." (He will be remembered here as the father of Agnes Hocking. His statue is in the Fenway.)


9. Oliver Holden: composer of the tune of the hymn "Coronation." Charlestown, dismembered to form other towns and reduced to a mere square mile, disfigured to serve the transportation needs of the northerly metropolitan district, deserves to be thought of in terms of its glorious past and deserves from Cambridge citizens a recognition of the contribution to their history. Charlestown does not stand in debt to its neighbors.

ELDON REVARE JAMES
Eldon Revare James was born in Newport, Kentucky, on November 21, 1875, and died in Gloucester, Massachusetts, on January 2, 1949. He was graduated with the degree of B.S. from the University of Cincinnati in 1896 and from its Law School in 1899. After some thirteen years of practice, of teaching in the University of Cincinnati Law School, and of graduate study at Harvard, he received the degree of Doctor of Juridical Science from Harvard in 1912. Then followed a year as Professor of Law in the University of Wisconsin, a year as Professor of Law at the University of Minnesota, and four years (1914-1918) as Dean of the Law School at the University of Missouri. From 1918 to 1924 he was Adviser in Foreign Affairs to the Siamese Government, a position that has other close links with Harvard through Professors Westengard, Strobel, and Sayre. For much of this time he was also Judge of the Supreme Court of Siam and, from 1918 to 1935, the representative of Siam at the Permanent Arbitral Tribunal of the Hague. His services were recognized by the award of the Grand Cross of Siam in 1921 and the Grand Cross of the White Elephant in 1924.

With this extraordinary record as a lawyer and an administrator, he returned to Cambridge in 1923, remaining as Professor of Law and Librarian of the Law School until his retirement in 1942. His contribution to the strength and fame of the Law School was highly significant, especially in bringing the Library to its present outstanding position.

After academic age limitations compelled his retirement in 1942, he spent nearly six years in Washington as Special Assistant to the Attorney General of the United States, attorney in the legal division of the Transportation Corps of the War Department, and finally as law librarian of Congress. In all these capacities he made a vital contribution to the wartime needs of the Republic.

Eldon James was one of the world’s great administrators and scholars, a man whose influence touched the confines of America, Europe, and Asia. Many Other organizations will pay tribute to his learning and to the skill with which he guided their affairs. To us members of the Cambridge Historical Society he was the neighbor and friend. We recall with gratitude the fact that he was our Secretary from January 1932 to October 1942 and that he performed this relatively simple task with the same expert care that he devoted to greater offices. We recall his sparkling humor, the keenness of his sympathy, his unfailing courtesy, his winning simplicity and modesty. We recall his unusual sense of the beautiful as manifested in his restrained use of exotic Oriental art in the furnishing of his home as well as in the fact that he and his wife found their favorite relaxation in playing compositions for four hands on their two grand pianos.

When those of a later generation look back to the second quarter of the twentieth century in Cambridge, they may well envy us our intimate personal friendship with this man who stood before kings.
MAUDE BATCHELDER VOSBURGH

By DAVID T. POTTINGER

Read January 25, 1950

MRS. MAUDE BATCHELDER VOSBURGH was born in New Hampshire, a member of the Batchelder family which has made notable contributions to this Society, to the city of Cambridge, to Harvard College, and to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. She was a cousin of Miss Mary E. Batchelder; of Mrs. S. M. de Gozzaldi, a founder and for many years the First Vice-President of this Society; and of Samuel Francis Batchelder, our incomparable Secretary and Editor.

In her early life Mrs. Vosburgh studied to be a concert pianist, working under noted teachers both in this country and in Europe. For several years she lived in New York, where she married the late Charles Peter Vosburgh. During the years 1903 and 1904 she lived in France and Italy, and again in 1934 she spent a number of months there with her son. Her interest and her skill in artistic creation were further shown by her long participation in George Pierce Baker's 47 Workshop.

In 1917, after her mother's death, she returned to live permanently in Cambridge and was thereafter identified with many civic interests. She was a member of Hannah Winthrop Chapter of the Daughters of the American Revolution, a member of the Women's Club of Christ Church, a member of the Plant Club, a founder of the Cambridge Tuberculosis Society.

Although Mrs. Vosburgh's activities touched Cambridge life at many points, they may all be related to her thoroughly informed love for the historic past of the city. She took a deep interest in the improvement of the condition of the burying ground in Harvard Square and in the WPA work on the records relating to the burying ground. At the time of the Cambridge tercentenary celebration she was a member of the Entertainment Committee; and as a member also of the Committee on Open Houses, she was in charge of the costumes for the various groups who acted as hostesses for the houses. As the mistress of the ancient Vas-sall mansion, she restored and preserved its former graciousness. She served on the Council of the Cambridge Historical Society from January, 1936, until her death on March 6, 1949. For several years the Council held its meetings in her parlor. On one memorable evening she entertained the Society at the Vassall house, when Mrs. Gozzaldi, Miss Elizabeth Ellery Dana, and your Secretary read a series of papers summarizing the chronicles of the house. Some of her intimate knowledge of her famous
dwelling she distilled into a charming paper, "The Disloyalty of Dr. Benjamin Church, Jr.," which she presented at our meeting on June 14, 1944.

In her active combination of reverence for the past and zeal for the present Maude Vosburgh typified the best of Cambridge. Her place among our worthies is secure.

HENRY WADSWORTH LONGFELLOW DANA

By Robert Walcott
Read May 26, 1950

HENRY WADSWORTH LONGFELLOW DANA died in his sleep during the night of April 26, 1950, from a heart attack, the possibility of which had been hanging over him for the past nine years and made it necessary for him to limit considerably his activities. With the exception of our two distinguished Vice-Presidents he was the best informed person in our Society or in the City of Cambridge upon the history of Cambridge.

Here his family was prominent from its very beginning for Richard Dana settled in Brighton, then part of our city, in 1631. His grandson Richard graduated from Harvard in the Class of 1718 and died shortly before the breaking out of the American Revolution. Francis Dana, grandson of the latter, Harvard College 1796, was our first Minister to Russia; his biography was written by William Penn Cresson, Esq. Francis Dana's son Richard Henry, Harvard 1808, was the poet and editor. Richard Henry II, Harvard 1837, was the author of the immortal "Two Years Before the Mast," and his son Richard Henry III, Harvard College 1874, had the distinction of introducing the Australian Ballot to Massachusetts, and of serving on the Commission of the Charles River Dam which created the possibility which Mrs. Helen Storrow gave one million dollars to make attractive. I doubt that there has been another family in Cambridge which has over so many generations made so many contributions to public improvement.

Henry Wadsworth Longfellow Dana, writer and lecturer, was born in Boston, Mass., Jan. 26, 1881, son of Richard Henry III and Edith (Longfellow) Dana; received his A.B. from Harvard, 1881; A.M. 1904; Ph.D. 1910. He was a man of great ability, graduating magna cum laude in 1903, specializing in English. He remained a bachelor.

In 1903-4 he was a teacher at St. Paul's School, Concord, N. H.; 1904-06 at Thacher School, Ojai, California; 1908-10 he was Assistant Instructor in Comparative Literature at Harvard; 1910-12 Lecteur d' Anglais at the Sorbonne, University of Paris; 1912-17 Instructor in Comparative Literature at Columbia; 1921-32 Lecturer, New School for Social Research, New York; 1930-32 Cambridge School of the Drama, Cambridge, Mass. He was Trustee of the Washington Allston Fund, Boston, Mass., Massachusetts Member of the
Modern Language Association of America, a member of the Cambridge Historical Society and of the Harvard Faculty Club of Cambridge.

Mr. Dana was the author of "The Six Centuries Since Dante," (1926); "Opinions and Attitudes in the Twentieth Century: Shaw in Moscow," (1934); "The Theatre in a Changing Europe: Development of Soviet Drama," (1937); "Handbook on Soviet Drama," (1938); "The Craigie House: The Coming of Longfellow," (1939); "The Dana Saga," (1941); "Longfellow and Dickens: The Story of a Trans-Atlantic Friendship," (1943); "Drama in Wartime Russia," (1943); "History of the Modern Drama; Russia," (1947). He was also editor of "Seven Soviet Plays," (1946); "Two Years Before the Mast," (1946) and a contributor to periodicals including "Soviet Russia Today," "New Masses," "Proceedings of the Cambridge Historical Society." He lived in the Soviet Union during 1927, '28, '31, '32, '34 and '35.

Among the papers contributed to this Society were those on the painter Washington Allston (whose second wife was a Dana), on the Dana Family, and on past incidents in the history of Craigie House, of which he had a complete history nearly finished. He often entertained the Society at Craigie House, where he made his home after leaving New York. He wrote with distinction and as a lecturer was outstanding in choice of words and in elocution. In his connection with this Society probably his most notable service was for the entertainment of the descendants of the Acadians upon the centennial of the publication of "Evangeline" by his grandfather. For this celebration the arrangements made by him were admirable throughout and the evening at Craigie House was one long to be remembered, since Mr. Dana had taken out of the College Library all the books which his grandfather had taken out and used in the construction of his poem; he had assembled copies of nearly all translations of Evangeline made in a dozen languages, and scores of the many operas and plays founded upon that poem. Besides his own paper, enlivened by letters that passed between Hawthorne and Longfellow, read by grandchildren of each, addresses were made by Acadian descendants, in both French and English, and to crown all French songs were sung by descendants from the Cajun district of Louisiana.
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ANNUAL REPORTS
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND THE SECRETARY FOR THE YEAR 1948

DURING the year 1948 the Society has held its usual four meetings. The forty-third Annual Meeting was held at the Faculty Club on January 27, 1948. The host and hostesses were Mrs. J. Bertram Williams, Mr. and Mrs. Edward Ingraham, and Miss Mary Deane Dexter; the paper of the evening, by Miss Rosamond Coolidge, was "Coolidge Hill, A Last Bit of Rural Cambridge." At the spring meeting on April 27 the host and hostesses were Dr. and Mrs. W. Stewart Whittemore, Mrs. Thomas Hadley, and Mrs. Maude B. Vosburgh. The meeting was in charge of Mr. H. W. L. Dana, who provided an interesting background for the reading, by various members, of certain letters of George and Martha Washington connected with the General’s residence in Cambridge. The third meeting of the year was held at the home of Mr. and Mrs. Philip P. Sharples on June 1; Dr. Samuel A. Eliot read a paper on the Cambridge Synod of 1647 and the Cambridge Platform. For the autumn meeting the host and hostess
were Mr. and Mrs. P. T. Jackson at their home, 145 Brattle Street. Mr. Bernard J. Bothmer read a most interesting account of his great-great-great-grandparents, the Baron and Baroness Riedesel.

The Society is deeply grateful to all these various members and guests who have helped carry on our activities. Nor is this statement a merely conventional expression of thanks. Our meetings have been more largely attended and our papers more vividly informative than at almost any other period; but in these days the difficulty of entertaining a group of nearly a hundred people, even with the relatively impersonal assistance of the Faculty Club, is a very considerable task. Not lightly, therefore, do we express our appreciation to those who have so generously contributed to the year’s success.

During the year 1948 we have lost through death the following six members: Miss Bertha Hallowell Vaughan, Mr. Paul Gring, Mr. Frank Gaylord Cook, Mrs. George H. Bunton, Mrs. Arthur B. Nichols, and, on January 2, 1949, Mr. Eldon James.

We have accepted, with regret, the following eight resignations: Mr. and Mrs. Albert F. Hill, Mr. and Mrs. Bertram K. Little, Dr. and Mrs. James W. Sever, Mr. DeLancey Howe, and Mr. Marcus Morton, Jr.

We have welcomed to membership Mr. Thomas Henri de Valcourt, Mrs. Irving B. Crosby, Mr. and Mrs. Howard Lane Blackwell, Mr. and Mrs. Dows Dunham, Mrs. George Edwin Brown, Mr. Stewart Mitchell, Mr. and Mrs. Hollis G. Gerrish, Mr. and Mrs. Ashton Sanborn, and Mr. Livingston Stebbins, a total of thirteen.

Mrs. Edgar V. Seeler has been transferred from active membership to life membership.

We now have 215 active members, 4 associate members, and 6 life members; a total of 225.

The Council has held seven sessions, which have mainly considered routine matters of arrangements for meetings of the Society and the like.

The Secretary wishes to record here his gratitude to Mr. Roger Gilman for his kindness and his efficient skill in acting as Secretary pro tem for the months of April, May, and June.

Respectfully submitted

DAVID T. POTTINGER
Secretary

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND THE SECRETARY
FOR THE YEAR 1949

DURING the year 1949 the Council has held six meetings. At one, a resolution was adopted expressing the interest of the Society in the repair and preservation of the spire of the First Parish Meetinghouse in Harvard Square. At another, Mr. Bremer W. Pond was appointed to fill the vacancy in the Council caused by the death of Mrs. Maude B. Vosburgh. At another, it was voted that in view of greatly increased costs a fee of one dollar should be asked for each guest at a meeting. Much of the discussion at Council meetings has centered about the two perennial problems (1) of speakers and papers, (2) of hostesses and places of meeting. In regard to the first point, members should realize that the kind of paper we ordinarily want is not a piece of documented research comparable to a doctoral dissertation. We want, rather, reminiscences, extracts from family papers, biographies of famous citizens of the near as well as of the remote past, accounts of well-known or more intimate organizations, accounts of various sections of the city, and other discussions that might well result from the personal knowledge or the interested investigations of our members. Officers of the Society will be glad to confer with any member who would care to have suggestions for papers or who would consider writing on topics of their own. As for hostesses and places of meeting, the officers of the Society again will be happy to have specific offers and to help with plans. The Council would point out that several times prospective hostesses have found it pleasant to unite in groups of three or four, thus making the costs and the labor more manageable for the individual. Our present type of meeting, which has been adhered to for many years, seems most successful in every way. It should not, however, become too great a burden for any member or group of members. During the past year the Society has held three regular meetings. On January 25, 1949, we were the guests of Mrs. George Edwin Brown at her home in the old Riedesel house, 149 Brattle Street; Mrs. James B. Ayer of Milton gave a most interesting paper on her grandfather, Dr. John Gorham Palfrey, and other members of the Palfrey family. On April 25 we met at the Faculty Club as guests of Mrs. Allyn B. Forbes, Professor and Mrs. William A. Jackson, and Mr. and Mrs. George A. Macomber; Miss Howe read a paper on the history of Garden Street. On May 31 we were the guests of Mrs. Kingsley Porter at Elmwood; Mrs. Porter read a paper on the owners of Elmwood. To all these hosts and speakers we would express our sincere thanks. The October meeting was omitted because it was impossible to find a speaker and a paper.

We have regretfully accepted the resignations of Miss Caroline E. Bill, Mr. and Mrs. Leonard Cronkhite, Mr. and Mrs. Alvin T. Fuller, Jr., Mrs. DeLancey Howe, Mrs. Edward F. McClennen, and Mr. Marcus Morton, Jr. We have lost by death Miss M. E. Cogswell, Mr. Frank DeWitt Washburn, Mr. Eldon R. James, Mrs. Maude B. Vosburgh, and Edward Ingraham. Mr. James had been our Secretary from 1932 to 1942. Mrs. Vosburgh and Mr. Ingraham had both been members of the Council for many years and had given unstinted time and devotion to the well-being of this Society. The loss of them is a severe one.

We have welcomed to membership Mrs. George W. Cram, Mr. and Mrs. James G. King, Mrs. Susan T. Low, and Mr. Livingston Stebbins.
On December 31, 1949 we had 206 Active Members, 5 Associate Members, and 6 Life Members.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID T. POTTINGER,
Secretary

REPORT OF THE TREASURER FOR THE YEAR 1948

Cash on hand January 1, 1948................................. $1,356.71
Dues and Initiation Fees.............................................710.00
Sale of Proceedings .................................................9.50
Sale of Index .............................................................5.00
Withdrawal from Bowen Savings Bank Account ..........621.88
Maturity of U. S. Government Bonds..........................7,000.00

$9,703.09

Printing and Stationery $ ...........................................67.45
Clerical Services and Postage ..................................120.65
Allowance to Hostesses .............................................100.00
Over-payment of Dues .............................................1.00
Moving records to Widener Library .........................35.12
Chairs for Meetings..................................................23.00
Dues Bay State Historical League.............................4.00
Vault Rental.............................................................6.00
Binding Vol. 29 .........................................................32.25
Transferred to Life Membership Fund......................50.00
25 shs. First National Bank .................................1,296.88
Cash on Hand December 31, 1948 .................................................................$1,641.74

Cash on hand January 1, 1949 .................................................................$1,641.74
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dues and Initiation Fees</td>
<td>648.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Proceedings</td>
<td>17.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. W. L. Dana, his proportion of Printing Proceedings</td>
<td>142.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for 1943</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Fees returned by Mrs. Kingsley Porter</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Gaylord Cook Legacy</td>
<td>1,006.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,466.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing and Stationery $</td>
<td>45.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical Services and Postage</td>
<td>106.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance to Hostesses</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-payment of Dues</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairs for Meetings</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues Bay State Historical League</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vault Rental</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowers for Mrs. Vosburgh's funeral</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of Proceedings of Union Engine Company</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Service Charge</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication of Proceedings for 1943 and 1945</td>
<td>1,399.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Savings Bank, to open Frank Gaylord</td>
<td>1,006.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,654.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash on Hand December 31, 1949</td>
<td>812.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# List of Members, 1949, 1950, 1951, 1952

## Maria Bowen Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investments</th>
<th>Cost 1/1/49</th>
<th>Book Value</th>
<th>Cash Income 12/31/49</th>
<th>Book Value</th>
<th>Account to Which Income Was Credited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75 shs. 1st Nat’l Bank (Boston)</td>
<td>$3,165.63</td>
<td>$3,165.63</td>
<td>$168.75</td>
<td>$3,165.63</td>
<td>Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 shs. State St. Tr. Co.</td>
<td>1,920.00</td>
<td>2,920.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>2,920.00</td>
<td>Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 shs. Merchants Nat’l Bank</td>
<td>3,390.00</td>
<td>3,390.00</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>3,390.00</td>
<td>Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 shs. 2nd Nat’l Bank (Boston)</td>
<td>3,125.00</td>
<td>3,125.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>3,125.00</td>
<td>Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
<td>1,741.32</td>
<td>4,815.91</td>
<td>110.29</td>
<td>5,414.95</td>
<td>Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camb’p’t Sav. Bank</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>1,847.64</td>
<td>37.13</td>
<td>1,884.77</td>
<td>Camb’p’t Sav. Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>1,867.57</td>
<td>37.53</td>
<td>1,905.10</td>
<td>E. Camb. Sav. Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,741.95</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,031.75</strong></td>
<td><strong>$657.70</strong></td>
<td><strong>$21,705.45</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## George G. Wright Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Opening</th>
<th>Bal. When Opened</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
<th>Int. Rate</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Savings Bank</td>
<td>1/29/38</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$256.97</td>
<td>$262.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Life Membership Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Opening</th>
<th>Bal. When Opened</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
<th>Int. Rate</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Savings Bank</td>
<td>1/10/34</td>
<td>$760.22</td>
<td>$1,044.99</td>
<td>$1,068.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Historic Houses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Opening</th>
<th>Bal. When Opened</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
<th>Int. Rate</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Savings Bank</td>
<td>5/1/40</td>
<td>$1,149.82</td>
<td>$3,229.47</td>
<td>$3,302.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Elizabeth E. Dana Bequest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Opening</th>
<th>Bal. When Opened</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
<th>Int. Rate</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Trust Company</td>
<td>2/7/40</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$223.89</td>
<td>$226.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Frank Gaylord Cook Bequest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Opening</th>
<th>Bal. When Opened</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
<th>Int. Rate</th>
<th>Bal. 12/31/49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Savings Bank</td>
<td>5/16/49</td>
<td>$1,006.67</td>
<td>$4,176.71</td>
<td>$5,761.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Book Value of All Funds 12/31/49** = $27,574.27

**Total Income** = $780.53

**John T. G. Nichols, Treasurer.**
<p>| Marion Stanley Abbot                  | Frank Aloysius Kenney Boland                     |
| Lilian Abbott                       | Velma Louise Gibson (Mrs. F. A. K.) Boland       |
| Sarah Gushing (Mrs. G. M.) Allen    | Charles Stephen Bolster                          |
| Charles Almy                        | Elizabeth Winthrop (Mrs. C. S.) Bolster          |
| Elizabeth Gardner (Mrs. C.) Almy    | Jessie Waterman (Mrs. Wm.F.) Brooks              |
| Mary Almy                           | Martha Thacher Brown                             |
| Harriet Hastings (Mrs. O. I.) Ames  | Mildred Hunter (Mrs. G. E.) Brown                |
| James Barr Ames                     | * Josephine Freeman Bumstead                     |
| Mary Ogden (Mrs. J. B.) Ames        | * Bertha Close (Mrs. G. H.) Bunton               |
| Oakes Ingalls Ames                  | * George Herbert Bunton                          |
| John Bradshaw Atkinson              | Eleanor Sheridan (Mrs. D. E.) Burr               |
| Louise Marie (Mrs. J. B.) Atkinson  | Douglas Bush                                     |
| Ellen Nealley (Mrs. G.) Bailey      | Miriam Shepard (Mrs. C. R.) Cabot                |
| Gage Bailey                         | Bernice Cannon                                   |
| Helen Diman (Mrs. 1. W.) Bailey     | * Carroll Luther Chase                            |
| Alethea Pew (Mrs. E. J.) Barnard    | Hazel Cleaver (Mrs. D.) Bush                     |
| Edmund Johnson Barnard              | Chilton Richardson Cabot                         |
| ** J. Dellinger Barney             | Louise Fletcher (Mrs. C. L.) Chase              |
| * Elizabeth Chadwick (Mrs. J. H.) Beale | Edith Hemenway Eustis (Mrs. W. H.) Churchill  |
| Ruth Richards (Mrs. A.) Beane       | Winthrop Hallowell Churchill                     |
| Florence Barrett (Mrs. R.) Beatley  | Dudley Clapp                                     |
| Ralph Beatley                       | Elizabeth Neill (Mrs. D.) Clapp                  |
| ** Mabel Anzonella (Mrs.S.) Bell    | Roger Saunders Clapp                             |
| ** Stoughton Bell                   | Winifred Irwin (Mrs. R. S.) Clapp                |
| Pierre Belliveau                    | ** Frances Snell (Mrs. H. L.) Clark              |
| Annie Whitney (Mrs. J. C.) Bennett  | * Margaret Elizabeth Cogswell                    |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marion Gordon (Mrs. M. B.) Bever</td>
<td>Kenneth John Conant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Berliner Bever</td>
<td>Marie Schneider (Mrs. K. J.) Conant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Harvey Bill</td>
<td>Julian Lowell Coolidge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Caroline Eliza Bill</td>
<td>Theresa Reynolds (Mrs. J. L.) Coolidge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Edgerly (Mrs. A. H.) Bill</td>
<td>Gardner Cox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Thomas (Mrs. H. L.) Blackwell</td>
<td>Phyllis Byrne (Mrs. G.) Cox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard Lane Blackwell</td>
<td>** Elizabeth (Mrs. G. W.) Cram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Albert Henry Blevins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice (Mrs. A. H.) Blevins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Died  **Resigned
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abby Chandler</td>
<td>(Mrs. J. F.) Crocker</td>
<td>Ingebord Gade Frick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Franklin</td>
<td>Crocker</td>
<td>Francis Edward Frothingham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bernice Brown</strong></td>
<td>(Mrs. L. W.) Cronkhite</td>
<td>Claire MacIntyre (Mrs. R. N.) Ganz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Leonard Wolsey Cronkhite</td>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Norton Ganz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerda Richards</td>
<td>(Mrs. I. B.) Crosby</td>
<td>Alice Howland (Mrs. H. G.) Garrett</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katharine Foster Crothers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catherine Ruggles (H. G.) Gerrish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Lanman</td>
<td>(Mrs. R. A.) Cushman</td>
<td>Hollis Guptill Gerrish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Adams Cushman</td>
<td></td>
<td>*Jane Bowler (Mrs. R.) Gilman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Adams</td>
<td>(Mrs. C. F.) Cushman</td>
<td>Roger Gilman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardiner Mumford Day</td>
<td></td>
<td>*Josephine Bowman (Mrs. L. C.) Graton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Katharine Bennett</strong></td>
<td>(Mrs. G. M.) Day</td>
<td>Louis Caryl Graton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Henri DeValcourt</td>
<td></td>
<td>Louis Lawrence Green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Avis MacVicar</strong></td>
<td>(Mrs. B.) DeVoto</td>
<td>* Virginia Tanner (Mrs. L. L.) Green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Bernard DeVoto</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dusser de Barenne (Mrs. J. D.) Greene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Mary Deane Dexter</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jerome Davis Greene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Currier Doble</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lillian Helen (Mrs. T.) Hadley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Dadmun</td>
<td>(Mrs. F. C.) Doble</td>
<td>Catherine Russell (Mrs. F. T., Jr.) Hammond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mabel Higgins</td>
<td>(Mrs. W. B.) Donham</td>
<td>Franklin Tweed Hammond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace Brett Donham</td>
<td></td>
<td>Franklin Tweed Hammond, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Lincoln Dow</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mabel MacLeod (Mrs. F. T.) Hammond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Drinkwater</td>
<td></td>
<td>Charles Lane Hanson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dows Dunham</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Caroline Hardy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Jessie</td>
<td>(Mrs. D.) Dunham</td>
<td>*Mary Davis (Mrs. F. B.) Hawley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Frank Earle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Harriet LaPierre (Mrs. T. D.) Hayes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Lee</td>
<td>(Mrs. F. M.) Eliot</td>
<td>Truman Davis Hayes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Hopkinson</td>
<td>(Mrs. S.A.) Eliot</td>
<td>Christina Doyle (Mrs. R. H.) Haynes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Frederick May Eliot</td>
<td>Robert Hammond Haynes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Eliot</td>
<td>Florence Wilhelmina (Mrs. N.) Heard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Samuel Atkins Eliot</td>
<td>Nathan Heard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Benjamin Peirce Ellis</td>
<td>Lucy Gregory (Mrs. R. G.) Henderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances White (Mrs. Wm.) Emerson</td>
<td>Robert Graham Henderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Fife (Mrs. L. E.) Emerson</td>
<td>Frank Wilson Cheney Hersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Emerson</td>
<td>*George Milbank Hersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Lillian (Mrs. R. C.) Evarts</td>
<td>Georgiana Ames (Mrs. T. L.) Hinckley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Conover Evarts</td>
<td>Eleanor Holmes Hinckley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl Brock Fahrney</td>
<td>Janet Elliott (Mrs. R. B.) Hobart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire (Mrs. P.) Faude</td>
<td>Richard Bryant Hobart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Griswold (Mrs. A. W.) Fletcher</td>
<td>**Pinckney Holbrook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Warren Fletcher</td>
<td>**Sybil Collar (Mrs. P.) Holbrook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleanor Tyson Cope (Mrs. H. W.) Foote</td>
<td>Anna Coolidge Davenport (Mrs. C. M.) Holland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Wilder Foote</td>
<td>**Clara May (Mrs. Wm. D.) Howe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lois Whitney (Mrs. A. B.) Forbes</td>
<td>Lois Lilley Howe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Waldo Forbes</td>
<td>Rosamond Coolidge (Mrs. G. W.) Howe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alden Simonds Foss</td>
<td>Eda Woolson (Mrs. B. S.) Hurlbut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Tenney (Mrs. A. S.) Foss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Fowler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edward Ingraham</td>
<td>Elizabeth Flint (Mrs. F. H.) Nesmith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsie Powell (Mrs.E.) Ingraham</td>
<td>Fisher Hildreth Nesmith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Judd (Mrs. Wm. A.) Jackson</td>
<td>Arthur Boylston Nichols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauline Fay (Mrs.A.L.) Jackson</td>
<td>Emily Alan Smith (Mrs. J. T. G.) Nichols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Alexander Jackson</td>
<td>John Taylor Oilman Nichols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Julia Johnson</td>
<td>* Albert Perley Norris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reginald Hathaway Johnson</td>
<td>Grace Wyeth (Mrs. A. P.) Norris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethel Robinson (Mrs. W. S.) Jones</td>
<td>Penelope Barker Noyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llewellyn Jones</td>
<td>Mary Woolson (Mrs. J. L.) Paine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Mabel Augusta Jones</td>
<td>Nancy Johnson (Mrs. S. B.) Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Wilbur (Mrs. L.) Jones</td>
<td>Stanley Brampton Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace St. Clair Jones</td>
<td>Frederica Watson (Mrs. Wm. L.) Payson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Rumil (Mrs. W. K.) Jordan</td>
<td>William Lincoln Payson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilbur Kitchener Jordan</td>
<td>Barbara Welch (Mrs. E.) Peabody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Guy Keith</td>
<td>** Fanny Carleton (Mrs. Wm. H.) Pear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Edith Seavey (Mrs. A. G.) Keith</td>
<td>** William Hesseltine Pear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Justine Frances (Mrs. F. S.) Kershaw</td>
<td>Elizabeth Bridge Piper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Gore King</td>
<td>Bremer Whidden Pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Hurley (Mrs. J. G.) King</td>
<td>Lucy Kingsley (Mrs. A. K.) Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Boyden (Mrs. R. K.) Lamb</td>
<td>David Thomas Pottinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Robert Keen Lamb</td>
<td>Mildred Clark (Mrs. D. T.) Pottinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Higgins Langenberg</td>
<td>Peter Egon Pratt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowena Morse (Mrs. W. L.) Langer</td>
<td>Alice Edmands Putnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Leonard Langer</td>
<td>Mary Clement Parker (Mrs. H. W.) Read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thatcher Payne Luquer</td>
<td>*Harriette Byron Taber (Mrs. F. A.) Richardson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Lyman, Jr.</td>
<td>Fred Norris Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanne (Mrs. F.) Lyman</td>
<td>Katharine Wetherill (Mrs. L. W.) Rogers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ella Sewell Slingluff (Mrs. G. A.) Macomber</td>
<td>Alfred Sherwood Romer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Arthur Macomber</td>
<td>Ruth Hibbard (Mrs. A.S.) Romer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy St. John Manks</td>
<td>Edith Parker (Mrs. T. S.) Ross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustavus Howard Maynadie</td>
<td>Thorwald Salicath Ross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Mary Crane (Mrs. E. F.) McClennen</td>
<td>**Clyde Orval Ruggles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Ethel May MacLeod</td>
<td>**Frances Holmes (Mrs. C. O.) Ruggles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elinor Gregory (Mrs. K. D.) Metcalf</td>
<td>Gertrude Swan (Mrs. J. C.) Runkle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyes DeWitt Metcalf</td>
<td>*John Cornelius Runkle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** Stewart Mitchell</td>
<td>Paul Joseph Sachs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Bonney (Mrs. H.) Montgomery</td>
<td>Charles Rodney Sage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Hugh Montgomery, Jr.</td>
<td>Marjorie Llewellyn (Mrs. C. R.) Sage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alva Morrison</td>
<td>*Mary Ware (Mrs. R. deW.) Sampson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Gallagher (Mrs. A.) Morrison</td>
<td>Agnes Goldman (Mrs. A.) Sanborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Marcus Morton James Buell Munn</td>
<td>Cyrus Ashton Rollins Sanborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Crosby Hanford (Mrs. J. B.) Munn</td>
<td>Henry Hallam Saunderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Whiting Munroe</td>
<td>Laura Dudley (Mrs. H. H.) Saunderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Liscomb (Mrs. H. A.) Nealley</td>
<td>Mary Parkman Sayward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erwin Haskell Schell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Sidelinger (Mrs. E. H.) Schell</td>
<td>John Reed Walden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgar Viguers Seeler, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Martha Sever</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugenia Jackson (Mrs. P. P.) Sharples</td>
<td>* Frank DeWitt Washburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Price Sharples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Davis (Mrs. W.) Shumway</td>
<td>Olive Ely Allen (Mrs. F. D.) Washburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Hopkinson (Mrs. Wm. A.) Shurcliff</td>
<td>Henry Bradford Washburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clement Andrew Smith</td>
<td>Frederick Davis (Mrs. T. R.) Watson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Beal Earhart (Mrs. C. A.) Smith</td>
<td>**Melinda Jarozewski (Mrs. W. B.) Wheelwright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Katherine Vosburgh Spencer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Louise (Mrs. P.) Sprague</td>
<td>** William Bond Wheelwright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phineas Sprague</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston Stebbins</td>
<td>Harriet Eaton (Mrs. T. N.) Whitehead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Emme White (Mrs. H. P.) Stevens</td>
<td>Thomas North Whitehead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Horace Paine Stevens</td>
<td>Charles Frederick Whiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dora Stewart</td>
<td>**Alice Babson (Mrs. Wm. S.) Whittemore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Eugene Sutherland</td>
<td>** William Stewart Whittemore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susanne Adams (Mrs. A. E.) Sutherland</td>
<td>*Olive Swan (Mrs. J. B.) Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellamae McKee (Mrs. W. D.) Swan</td>
<td>Constance Bigelow Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Donnison Swan</td>
<td>Emily Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Lincoln Taylor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsie Brewster (Mrs. E. W.) Taylor</td>
<td>Samuel Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannah Chamberlin (Mrs. C. L.) Taylor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Ingersoll Tetlow</td>
<td>Grace Davenport (Mrs. H. J.) Winslow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Allegra Thorp</td>
<td>Henry Davenport Winslow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mabel Henderson (Mrs. W. E.) Vandermark</td>
<td>Henry Joshua Winslow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Katharine Nichols (Mrs. H. D.) Winslow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Andrews (Mrs. R. L.) Wolff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Lee Wolff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John William Wolff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John William Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charles Conrad Wright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charles Henry Conrad Wright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elizabeth Hilgendorff (Mrs. C. C.) Wright</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

*Sylvia Church (Mrs. I.) Bowditch  
*Ethel Harding (Mrs. F. C.) Durant  
*Francis Chester Durant  
Alvin Clark Eastman  
Francis Apthorp Foster  
*Elden Revare James  
**Phila Smith (Mrs. E. R.) James  
**Rupert Ballou Lillie  
Susan Taber Low  
** Winifred Smith (Mrs. M. W.) Mather  
Mary Wellington (Mrs. K. S.) Usher  
Jane Revere Coolidge (Mrs. W. M.) Whitehill  
Walter Muir Whitehill

LIFE MEMBERS

Mary Emory Batchelder  
Mabel Hall Colgate  
*Henry Wadsworth Longfellow Dana  
Helen McQuesten (Mrs. P.) Gring  
Bradford Hendrick Peirce  
Katherine PerLee (Mrs. E. V.) Seeler  
Eleanor Gray (Mrs. H. D.) Tudor  
* Alice Maud (Mrs. M. P.) White

*Died  
**Resigned  
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